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A $P \quad P \quad E A B A N C E S$ (Continued)

THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION
725 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10022
BY: $\quad$ ALAN G. GARTEN, ESQ.


D O N A L D J. T R U M P, having been first duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New York, upon being examined, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY
MS. BECK:
MS. BECK: Exhibit 323.
(Whereupon, a document entitled
Re-Notice of Taking Deposition
was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 323
for identification.)
Q. Mr. Trump, have you seen this document before?
A. I don't know. Hold on, let me just see. Not that $I$ know. No.
Q. Well, this is your deposition notice.

Are you here pursuant to this re-notice of deposition?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Are you here in your individual capacity?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to direct you to
D. J. Trump

Plaintiff's Exhibit 143 and 142 .
If you can take a look at these two documents, Mr. Trump.
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. These newspaper articles talk about Mr. Donald Trump.

Are they talking about you?
A. Yes.
Q. I understand your son is also named Donald Trump?
A. Yes.
Q. How do we distinguish between you and your son?
A. He's junior.
Q. Can we talk a little bit about your background. I'm sure you've talked about your real estate background multiple times in numerous depositions. I'd like to do it for this one.

When did you start in real estate, Mr. Donald Trump?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. I started in real estate when $I$
D. J. Trump
graduated from college in nineteen - in the 1970 s .
Q. Did you start real estate with Your father?
A. Yes.
Q. What company was that?
A. The Trump Organization.
Q. And what did you do when you started real estate with your father?
A. I built buildings and $I$ ran buildings.
Q. What kind of buildings?

MR. GARTEN: Elizabeth, would it be easier if we just stipulate to his background? We can provide you with a bio.

MS. BECK: I haven't seen his bio.

MR. GARTEN: I can provide you with a bio. We can stipulate - I can give you his complete bio.

MS. BECK: I can't stipulate to
something $I$ haven't seen.
Why didn't you give this to me
D. J. Trump
in advance?
If you would like, you can give it to me sometime today and $I$ can get to his background at the end.

MR. GARTEN: Great. He has his whole bio there.
Q. I understand your attorney will be giving me some document that contains your biography, Mr. Trump --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- later on.

Once $I$ admit that into evidence,
do you stipulate that all information contained in that document is true?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

Mr. Trump, did you see this newspaper article, Plaintiff's Exhibit 142 , before?
A. $\quad \mathrm{N}$.
Q. Okay.

What is Seabreeze Development,
LLC?
A. I don't know.
D. J. Trump
Q. So you wouldn't be able to say whether it's true that Seabreeze Development, LLC is a joint venture between The Trump Organization and Eugene and Stuart Kessler?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
A. No, I don't know. I just don't know. You'd have to ask my lawyers.
Q. Who are Eugene and Stuart Kessler?
A. I don't know.
Q. You never talked to Eugene Kessler or Stuart Kessler?
A. I don't know. I don't know their names. It could be that $I$ did but $I$ just don't know their names.
Q. Does The Trump Organization enter into joint ventures with other legal entities?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. Sometimes.
Q. And how does that happen; who
D. J. Trump
makes the decision?
A. Different people in my organization make that decision or I'd make that decision.
Q. When you say your organization, do you mean The Trump Organization?
A. Yes.
Q. If other people in your organization makes that decision, do they do it with your approval and/or sanction?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. Okay.

When would that not be the case?
A. I don't know of any case where that's not the case.
Q. Okay.

This article talks about Trump Las Olas.
A. Right.
Q. Does that sound familiar to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you talk a little bit about that project.

Did that project happen?
D. J. Trump
A. I don't believe that project happened. That was a project that - - a small project in the Fort Lauderdale area that $I$ don't believe happened.
Q. Okay. Why didn't it happen?
A. The timing of the project. I think the market crashed prior to development.
Q. And the people involved and the entities involved with Fort Lauderdale Trump Las Olas did not anticipate the crash?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. I don't think anybody anticipates crashes, unfortunately. No, they didn't anticipate the crash.
Q. Bayrock Group, LIC, does that sound familiar to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Who are they?
A. They're a developer.
Q. What is your involvement with
D. J. Trump

Bayrock Group, LLC?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Limited involvement. They are a developer, fairly large scale developer, and sometimes they will come to us for like a licensing deal or something on a building that they would develop. That was the case in Fort Lauderdale.
Q. Were they also a tenant of yours?
A. They were a tenant for a period of time in one of my buildings.
Q. Is that the building located at 725 Fifth Avenue?
A. Yes. They were a tenant for a period of time at 725 Fifth Avenue.
Q. Okay.

They were a tenant of The Trump Organization?
A. Yes. Well, they were a tenant of the building. Yes.
Q. You mentioned that your organization entered into a licensing deal
D. J. Trump
with Bayrock?
A. Yes.
Q. What licensing deal was that? MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Well, $I$ think it was a building that we're talking about, the building in Fort Lauderdale.
Q. Trump Fort Lauderdale?
A. Yes.
Q. Any others?
A. Yes, we did a licensing deal in SOHO.
Q. Okay.
A. Which is a beautiful building, completed, very nice building. We did a licensing deal -- we may have done another one. I'm not sure. I'd have to check my records. I think SoHo and Fort Lauderdale.
Q. Phoenix?
A. We looked at Phoenix but the market crashed before the deal ever got built.
D. J. Trump
Q. Okay.
But a contract was entered into
for Phoenix?
A. I don't know if it was entered into. I know the market was getting bad and then ultimately we didn't do the job. So it may have been entered but we never built the job.
Q. You mentioned that you entered into a licensing -- well, SoHo, that's a condo hotel in Manhattan?
A. Yes.
Q. How many units does SoHo have?
A. I'd say probably it has around four hundred units.
Q. How did your organization get involved with Bayrock?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. They really called a long time ago about doing some developments together. We are involved with many, many companies. But Bayrock called about doing some developments together and $I$ believe
D. J. Trump
this was the first one, the one in fort Lauderdale, and we made a deal to do some licensing. They were the developer or they were going to be the developer at the time, but we made a deal to do a license.
Q. When you say they, are you talking about Mr. Tevfik Arif $I$ believe his name is?
A. I don't know who owns Bayrock. I've never really understood who owned Bayrock. I know they're a developer that's done quite a bit of work, but I don't know how they have their ownership broken down.
Q. Who at Bayrock did The Trump Organization interface with; who did they talk to?
A. I would say it would be Julius or - mostly Julius.
Q. Mr. Julius Schwarz?
A. Yes, mostly Julius.
Q. Is Your belief, Mr. Trump, that it's Julius Schwarz who's the ultimate decision-maker of Bayrock Group?
D. J. Trump

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to form.
A. He's certainly one of them. I mean, we've had a very good relationship with Julius. He's certainly one of their big decision-makers, yes, and he has been for quite a while.
Q. Did you ever speak with Mr.

Tevfik Arif in your life?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you ever travel with him?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever speak with Mr. Arif prior to speaking with Mr. Schwarz?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. I don't know. You're talking about many years. I really don't know but it's possible. I don't know him very well, Mr. Arif. I've met him a couple of times.
Q. I understand.

You mentioned that SoHo was a licensing deal?
A. Yes, SoHo was a licensing deal.
D. J. Trump
Q. What does that mean?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. We license the building, we license the name Trump -- it's called Trump SoHo -- and we also happen to manage the building. It's a hotel. It's a hotel condominium. We manage the building and they use our name. We didn't develop the building. It was developed by different entities.
Q. Are you talking about Bayrock?
A. Bayrock I believe was the primary developer, yes.
Q. And other entities also developed it along with Bayrock?
A. Yes, Sapir, the Sapir Organization.
Q. $\quad O_{\text {, }}$ yes.

Are there any units at Trump SoHo that are not hotel rooms?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't believe so.
D. J. Trump
Q. Does your organization currently manage the Trump SoHo Hotel?
A. Yes.
Q. How does your organization get paid?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. We get a management fee.
Q. Is that a flat annual fee?
A. I don't think so. It's based on
-- I think it's based on gross revenues. I'm not sure. I could check it but I think it's based on gross revenues.
Q. I think gross revenues of?
A. Of the hotel.
Q. Rooms?
A. Rooms. But I'd have to check. But I think so.
Q. Do you manage all the hotel rooms in Trump SoHo?
A. Pretty much, $I$ think.
Q. There's no room that a unit owner rents out on their own and doesn't use your company to manage?
D. J. Trump
A. Not that $I$ know of.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
Q. If You look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 143 , Mr. Trump.
A. Yes.
Q. There's a - have you ever spoken with a gentleman by the name of Felix H. Sater or Satter?
A. Yes.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
Q. On how many times did you speak with him?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. For a period of time.
Q. Why did you speak with him?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. He worked for Bayrock. He was an executive with Bayrock.
Q. Did you speak with him in connection with Trump SoHo?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form objection.
A. Probably.

## D. J. Trump

Q. What about the Fort Lauderdale project?
A. Probably.
Q. Okay.

There's a quote in Plaintiff's Exhibit 143 , Mr. Trump - $\quad$ I think it's on the second page -- it says here, "Mr. Trump also said he was surprised to learn of Mr. Sater's past" and it quotes you as saying, "we never knew that. We do as much of a background check as we can on the principals. I didn't really know him very well."

Is that an accurate quote from you?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to the form.
A. Yes.
Q. What were you referencing there?
A. I don't know. I don't know him from the past. I would have no knowledge of his past. They wrote a story about him. I didn't know him from the past. I only knew him as a person that worked for
D. J. Trump

Bayrock.
Q. So the statements about Mr. Sater in this article, that is what you are referencing when you say we never knew that?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know if the statements are true or not, but $I$ didn't know anything about his background.
Q. At the time you made the quote?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to
form.
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Okay.

After this article came out, did you continue to work with Mr. Sater?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I think he left Bayrock sometime fairly soon after the article came out.
Q. You mentioned that Bayrock was a developer of Trump SoHo. The Sapir Group is a developer of the Trump SoHo. And The
D. J. Trump

Trump Organization is a licensor of the Trump SoHo; is that correct?
A. Correct, and the management company, the company that manages the building after it's built. We didn't build the building and we didn't sell the building. We weren't responsibile for building Trump SoHo or selling it. We were only responsible for managing it after it was built, and that's what we do.
Q. How do you define building a building?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Constructing the building, construction.
Q. How do you define that?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. You must be kidding; right?
Q. No.
A. Are you kidding with that question?
Q. $\quad$ o.
A. Building the building, putting
D. J. Trump
the concrete up, putting the curtain wall on, building the rooms, completing --
Q. Aren't they done by
subcontractors?
A. That's done by general contractors and subcontractors and the developer. As $I$ told you, I'm not the developer.
Q. I understand that.

You have developed projects before in your life?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Please let me finish my
question, Mr. Trump. We want a clear record. I know you're eager to get out of here but so am I.
A. I think they're stupid questions you're asking me. I think you're asking very stupid questions.
Q. Well, I'm sorry that you find my questions stupid, but I'd like to get information about this case.
A. Fine. Go ahead.
Q. Thank you.
D. J. Trump

Do you need a break, Mr. Trump?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.

Do you need a break?
Q. No, I don't.

MR. GARTEN: You can go.
A. Do you even know what you're doing? Let's go. Ask the questions.
Q. Building the building, you mentioned that -- you talked about concrete; right, building bricks? Now, a developer, would you consider a developer a person who manages the contractor?
A. Yes.
Q. If a person only manages the contractor, is that person a developer?

MR. GILLMAN: I object to form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. Yes.

MR. GILLMAN: Do we have the same
stipulation that an objection of one is for all?

MR. BECK: That's fine.
MS. BECK: That's fine.
D. J. Trump
A. It's part of the development process.
Q. And what about selling? What about if a person manages a sales force that does the selling, are they a developer?
A. It's a part of the development process.
Q. I just want to make a distinction between actually laying down concrete versus managing a company that lays down the concrete.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. Is it Your testimony, Mr. Trump, that you have to actually lay down the concrete to be a developer?

MR. GILLMAN: Objection.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. To be a developer, you have to build the building.
Q. Or manage other people that build the building?
D. J. Trump
A. Correct.
Q. How do you define manage?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. You would supervise the construction of the building.
Q. Exercise control?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your understanding of the different roles of Bayrock versus Sapir in connection with Trump SoHo?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I had no understanding. We were managing the hotel and we licensed the name. We weren't involved with the development. I believe that Bayrock was the developer along with Sapir or separately from Sapir. You'd have to ask them that question.
Q. Okay.

What was The Trump Organization's role in Trump SoHo?
A. I've told you about four times.

## D. J. Trump

We managed the building and we licensed the name.
Q. So the role of The Trump Organization and Trump SoHo involved -let me get this straight, you gave permission for the Trump name to be used?
A. I licensed the name.
Q. Under a license agreement?
A. Correct.
Q. And then after the building was up and topped off, you then came in and managed the hotel; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Is that the universe of
responsibilities which you and your organization had in connection with the Trump SoHo?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Pretty much.
Q. Okay.

What can you tell us about the Trump standards? What are the Trump standards? Do they mean anything?

## D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. We have a high standard. We have a standard as to kitchen qualities, we have a standard as to windows and quality of windows, quality of construction, quality of sound. If it's a hotel, quality of the furniture, et cetera. We have a standard that's a high standard in accordance with other hotel companies that do similar kinds of things that are high quality.
Q. Yes, sir.

There's -- I believe you
referenced some properties. I believe the Trump developments in the past which you reference in $I$ believe the license agreement but I'm not too sure as setting the standard for meeting the Trump standards.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection.
A. It's possible.
Q. I just don't remember what those properties were, but $I$ believe one was in Chicago. Signature properties.
D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. Could you describe some of those signature properties? Can you list them? MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
A. I don't know what this has to do with our lawsuit, but $I$ certainly will give you a couple. Chicago, New York on Central Park West would be the two primary examples.
Q. If a building meets the standard of luxury set by the two examples you gave, would that be meeting the Trump standards?

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. Generally speaking, yes.
Q. I'm going to now -- well, they've already been introduced but Exhibits $124,128,129$, and 130.
A. Go ahead.
Q. Have you seen any of these documents before?
D. J. Trump
A. I don't believe so.
Q. For the Trump Fort Lauderdale project, which is the entity that licensed Your name from The Trump Organization?
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my lawyers.
Q. Okay.

Were these documents created with The Trump Organization's approval?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. These documents?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. I don't know, you'd have to ask my lawyers. I just don't know.
Q.

Well, sitting here today, do you approve of them?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Would you like me to read them all?
Q. Yes. They have a lot of pictures.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
D. J. Trump
form. Strike the last comment.
MS. BECK: Motion denied.
A. They look like very --

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Counsel, there's no reason for you to act as the court about motion being denied. It's uncalled for.

And so let me just put on the record now because again, $I$ haven't been with you at a deposition.

Pursuant to Rule of Civil
Procedure $30(d)(3)(a)$, it provides that any time during a deposition the deponent or a party may move to terminate or limit the deposition on the ground that it's being conducted in bad faith or in a matter that unnecessarily annoys, embarrasses, or oppresses the deponent or the party. If the objecting deponent or party so demands, the deposition must be suspended to obtain an order. And then finally, as far as for the Southern District local
D. J. Trump

Rule 30.1 (a) (5), the paragraph also provides that if questioning unfairly humiliates, intimidates, harasses, or embarrasses the deponent or invades his privacy absent a clear statement otherwise, we can also terminate.

So first, $I$ didn't understand any comments to be made that it isn't in the form of a question. I'm not going to do it to you. So I don't understand that. The deponent also indicated he hadn't seen them. You asked him to look at. He was kind enough to do it and then with pictures and comments and again with motion being denied, $I$ just don't know what the reason of that is. If you can just tell me why either of us -- you would be ruling it as a judge. I just don't understand it, counsel.

MS. BECK: I think you moved to strike which is improper.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: And YOu said motion was denied. Look, we can
D. J. Trump
suspend it. All I'm saying to you is I haven't been in the room with you. We're not going to either of us act as the judge.

MS. BECK: I understand. I note your comments.

Can we move on, Mr. Russomanno?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: If we're going to go by the regular rules of depositions and we won't make comments and we won't act as if either of us are the judge. And if the questions are, in fairness, counsel, to you, if they're related to the lawsuit, certainly that's why Mr. Trump is here. But there seems to be quite a bit unrelated to the lawsuit and it doesn't need to be peppered with comments about whether this is proper or not.

We're here to proceed, but we want to cover the questions that the lawsuit entails based upon your second amended complaint.

## D. J. Trump

MS. BECK: Yes, sir. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Let's go.
Q. Have you looked at these four exhibits before you now, Mr. Trump?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

Sitting here today, do you approve of these documents?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know. I didn't see them at the time. I think this was long before the building was built. These documents were issued long before the actual building was built. These are old documents that have nothing to do with your lawsuit that were long before Mr. Stillman came in, Roy Stillman came in as the developer. This was a very much different not only document, it's a very much different building. In fact, the building is almost unrecognizable from what was built.
Q. Do you know from when these
D. J. Trump
documents were made?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object the form.
A. I just know it was long before the building was built. It has nothing to do with it. It's a different building, it's a different picture from the building that was built, and it was before Mr. Stillman came in as the developer of the project.
Q. Before Mr. Stillman came in as the developer of the project, what was your involvement with the project at that time?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. We were thinking of being the developer, but it was very preliminary. We were thinking about doing it in conjunction with Bayrock, but that was very preliminary. Ultimately we didn't do it. Bayrock decided to sell the project and the development to, in some form -you'd have to ask them what that form was - to Mr. Stillman and our only
D. J. Trump
involvement was licensing. We were licensing and we were going to run the hotel.
Q. So for the four exhibits before you, at this point in time The Trump Organization and Bayrock Group I guess were considering being a developer of this project at that time?
A. I think so. You'd have to tell me what the time was because I don't see any date on these papers. But this was long before the ultimate development was developed.
Q. Do you know why these documents may have been prepared?
A. Because $I$ think Bayrock was thinking about building this building before a developer came along and purchased the project.
Q. Yes.

And do you know for whom -- you think these documents were prepared by Bayrock?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
D. J. Trump
form.
A. I think so. Again, it's so long ago that the picture's even different.
Q. These are obviously artistic renditions.

So you think that Bayrock prepared these documents?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to the
form. That's not his testimony.
A. I don't know who prepared them. It's possible that Bayrock did it.
Q. Do you know why these documents were prepared, who the audience is?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. I think they were thinking about developing the project and then when Mr. Stillman came along, he took over all of the development.
Q. Do you think these were prepared for lenders - -

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to form.
Q. -- or construction finance?
A. I don't know, you'd have to ask Bayrock. I don't know.
D. J. Trump
Q. Did you ever see PowerPoints such as the documents before you, not in hard copy but as Powerpoint presentations?
A. I'm not sure. It's a long time ago.
Q. Exhibit 133. You've seen this document before; yes?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question. Take a look at it.
A. Yes.
Q. This is the operating agreement of Stillman Bayrock Merrimac, LLC; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is your signature?
A. Yes.
Q. On page thirty-six?
A. Yes.
Q. When $I$ see Your signature, Mr. Trump, do you personally sign that with your hand or is that sometimes a stamp?
A. I sign it with my hand.
Q. Always?
D. J. Trump
A. Yes.
Q. What is Trump Lauderdale Development II, LLC?
A. I believe that's the company that - -

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to
form.
A. -- we used to enter into the agreement with Mr. Stillman and Bayrock --
Q. And the Motwanis?
A. -- for the licensing.

I'm not sure what they are. For
the licensing of this particular development.
Q. This is not the licensing agreement though; is it?
A. I don't know if it is or not.
Q. Could you take a look and - -
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay.
A. You'd have to ask my lawyers. I don't know. I assumed it was the licensing agreement but maybe it's something else.
D. J. Trump
Q. Mr. Trump, how was you or any organization that you control going to get paid on the Trump International Hotel and Tower project in Fort Lauderdale?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. You'd have to ask my accountants.
Q. Did you get paid?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know. I think they paid us something, yeah, up front for the licensing. But I'm not sure what the number is.
Q. I'm going to ask you if you can turn to page thirty-three.

When The Trump Organization, either directly or through any of its entities, enters into a licensing deal for the project, presumably it negotiates fees for - -
A. Yes.
Q. -- somebody else's privilege of
D. J. Trump
using the Trump license; is that correct?
A. Correct.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection.
Q. Who negotiates those terms?
A. Different people.
Q. Such as?
A. Ivanka Trump, Don Trump, Eric

Trump.
Q. Your three children?
A. Yeah. Sometimes myself. It depends on who's doing the deal or what the deal is.
Q. And once those terms are hammered out, your attorneys take over and create the documents?
A. Well, documents are created sometimes by the other side, sometimes by us.
Q. On page thirty-three it says fees.
A. Right.
Q. Did Trump Lauderdale Development II, LLC, were they supposed to get a percentage of all the hard and soft costs
D. J. Trump
associated with construction of the fort Lauderdale project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know.
Q. Who negotiated how The Trump Organization and its legal entities would get paid under the Trump International Hotel and Tower project?
A. I think it was Don Trump, Junior, my son.
Q. You don't have any knowledge as to how much money is going to come in from that project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. Not a great deal. I mean, I think that my son - $\quad$ believe my son, Don Trump, Junior, handled it. There's a schedule of payment. But $I$ believe it was handled by my son.
Q. Does it surprise you to read this, as you sit here today, that the class B member shall receive compensation
D. J. Trump
for its services hereunder in an amount equal to one and a half percent of all hard and soft costs of construction of the project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. No.
Q. What does that mean?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. What do you understand that to mean?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.
A. Just what it says.
Q. So if -- well, what are hard costs?
A. It's the construction costs, the concrete and the brick and mortar, et cetera.
Q. What are soft costs?
A. The financing costs and various other costs.
Q. Advertising?
A. Yeah.
D. J. Trump
Q. Legal fees?
A. That would be a soft cost.
Q. Was the Trump Lauderdale Development II, LLC paid this one and a half percent of the hard and soft costs?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form of the question.
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my accountant.
Q. Who is your accountant?
A. Allen Weisselberg.
Q. Do you know how long he's been with your company?
A. Yes, over thirty years.
Q. And he would be able to testify as to what moneys flowed into the Trump Organization and its affiliates --
A. Yes.
Q. -- for this project?
A. Yes.
Q. If $y \circ u$ go to the next page, which is page thirty-four, it says, "an amount of $\$ 960,000$ shall be paid to the class B member."
D. J. Trump

Do you know if this amount was paid?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know. Didn't I already tell you you'd have to ask my accountant? I just told you you'd have to ask my accountant.
Q. Mr. Trump, is it your testimony that you personally don't know if any money was made from the Fort Lauderdale deal by The Trump Organization and/or its affiliates?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
A. Relatively very little money. And certainly after everything is finished probably no money.
Q. I understand. I read the agreements.
A. What are you asking me the questions for if you understand?
Q. You said very little money but $I$ want to know what was paid and what was
D. J. Trump
not paid.
A. I told you you'd have to ask my accountant.
Q. I understand that.

Exhibit 243 .
A. Go ahead. It takes a long time between questions, I'll tell you that. Obviously it's harassment.

MS. BECK: Court reporter, if you would like, you can note the time in between questions. I have no problems with putting that in the record.
Q. You might want to take a look at that document, Mr. Trump. It's very long.
A. I've done it.

MR. GARTEN: Elizabeth, do you want this copy?

MS. BECK: Thank you so much.
Thank you.
Q. Okay.

Mr. Trump, is that your signature on --
A. Yes.
Q. - - 1533 ?
D. J. Trump
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember signing this letter?
A. No.
Q.

Do you know what the letter is?
A. It's a letter to Corus Bank.
Q. Okay.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. Do you know why you signed it?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't, I don't.
Q. You don't recollect signing it?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.

I believe was this before
Stillman?
Q. No, I see his signature everywhere.
A. I'm not asking that question.

I'm saying was this letter sent before Stillman entered the partnership?
Q. What partnership?
A. Before Stillman entered a
D. J. Trump
partnership with -- a partnership or whatever their relationship with respect to Bayrock. I'm just asking for a point in reference.
Q. It's dated December 15, 2006 .
A. And when did Stillman enter an agreement with Bayrock?
Q. Are you talking about Plaintiff's Exhibit 133?
A. No, I'm just asking that simple question, when did Stillman enter their agreement with Bayrock?
Q. I don't know.
A. Okay.
Q. But $I$ understand Mr. Stillman - somebody signed it on behalfof him on page TMP --
A. That would mean that he had already entered.
Q. -- 1535 and that would mean the partnership you referenced earlier was already entered?
A. Correct.

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to form.
D. J. Trump
Q. You don't know why you signed this?
A. No, I don't.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to the form.
Q. Mr. Trump, your signature is on more than one occasion in this exhibit.

Are they all your signatures?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Exhibit 244.
A. Okay. I have it.
Q. Mr. Trump, did you sign this document?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. It's a subordination agreement.
Q. And what was the purpose of this document?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. To subordinate our management contract to the bank.
Q. Why?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
D. J. Trump
form.
A. Because the -- in case of trouble, the bank doesn't want to have a management agreement get in their way.
Q. They want to be paid first?
A. I don't know about being paid first. They don't want to be stymied by having a management agreement in their way.
Q. Why would a management agreement stymie the bank?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
A. Because they may want to terminate the agreement. A bank will always ask for lots of rights, they'll always ask for subordination.
Q. When you say management agreement, you're talking about the hotel management agreement?
A. Yes, I believe so. I believe this relates mostly to that.
Q. If you did not sign this, would the bank, Corus Bank, not give money?

## D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
A. I don't know, you'd have to ask Corus Bank. I have no idea. But it's very standard.
Q. Was it your understanding that when you signed Plaintiff's Exhibit 244, the project would not get financed unless you did so?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. No, but I think it's very
standard language to have this. We're a team player. It's very standard language to sign subordination agreements to banks. In virtually all deals it's done that way.
Q. Exhibit 198 , 199 and 200 .
A. $\quad 0 k a y . \quad I ' m \quad r e a d y$.
Q. You've seen this document before; yes?
A. Yes.
Q. This is the licensing agreement between yourself and Bayrock Merrimac, LLLP that licenses your name to the fort
D. J. Trump

Lauderdale project; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And then there's two amendments to this agreement which are the other two exhibits?
A. Right.
Q.

Okay.
Do you remember negotiating how you would get paid under this license agreement?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. No.
Q. Did you negotiate the fees under this agreement and the amendments?
A. I didn't do it personally, no.
Q. It was your son?
A. Yes.
Q. Don, Junior?
A. I believe it was my son, Don, Junior.
Q. Do you remember what the terms were?
A. $\quad \mathrm{N}$.
D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. I want to direct your attention to Exhibit $B$, which is the next to last page of this exhibit, of this agreement.
A. Okay.
Q. It says, "license fee."
A. Okay.
Q. Is this how you were going to get paid under this license fee?
A. Yes.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. Mr. Trump, do you -- back when you entered into this license agreement, at that time did you have an understanding of how much per square foot the units at the Trump Fort Lauderdale project would sell for?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. No. I don't remember that exactly. It was basically - Florida pricing, $I$ think this was going to be a
D. J. Trump
better building than most of the buildings in Florida, but $I$ don't remember what that number would have been.
Q. Would it have -- do you remember if you had an expectation of it being greater than a thousand dollars per square foot or you just don't remember at all?
A. I don't remember at all.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
Q. The next page says retail component incentive, the last page of this exhibit.
A. Okay.

Of the first part of it?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Go ahead.
Q. Is it your understanding that the project has hotel rooms and retail?
A. It had some retail, yes.
Q. And other than hotel rooms and retail, there's no other $I$ guess rooms or portions of the property other than that and the common elements?

## D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. Well, $I$ assume we're including the restaurant in the retail?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. No, there would be nothing else.
Q. And this is how you would have gotten paid for the rents received for the retail section of the property if they were leased?
A. Whatever the agreement says.
Q. What was the purpose of the first amendment to the license agreement?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. (Reviewing).

It sounds like legal
technicalities to me.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. It sounds like legal
technicalities to me. I don't know. I'd have to read the entire agreement. I wish you asked my lawyer or just read it yourself. It would be a lot easier.
D. J. Trump
Q. Mr. Trump, in order to move things along, do you notice that this is Bates stamped TMP on the bottom?
A. TMP, yes.
Q. I'm going to tell you that if it's labeled TMP, it came from your attorneys.
A. Okay.
Q. If any document Bates stamped TMP has your signature, can you testify that that is your signature so $I$ don't have to ask you every time?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. Yes. I would love to do that.
Q. Are you doing it?

MR. GARTEN: We'll stipulate to that.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: It's been stipulated to and $I$ believe there are questions covered by Mr. Garten yesterday - -

MR. GARTEN: I've authenticated
all these documents and said that any
D. J. Trump
documents that has the words "TMP" is authentically his signature.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: And if there is an issue about something that needs to be authenticated, you let me know. We're not taking the position the signature is not his.

THE WITNESS: Let's go.
Q. I'm going to direct your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 200 .
A. Yeah.
Q. On the bottom of the first page it says, "license fee."

Is this an amendment to the
license fee as listed in Plaintiff's Exhibit 198?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Well, without reading everything, it looks like that, yes.
Q. Let me ask you this, Mr. Trump.

It says a fee, "the additional
fee equal to forty percent of licensee's share of income received by licensee
D. J. Trump
pursuant to the rental agreements after payment of all operating expenses and other costs relating thereto which are licensee's responsibility pursuant to the applicable rental agreement."

What is your understanding of what that fee is?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. It sounds like something having to do with some retail or some rental income coming in.
Q. What about the hotel rooms? Is this fee associated with managing the hotel rooms?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: FOrm.
A. I don't know, you'd have to ask my attorneys. I just don't know.
Q. Or your son?
A. Perhaps.
Q. Exhibit 245 .

Mr. Trump, what are estoppel certificates?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
D. J. Trump
form.
A. It's a certificate that everything is basically okay as of the date.
Q. Why did you sign this estoppel certificate?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. It's something a lender needs and wants signed from usually a management company or anybody having any kind of involvement with a project and that there were no defaults, et cetera, et cetera.
Q. Okay.

Who generally signs estoppel certificates? I assume the developer signs an estoppel certificate; right?
A. A management company would sign. People that have any involvement would have to sign an estoppel certificate for a lender. Usually a lender would be asking for it.
Q. Okay.

I presume though vendors would
D. J. Trump
not be required to sign estoppel
certificates?
A. In some cases they would be.
Q. What kind of vendors?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know. If a vendor is involved heavily in a project, they might be asked to sign by a bank. It depends on what the bank wants. Corns is a bank. It depends on what the bank wants.
Q. And you said that estoppel certificates are signed to say that everything is okay?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. Essentially that you're satisfied and that you've been paid to date, et cetera, et cetera.
Q. But signing this agreement you're saying that you were paid as of this date?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
D. J. Trump
A. Well, let's see.

What's the date of this agreement?
Q. December 15, 2006 .
A. It would basically - an estoppel is the bank wants to know that I'm happy, that everything's happy that going forward things could change but that as of that date you're satisfied with things.
Q. Okay.

Does a bank require estoppel certificates to be signed as a condition of them giving money?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Not always but, generally speaking, $I$ would say yes.
Q. Was it in this case?
A. It sounds like it. This is an estoppel.
Q. Exhibit 202 .
A. Okay.
Q. What was this document before?
D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. It's a preopening agreement.
Q.

And why did you sign it?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I guess it's a document talking about the opening of the hotels and the various responsibilities.
Q. Were you to get paid under this?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. I don't know. I really don't know. You'd have to ask my attorneys.
Q. Mr. Trump, there's a lot of legal entities in this case all with the word "Trump" in it.

If a document is entered into by you individually versus a legal entity - -

MS. BECK: Let me start over.
Q. I'm going to direct your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 245, the one right before you, and also two hundred two which is the one right before you.
D. J. Trump
A. You're going backwards.
Q. Both of them.

Now, the hotel preopening
agreement is entered into by $S B$ Hotel
Associates and Trump Florida Management, LLC.
A. Right.
Q. What is Trump Florida

Management, LLC?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. I believe that's the management company that was going to manage the hotel, which we were prepared to do.
Q. If an agreement is entered into by the hotel management company, would it be between the other party and Trump Florida Management, LLC?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I have no idea. You'd have to ask my lawyer.
Q. I want to ask you, Mr. Trump, the estoppel certificates that were
D. J. Trump
signed, you entered into them -- the estoppel certificates were signed by you in your individual capacity and also on behalf of Trump International Hotels Management, LLC.

Did you sign these documents because the bank asked you in your individual capacity and also under these other entities to sign it?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. As I told you, estoppels are standard for a bank to get. This is a standard agreement that all banks require prior to lending money, for the most part.
Q. Is it your belief that the bank asked to sign this estoppel certificate prior to handing out the money because you were the hotel management company or the licensor, both or neither?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Perhaps both.
Q. Who is SB Hotel Associates, LLC?
D. J. Trump
A. I think that's Stillman, Roy Stillman.
Q. His company?
A. His company, yes.

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to form.
And is it your understanding
that SB Hotel Associates, LLC is the developer of that project?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. What other developers of that project do you know of?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know of any.
Q. Just SB Hotel Associates, LLC?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. And what underpins that belief?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. Why do you think that?
A. General knowledge. General knowledge of the project. I believe that that was the developer. You'd have to speak to my lawyers. But $I$ believe that
D. J. Trump
was the developer of the project.
Q. It's a legal - -
A. You'd have to ask that question to my lawyers.
Q. What about Bayrock, Bayrock Group, LLC, were they a developer?
A. I don't know if they were a developer of this site or not. I know Roy Stillman's company was the developer and they worked very hard. I don't know whether or not Bayrock was the developer of this site.
Q. What is your understanding of their role in this project?
A. Well, they originally wanted to be the developer but ultimately they sold it to Roy Stillman.
Q. Is it your belief that they exited out of the project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my lawyers. I don't know.
Q. Exhibit 203 .

## D. J. Trump

A. Okay.
Q. This is an agreement between your company that was to manage the Trump Fort Lauderdale hotel --
A. Right.
Q. -- and SB Hotel Associates; is that right?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

If your company was to get paid for managing the hotel, those terms would be in this agreement before you?
A. I think so, yes.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
Q. Any other agreement?
A. I don't know, but $I$ think this would be the agreement, unless it was amended.
Q. Exhibit 204 and 205 .

How far back do you go with Mr. Roy Stillman?
D. J. Trump
A. With who?
Q. Mr. Roy Stillman.
A. I don't go back that far. I met him because of this job, so I go back to the time where $I$ was introduced to him through Bayrock. And so it would be about that time. I found him to be a very hardworking man.
Q. What are these documents, Mr. Trump?
A. This is the development and services agreement.
Q. These were later cancelled and superseded.

Do you remember?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You don't. Okay.

Do you know why you would enter into - excuse me, Trump Lauderdale Development, LLC wouldenter into a development and services agreement?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.
D. J. Trump
Q. Do you know what the purpose is of a development and services agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it for?
A. To develop and service a job.
Q. What job is that?
A. In this case, $I$ would assume it would be the job in Fort Lauderdale.

This was before Mr. Stillman, $\quad$ I
assume. He ended up taking over this role when he bought this project, I assume.
Q. You spoke earlier that you and Bayrock were contemplating becoming the developer for the Fort Lauderdale project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. I don't know in terms of contemplating. We were thinking about building the job, but we never got there because Mr. Stillman purchased it.
Q. And this document - -
A. I think it preceded Mr.

Stillman. I may be wrong. You'd have to speak to my lawyers. But this probably
D. J. Trump
was probably a development and services agreement as though we were going to build it but we ultimately didn't do that or $I$ didn't do that certainly.
Q. So you entered into this as part of the paperwork that shows that you and Bayrock were contemplating building the building?
A. Correct.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Correct.
Q. Exhibit 201 .
A. Okay.
Q. Now, the development and services agreement that $I$ just placed before you, that was dated June 30, 2004 ; is that correct?
A. Right, terminated by this letter.
Q. And this letter - -
A. October 24 .
Q. This letter references a license agreement?
D. J. Trump
A. Right.
Q. Is that the license agreement,

Exhibit 198?
A. I don't know. Probably.
Q. Well, let's pull it out.
A. Let's do that.
Q. Exhibit 198 and the two
amendments.
MR. RUSSOMANNO III: One hundred ninety-eight, one hundred ninety-nine, and two hundred; is that what you want?

MS. BECK: Yes, sir.
A. Go ahead. Let's go.
Q. Are those three, the license agreement and the two amendments that were introduced earlier, is that the license agreement that Plaintiff's Exhibit 201 is referencing on its first page?
A. It sounds like it, but you'd have to ask my lawyer.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
Q. Is there more than one license
D. J. Trump
agreement for the Trump Fort Lauderdale project between you or any of your companies and any other entity?
A. I don't know.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
Q. Do you remember signing any such document?
A. No, no. I doubt it, but you'd have to ask my lawyers.
Q. Mr. Trump, we talked earlier about the Trump standards.

Do you recollect?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Uh}-\mathrm{huh}$.
Q. Are the Trump standards -- do you know if the Trump standards have been reduced to writing?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. You'd have to ask my son.
Q. Which one?
A. Don.
Q. Exhibit 214 and 215 .

Have you ever seen these
D. J. Trump
documents before?
A. I think I have. I've seen them. I haven't gone over them in great detail, but I've seen them.
Q. Is this an example of the Trump standards?
A. Yes.
Q. And Exhibit 215 , this is an example of the Trump standards I guess for food and beverage?
A. Yes.
Q. Who at your organization determines how these documents are created?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. Mr. Jim Petrus of The Trump Organization.
Q. Is he still with your company?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. Do you personally have any input into what goes into the Trump standards, these brochures, these documents before you?
D. J. Trump
A. Yes.
Q. And how is your input solicited?
A. Just overall input; $I$ want
location, $I$ want beautiful buildings, $I$ want important buildings, $I$ want things that are basics, and then my people would get into the details.
Q. Other than Jim Petrus, who else is involved with securing the Trump standards?
A. Primarily Mr. Petrus. He's the head of the hotel company.
Q. Were the Trump standards always - well, it couldn't have been always.

When is the earliest point in time when the Trump standards were put into writing such as in Exhibits 214 and 215?
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my lawyers.
Q. Your lawyers or Mr. Petrus?
A. Mr. Petrus.
Q. How long has Mr. Petrus been with your company?
D. J. Trump
A. Quite a while, four or five years.
Q. Do you know if the Trump standards were in writing prior to Mr. Petrus being at your company?
A. We always had high standards. I don't know if it was reduced to writing.
Q. Exhibit 248 and 249 .

Mr. Trump, why did you not develop the project in Fort Lauderdale yourself?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. Because somebody came along that wanted to develop it and basically it was more of a Bayrock thing than us. And Mr. Stillman came along and made an offer I guess to Bayrock and he became the developer of the project.
Q. Okay.
A. I never developed the project. I don't know how Bayrock was involved, but I never developed the project. Obviously you've spoken to Bayrock so you know how
D. J. Trump
they were involved, but $I$ can't speak for Bayrock.
Q. Exhibit 248 and 249.

Have you ever seen this fax in Exhibit 248 ? Have you ever seen this document before?
A. No.
Q. There's a letter here from Mr. Donald Trump, Junior.

Why is your son making changes to the floor plans to the project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. He's looking for quality. He wants to make sure everything is in beautiful shape in terms of size, in terms of quality, so he may have changed things because he wants them to be according to the standards.
Q. The Trump standards?
A. Yeah.
Q. Who determines whether or not something is -- rises to the level of a Trump standard?
D. J. Trump
A. The group, Don Trump, Junior, maybe Mr. Petrus.
Q. And that decision is made how?
A. By them. They'd look at the plan. They see a plan they don't like and they'd make it so they do like it.

They'll increase the standard.
Q. Okay.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 249.
A. Yeah.
Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
A. Yes, I believe so. It was passed through me but $I$ haven't seen it in a long time.
Q. Okay.

Who gave it to you to look at?
A. I don't know. I just saw it.
Q. And why would you see it?
A. I don't know. It was passed along the chain and $I$ got to see it.
Q. Did you see it basically to make sure that you wouldn't issue a disapproval of it?
D. J. Trump
A. $\quad \mathrm{N}$.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. No, not at all. I just happened to see it. Pretty standard stuff.
Q. The last page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 249 , is that your writing?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. What is Stiles Construction

Company?
A. I don't know.
Q. Are you aware of who they are in general?
A. No. I'm not the developer of the site; you remember? I'm not the developer.
Q. But you are a developer.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. I develop other things. I didn't develop this job.
Q. Have you ever heard of Stiles Construction before?
A. Not to my recollection, $I$
D. J. Trump
haven't. That doesn't sound familiar to me.
Q. When you develop a job, do you always hire a construction company?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form of the question.
A. Usually you hire a construction company, yes.
Q. Okay.

And what does the job of a
developer entail vis-a-vis the
construction company?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to
form. Repetitious. Asked and
answered.
A. Are we going to go through this again? Didn't we go through this before for twenty minutes? You want to go through it again?
Q. Exhibit $169,176,181,182,183$, 184 , and 186 .
A. Okay. I'm ready.
Q. Okay.

You defaulted Stillman's
D. J. Trump
company?
A. I didn't default.
Q. Who defaulted them?
A. You said I defaulted.
Q. Yes.
A. No, I didn't default. We sent a
default notice to Mr. Stillman's company.
Well, there's a difference.
Q. Okay.
What's the difference?
A. Why don't you ask the question
properly? Did $I$ send a default notice to
the Stillman company? Yes, we did.
Q. Okay.
When you say we, you're talking
about a company that you control?
A. Yes.
Q. That's the distinction that you
were making earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did a company that you
control default Roy Stillman's company?
MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the
form.
D. J. Trump

You mean SB Hotel?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to the form.

MS. BECK: SB Hotel.
A. Because they had failed to do certain things in accordance with our agreement.
Q. Do you recollect what they were?
A. No, I don't remember. But they failed to live up to the agreement that we had.
Q. The license agreement?
A. The agreement, whatever the agreement was, they were not living up to it.
Q. Do you know if the bank was copied on any of that correspondence?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do You know if Corus Bank was notified --
A. I don't know.
Q. - that your company had defaulted SB Hotel Associates, LLC?
A. I don't know.
D. J. Trump
Q. Well, look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 169 , please.
A. Okay.
Q. This is a letter from your daughter to Corus Bank letting them know that the license agreement - under the license agreement between Trump Marks Fort Lauderdale, LLC, SB Hotel Associates, LLC has been defaulted; is that correct?
A. $\quad U_{h}-h u h$, Yes.
Q. Why was Corus Bank notified; do you know?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. You'd have to ask my lawyers. They probably have a legal reason why they had to be notified.
Q. Do you feel that this may have been somewhat -- copying the bank may have been somewhat destructive of the property?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. No, I don't think so. I think it's a standard thing. The bank would

## D. J. Trump

demand to know. If we didn't copy it, I think it would have been very destructive of the property. I think you have no choice. The bank would have demanded it. And frankly, if you didn't, the developer would have had to send a notice to the bank anyway immediately; otherwise, they wouldn't be showing what's going on.
Q. Exhibit 176 , this is the notice of default to the Stillman Organization; is that correct?
A. Yeah.
Q.

Okay.
It talks about the Trump
standard.
Is it your testimony that the reason this notice of default was sent was because the Trump standards were not being met?

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to form.
A. I think that and other things. You'll have to speak to my attorney but I think that and other things.
Q. Well, what other things?
D. J. Trump
A. Well, it says you have to complete construction, fit out, furnishing of the restaurant and the bar and the kitchen, which wasn't done. So that's not just standards, that's basics.
Q. Was it delayed or was it not getting done ever?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. It was delayed and it looks like it was not going to get done.
Q. Do you know if it's done now?
A. I don't know, no. I don't know what happened with it.
Q. Does a delay in the project's completion constitute a breach of the license agreement?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Probably, but you'd have to ask my attorneys.
Q. Do you feel that this notice of default made a bad situation worse?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
D. J. Trump
form of the question.
A. We had no choice.
Q. Why not?
A. The building wasn't being completed and we had no choice.
Q. Was it your belief at the time that the building would never have been complete?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. I didn't know what was
happening. All $I$ know is we had a management agreement and we have our name on the building and if it's not going to be completed or it's not going to be finished not only in a timely manner but finished period, then we don't want to be involved with it and we have every right to send this and we obviously did send this.
Q. If and when that building is finished, is it your position that that building is not entitled to hold the Trump name anywhere?

## D. J. Trump

A. Yes, because we defaulted them. Yes, we took our name off that building. You're talking about finished now?
Q. Well, yes.
A. Well, now a new group will own the building and does own the building at some point. I don't know what's happening with the building now. But the building had to be finished and it had to be finished in accordance with what we were doing and with our standards and with our letters and it wasn't finished and it looked like it was quite a bit delayed, to put it mildly.
Q. Mr. Trump, if the building, if and when it's finished, if it does meet a certain standard of luxury that will meet the Trump standards, is it still your position that it is not entitled to hold the Trump name anywhere?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. The new owners would have to
D. J. Trump
come to us and make a deal with us.
Q. Start over?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. Yeah, the new owners of the building would have to come to us to make a deal.
Q. Enter into a new license agreement?
A. Yes, a brand-new license agreement.
Q. Which may or may not happen?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. Which may or may not happen, yeah.
Q. And that is up to you to decide?
A. Well, and the new owners.
Q. Exhibit 181 .
A. Go ahead.
Q. Do you remember speaking about defaulting the SB Hotel Associates, LLC with your daughter?
A. Yes, I do.
D. J. Trump
Q. Okay.

What was the content of that conversation?
A. She was very unhappy with the fact the job wasn't being built in a timely manner, it wasn't being built according to what our hotel representatives felt it should be, and I guess this was the beginning of the letters that was sent.
Q. Who hired the hotel staff?
A. Mr. Petrus.
Q. Who paid the hotel staff?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you know how payroll at your organization and its affiliated companies work?
A. It's very different for each company.
Q. Can employees of your organization and its affiliated companies all-- it's not true that each of your affiliated companies has its own payroll? Would you know that?
D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. Some do have their own payroll and some don't. This would have had its own payroll.
Q. When you're talking about this, you're talking about what?
A. Again, it's not our company. This was this is a company that was owned by somebody else. We're just the manager of the building and the licensee.
Q. You're talking about Trump International Hotels Management, LLC?
A. I'm talking about the building in Fort Lauderdale that you're talking about.
Q. You're talking about the people who would work at the hotel as its staff would have their own payroll, is what you're saying?
A. I think so. Most likely.
Q. Why?
A. Because it's a separate entity and it's not owned by The Trump
D. J. Trump

Organization.
Q. When you say it's not owned by The Trump Organization, who would own it? MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. I just told you about fifteen times. This is Mr. Stillman's company. Mr. Stillman is the developer of this site. I don't know what the Bayrock involvement is, whether they were an owner or not. Mr. Stillman is the developer of this site.
Q. What about the hotel management company?
A. That's different.
Q. You would own that; yes?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form of the question.
A. Yes, but you didn't ask me that. You said who would own the building. We own the management company.
Q. Right.
A. As I've said many times.
Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 183.
D. J. Trump
A. Yes. Go ahead.
Q. Do you remember getting this from Mr. Stillman?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.
Q. When something is addressed to You --
A. I remember vaguely. I gave it to my lawyers.
Q.

Okay.
When your company and yourself
entered into all these agreements prior to the project beginning, was there an understanding about how the hotel revenues would be divided between an owner of a unit and whoever is managing the unit?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I think that would be up to the hotel management people to make sure the distributions were made in accordance with a certain procedure.
Q. Hotel management people meaning your company?
A. Mr. Petrus and perhaps Mr.
D. J. Trump

Stillman, working as the owner of the project, you know, the development, they would have to work something out.
Q. Does the word "split" mean anything to you, a hotel room split between owner and manager?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. Yeah, it's a standard phrase.
Q. What's the split in SoHo?
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my accountants. I really don't know what the split is.
Q. Exhibit 184.
A. Yes.
Q. This is a continuation of your correspondence with Mr. Roy Stillman?
A. Correct.
Q. In the dispute over the license agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. And Exhibit 186 .
A. Yes.
Q. This is also a continuation of
D. J. Trump
that correspondence?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Trump, all the letters that were sent by you or --
A. By the way, when $I$ talk about Roy Stillman, $S B$ Hotel, as you know, is the developer.
Q. Well, yes, I --
A. I'm talking about SB Hotel which I believe Mr. Stillman owns. But SB Hotel is the developer, not Mr. Stillman.
Q. I understand that your position is that $S B$ Hotel Associates, LLC is the developer.
A. Whatever the name of that entity is, just so you can be clear on that.
Q. Is it because SB Hotel

Associates, LLC built the building; is that why --

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the form of the question.
A. I just told you, that's the name of the entity that's developing the site, SB Hotel.
D. J. Trump
Q. Okay.

And how do you determine which entity is the entity that's developing a particular site?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. They're known as the developer and the legal entity is the developer.
Q. How do you determine which legal entity is the developer?
A. You'd have to ask their lawyers and our lawyers.
Q. Exhibits $184,182,181,176$, and 169 , are the contents of these exhibits true?

MR. GILLMAN: I object to form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. I don't know what you mean by true.

You mean do $I$ see the exhibits? Yes.

> Are they true?
Q. Yes.
D. J. Trump
A. These are letters written to me; right?
Q. No, sir, they're all sent out on Trump Marks Fort Lauderdale, LLC letterhead.
A. This was written by SB Hotel, they weren't written by us.
Q. Exhibit 169 - -
A. Wait a minute, one hundred eighty-six, you said one hundred eighty-six.
Q. No, I started over.
A. Well, you didn't do that. You gave us the wrong exhibits.

Yes, one hundred sixty-nine is true.
Q. All the letters sent out on Trump Marks Fort Lauderdale, LLC - -
A. Yes, that would be true.
Q. They are all true?
A. But you didn't ask that question before. You asked about somebody else's letters.
Q. Well, let me start over.
D. J. Trump
A. The letters that were sent out on Trump or like signed by Ivanka Trump, yes, we believe they are true.
Q. And they are true today?

MR. GILLMAN: I object to form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. Yes. I mean, I don't know what your definition of today is.
Q. You still believe that they're true today?

MR. GILLMAN: I object to form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
A. Yes.

MS. BECK: Exhibit 324 .
(Whereupon, a copy of a
newspaper article was marked
Plaintiff's Exhibit 324
for identification.)
MS. BECK: It's Bates stamped
BAY-1TRIL 000179 and 180 .
THE WITNESS: Let's go. I'm
ready.
D. J. Trump
Q. Did you ever read this article before?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Mr. Trump, why - - adding Your name to a building adds value; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. How much?
A. I don't know. It depends on the location, it depends on the building, but typically it would add value.
Q. On the low end and high end do you have numbers per square foot?
A. No.
Q. I want to direct your attention to the second page of this article. On the bottom of the middle column it says, "a New York real estate brokerage once estimated Trump's name adds about eighteen percent to prices a condominium tower can charge."

Do you disagree with that?
A. I think it's higher, but
eighteen percent is substantial. But I
D. J. Trump
think it would be actually much higher in many cases.
Q. It also goes on to say, "this gentleman thought Trump could probably tack at least a $\$ 200$ a foot premium in Fort Lauderdale."

Do you agree with that?
A. Yeah, I do. I think that's true.

MS. BECK: This is also a new exhibit, Exhibit 325 .
(Whereupon, an e-mail dated May 13 , 2009 was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 325 for identification.)

MS. BECK: And it's Bates stamped CCVPROD 0003086 and 3087 .

And in conjunction with this one, I also have Plaintiff's Exhibit 326 , which is Bates marked CCVPROD 0003097 up to 3100 , four pages.
(Whereupon, a letter dated June 2, 2009 was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 326 for identification.)
D. J. Trump

THE WITNESS: Are you ready?
MR. GILLMAN: ExCuse me, I have to see Exhibit 326 . They didn't provide me with copies.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: We'll give him the marked one real quick.

MR. GARTEN: Go ahead, Elizabeth. Ask the question.
Q. Have you ever seen this e-mail exchange between Mr. Tom Manno and Roy Stillman before?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Do You know who Mr. Tom Manno is?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Okay.

In the first e-mail of
Plaintiff's Exhibit 325, Mr. Stillman says, "please understand that the Trumps shot a well-placed torpedo that has had the intended effect. They had every reason to think that their letter would cause a default with the bank and cessation of funding. It did."
D. J. Trump

Do you agree with that?
A. $\quad \mathrm{No}$.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of that question.
Q. Do you know what he's referring to when he talks about a well-placed torpedo?
A. No, I don't. They were in default, they understood they were in default, and that's it. It was a very simple and open process. They were in default. They hadn't lived up to the agreement. And we sent them a letter of default.
Q. The license agreement?
A. Yeah.
Q.

Did you ever have a conversation with Mr. Stillman regarding the license agreement prior to sending out the letter of default?
A. I believe - I mean, I had numerous conversations with him saying get going, get going, get it going, get the building going. I had actually heard the
D. J. Trump
bank stopped funding before this, but again that was only hearsay. But there was reasons for default and you see that in the default notice.
Q. Is it your position that the default notice is justified even if it turned out that it was not within Mr. Stillman or SB Hotel Associates, LLCor the Stillman Organization's control?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Well, he was the developer so he had the control.

MR. GILLMAN: Join.
A. He was the developer. He had the control.

Now, $I$ don't think there's any question about that. As the developer, he had the control. Now, $I$ can't speak to his relationship with his bank. I had heard Corus didn't fund before this, but that's up to Mr. Stillman and his bank. I don't know.
Q. Mr. Stillman is the primary
D. J. Trump
person that dealt with the bank?
A. Well, we didn't deal with the $\mathrm{b} a \mathrm{nk}$.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
Q. Why not?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Because as I've said about fifteen times today, we just -- we were the manager. We have a management agreement. Mr. Stillman was the developer.
Q. So it wouldn't be - you
wouldn't be interested in knowing about what's going on with the bank?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. We have to rely on the developer. He's the developer. We relied very heavily on Mr. Stillman as the developer.
Q. What about the estoppel certificates that were signed?
D. J. Trump
A. Standard. Everybody signs them for $a \operatorname{bank}$. You have no choice.
Q. That was sent to you via Mr. Stillman, those estoppel certificates? MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. No, I think probably through the bank, actually. Very standard.
Q. Other than the estoppel certificates, what other interactions did you or your organization or its affiliates have with Corus Bank?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Nothing that $I$ would know of.
Q. You mentioned earlier that it was within Mr. Stillman's control.

What about the lack of funding that he is referencing, was that within his control?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the form.
D. J. Trump
A. Yeah, because if the building were built in a timely manner and according to plans and specifications, the bank would have to fund.
Q. Are you aware that Corus Bank no longer exists?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your response to the statement that funding dried up because Corus Bank was in financial difficulties?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. That's possible, that's possible. And that would not be Mr. Stillman's fault. Corus Bank is a known troubled bank and $I$ don't even know if it exists any longer. And it was known for quite some time, including the time toward the end of this project. So that's possible. And if that's the case, then Mr. Stillman, he cannot be responsible for a major bank that fails. That was a very big bank.
Q. You mentioned earlier - you
D. J. Trump
referenced a crash in the real estate market?
A. Yes.
Q. And in connection with that crash, financing dried up?
A. In connection with -- well, I don't know about this financing but generally speaking financing dried up.

Your clients are actually very lucky that they didn't close on their units because their units would be worth about twenty-five percent of what they would have paid.
Q. Mr. Trump --
A. So they're very lucky. So tell your clients they got very lucky.
Q. Mr. Trump, they testified under oath that they would still like to close.
A. Oh, I don't think so, I don't think so. Unless they have a death wish. Their units would be worth -- just because of the market, not because of the building. But if you look at the market, the market is much lower right now. So

## D. J. Trump

your clients got very lucky that they didn't close. They saved a lot of money and therefore you have absolutely no damages, in my opinion.
Q. Mr. Trump, you said the market is bad.
A. Yeah, very bad.
Q. The market is bad uniformly?
A. The market is very bad
throughout the United States and in large cases throughout the world, yes.
Q. All property basically depreciated in value?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. Yes, $\quad$ would say almost all property depreciated and properties in this area and in Miami and Fort Lauderdale depreciated not just a little bit but tremendous numbers. And as $I$ said before and as $I$ alert my lawyers and your lawyers and everybody else, the fact is that your clients got very lucky that they didn't purchase these units because they saved a
D. J. Trump
tremendous amount of money because their properties would be worth much less right now just because the market conditions. So congratulate your clients.
Q. Mr. Trump, if property values plummeted, was your concern with removing your name from the building being associated with a building that would be valued considerably less than what you originally anticipated?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. No, my concern was we wanted to have a nice hotel that would be open and beautiful and, when people came in, it would be an absolutely beautiful building, and unfortunately that wasn't taking place. Whether values went up or down, that wasn't taking place because whether it was Corus Bank or whether it was Mr. Stillman or his company, the building wasn't being completed. We would love to have a hotel there and unfortunately it wasn't getting built and that's too bad.
D. J. Trump

But your clients had no damages because they would have lost a tremendous amount of money, as did all other people that bought apartments or just about all other people at that time because the market crashed. So your clients have no damages. They got very lucky.
Q. What about the money they put down?
A. That was much less than they would have lost in terms of the depreciation of their units.
Q. What about the money they put down?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. The money they put down is peanuts compared to the value of depreciation. If you sit down with a piece of paper and a pencil, you' ll find that out. Even you will be able to figure that out.
Q. You called it peanuts.

They're not entitled to those
D. J. Trump
peanuts?
A. I said to you that the money that they put down and the money they got back and have gotten back, will get back, is very little compared to the amount of - to the loss of value that all people in Florida and many, many other places, California, Arizona, and throughout the United States have lost. If you look at home values where they went down fifty, sixty, seventy, eighty, and ninety percent, so they did not -- in other words, had this building been built and had they been forced to close on their units, they would have lost a tremendous amount of money.
Q. You mentioned earlier money that they're going to get.
A. I don't know what the situation is, but if they get money back --
Q. What money?
A. I don't know. But if there's money available to be given back, that's fine as far as what I'm concerned.
D. J. Trump
Q. Have you ever seen Plaintiff's Exhibit 326 before?
A. Not that $I$ know of.
Q. Are you aware that Stiles Construction placed a lien on the building in an amount of over $\$ 2$ million?
A. I'm not aware of that, no.
Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 168 .

Have you ever seen this letter
before?
A. It doesn't seen say who he's written to.
Q. I didn't black that out. This was produced by your companies.
A. Not by my company.
Q. It says TMP on the bottom.
A. I don't know what this letter is. I haven't seen it. I mean, important sections are redacted.
Q. Do you know why this says confidential on the bottom, Mr. Trump?
A. No, I have no idea.
Q. Okay.

Mr. Trump, what was your
D. J. Trump
understanding of the conditions that had to be in place for the hotel to open?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. It's got to be a completed building. The building wasn't completed.
Q. According to the Trump standards?
A. No, it wasn't completed not even according to standards. It wasn't completed.
Q. What happens if the building is complete, is there a condition of a certain number of closings that had to happen prior to the hotel opening?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my lawyer.
Q. I'm going to ask you to look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 168 again, the second page. It says, "opening of hotel."

I don't know if you answered this question before, but have you seen
D. J. Trump
this letter before?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: He answered.
A. I don't remember having seen it.
Q. I'm going to tell you Mr. Trump, that this is a closing notice to a buyer of the Fort Lauderdale project.
A. Right.
Q. Okay.

Did you see a draft of any such
letter?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. Did this letter go out with your approval?
A. Not that $I$ know of.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
Q. As you sit here today, do you feel that this letter was sent out -- was a proper correspondence?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Well, $\quad$ think Mr. Stillman and SB Hotel did a great service to people because if they closed, as $I$ said, their
D. J. Trump
units would be worth a lot less money. He's just stating the facts. I haven't seen this but he's stating the facts. He's actually being very honorable in sending such a letter. You'd have to speak to the lawyers. But he is stating the facts and, had people actually closed on their unit, they would have lost a lot of money because the unit values have gone down so substantially.
Q. When you say honorable, what do you mean?
A. Well, he's stating facts that a lot of people wouldn't state. He talks about the default notice, he talks about other elements, and $I$ think he saved these people from losing a lot of value after they purchased the unit.
Q. Mr. Trump, are you basically saying that, because he was honest in this letter, he scared off buyers from closing?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. He actually saved them a lot of
D. J. Trump
money because the values from the date of this letter have gone down very substantially.
Q. That's not 2000 . That's not May, 2000 .
A. No. I think it's probably 2009 . Values have gone down very substantially.
Q. If you look at the second page of this letter, Mr. Stillman or SB Hotel Associates, LLC states that, "given the uncharted economic climate," it goes on to say that, "we do not believe that the hotel operation will open if purchasers have closed on fewer fifty percent of the units in the condominium."

Do you believe that to be true at the time?

MR. GILLMAN: I object to form. MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. He's stating his opinion.
Q. What's your opinion?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
D. J. Trump
A. My opinion is that their units would have gone down in value and they're lucky they didn't close.
Q. What's your opinion as to the statement that the hotel operation could not open unless fifty percent of the people closed?

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the form.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. That's really up to $S B$ and to Mr. Stillman to say, not to me. I mean, he's the developer, as I've told you before. I'm not the developer. So he would know that number better than us. He was the developer. That was his opinion. I didn't write the letter; he wrote it.
Q. Did you or your organization and/or its affiliates have -- did you stay abreast of the closing process --
A. See abreast?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
D. J. Trump
Q. Stay abreast.
A. You mean stay abreast?
Q. Well, English is not my first language, Mr. Trump.
A. Okay.

Well, that's good. I have great respect for that.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to
form.
Q. Thank you.
A. What is your first language?
Q. Korean.
A. Okay. Excellent.

So go ahead, what's your problem?

MS. BECK: Could you read back my
last question, please.
(Whereupon the requested portion
was read back by the reporter).
Q. Of the closing process and the sales process.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. We were watching but we were
D. J. Trump
really much more interested in making sure that the hotel -- that the developer completed the hotel. That was our primary interest because we were going to be operating a hotel and unfortunately it wasn't completed.
Q. You, The Trump Organization or its affiliates, didn't have an interest in making sure that people closed at certain prices?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. No, we didn't as I said, we were watching for the completion of the hotel. We were getting ready to manage the hotel. Unfortunately, they couldn't get it completed not only in standards but they couldn't get it completed. So we were certainly interested in seeing that everybody got everything right. By watching the standards, we were trying to make it good for everybody so that when they did buy a unit, if they did buy a unit, they would get a unit that was built
D. J. Trump
to a high standard.
Q. What is your understanding of whether or not a buyer can occupy the unit?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. You mean according to his letter?
Q. Well, he references occupy issues.
A. You'll have to ask Mr. Stillman because he wrote the letter, I didn't.
Q. What is your understanding of the occupancy?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
A. I don't have an understanding. I'm not the developer. All we did was manage the hotel, hopefully. We wanted to manage the hotel.
Q. You mentioned earlier that the project was not completed.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection.
Q. At that time when the default
D. J. Trump
letter was sent out?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. What is your definition of
completion?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form of the question.
Q. When is a project - -
A. Completion as far as we're
concerned, because we're the manager of
the hotel, was when it was furnished and
ready and beautiful and everything was
perfect and the elevators were working
properly and all of the things that we
needed to operate a first class hotel.
They weren't done, unfortunately. That's
my definition of completed, furnished and
beautiful.
Q. It has nothing to do with
obtaining a certificate of occupancy from
the required authorities?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form.
MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the
form.
D. J. Trump
A. We need more than a certificate of occupancy. We need furniture in the rooms. Again, we're running a hotel. So you can get a certificate of occupancy without having it furnished but in the meantime people bought hotel rooms and they bought hotels. From our standard, I don't know what their closing standard was, but from our standard, in terms of running a first class operation, we needed everything one hundred percent according to Hoyle. We needed furnishings, we needed beautiful elevators, we needed it to be done in a first class manner.
Q. Were you aware that a certificate of occupancy was obtained for the building, for the project?
A. Well, there may have been a construction certificate of occupancy, but you needed furnishing and you needed other things. It was not in good shape, as you probably know.

MS. BECK: Exhibit 327 .
(Whereupon, an e-mail dated
D. J. Trump

May 13 , 2009 was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 327 for identification.)
Q. Mr. Trump, the first e-mail of this chain is an e-mail from Mr. Schwarz to Stillman and he states here, "with you on the completion and Trump the direct and proximate cause of the direct default. I guess we can all see where this is heading." And he goes on to say, "was a default under the license agreement a default under the loan."

Do you know if a default under the license agreement is a default under the loan?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. I would think so.
Q. Why?
A. Because - -

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. - they bought a high standard building and unfortunately it wasn't being built. I'm not sure it was even being
D. J. Trump
funded before this was sent because $I$ had heard it wasn't being funded prior to any letters being sent. Obviously the hotel was not at a level that it could have been a hotel and it certainly was at a very low standard. It was not the standard that we had signed onto.

MS. BECK: We're going to go to
the original marketing materials.
Q. You can look. There's a number --
A. Okay. I looked.
Q. I'm going to introduce them into the record again. They are Exhibits 301 , $302,304,305,306,307,311,312,313$, and 314 .
A. Okay.
Q. Have you seen these before?
A. Yes.
Q. They were all manufactured and prepared with your approval?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. With my representatives'
D. J. Trump
approval, yes. Mr. Petrous would have approved this.
Q. All these exhibits - -
A. Go ahead.

MS. BECK: Off the record for a second.
(Discussion held off the record at 12:21 pom.)
(Whereupon the deposition
resumed at 12:21 pom.)
Q. Mr. Trump, there's text in these marketing materials that $I$ just showed you.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you feel that that text is accurate?
A. You'd have to show me.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
Q. Well, do you feel that they overstate your involvement in the project?
A. What are you referring to? Show me.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
D. J. Trump
form of the question.
Q. For example, Exhibit 307 states, "the signature development by Donald J. Trump will become a destination for many and a home for the select few."
A. Well, I think that's standard advertising language that frankly we were hoping to have that, absolutely. We have a beautiful site on the water, yes. We were looking to have that. This would have been a signature development or we wouldn't have done it.
Q. You have other signature developments; isn't that true?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. And your position is that those signature developments are developed by you, you are the developer?
A. In some cases they're developed by me and in some cases they're not.
Q. Why does this advertising material not state this signature development or this development by $S B$ Hotel Associates, LLC with Donald Trump as
D. J. Trump
licensor?
A. Well, according to my lawyers, it's all over the documents, it's all over the -- you can't put everything into a small ad. It's all over the documents that I'm not the developer.
Q. Well, what about the documents before you with the advertising materials - -
A. You can't put it in advertising materials because there's not enough room. But the documents they signed, it's all over the place that I'm not the developer.
Q. What happens if there are statements that contradict each other?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
form of the question.
A. I don't see any contradiction. I think it's very simple.
Q. It's your testimony that the statement "this signature development by Donald J. Trump" is consistent with the position that Donald J. Trump is not a developer of this project?
D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Absolutely. They've signed documents that say I'm not the developer of the site. Absolutely.
Q. What documents are those?
A. My lawyers can show you.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: If YOu want him to identify it.
Q. Is it your testimony that - I was going to go through other texts here, and we can do that or $I$ can just ask you --
A. Go ahead and ask me whatever you'd like.
Q. The text in these exhibits, is it your testimony that they don't overstate your involvement with the project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. No, my involvement with the project is stated in agreements that everybody signed. This is a very short
D. J. Trump
form ad, mostly pictures, of what the development is going to look like.
Q. And you agree with the language contained in these advertisements and marketing materials?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. I believe it is a signature development, yes. This is a beautiful - this would have been a beautiful development had they been able to complete it. But it would have been worth a lot less than what the people signed on to buy it for.
Q. Any text in these marketing materials, are there any text in these marketing materials that you would disagree with?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to form.
A. I would have to take them back, read them, and get back to you.
Q. Mr. Trump, we can do that right now.
D. J. Trump

This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 306 . Is that a letter prepared by you?
A. Yeah, this is an advertising letter.
Q. That's your signature; yes?
A. Yes, it is.
Q.

Do you stand by the statements in that letter?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. Well, $I$ stand by the legal documents that everybody signed. This is a Trump International Hotel and Tower, it is a magnificent oceanfront resort offering the finest - this would have happened had the building been completed. Unfortunately the developer wasn't able to complete the building.
Q. I understand.

Do you stand by the statements in this letter?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
D. J. Trump
A. I stand by the statements that are in the document that everybody signed. Q. But not this letter?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I have no problem with that letter. But that's just a quick little advertising piece. But $I$ stand by the statements that are in the document that everybody read and everybody signed and everybody fully understands. And they knew $I$ wasn't the per se developer. I was running it, $I$ was managing it, and we were going to manage it beautifully. Unfortunately it never got completed.
Q. Okay.

Do you feel that the statements in this letter which starts out with, "it is great pleasure that $I$ present my latest development," this letter is consistent with the documents that the buyers signed? MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. I think it goes along with

## D. J. Trump

document. It's not one or the other. It goes along with the document. The document obviously is signed and in great detail and it explains my role.
Q. And this letter does not contradict any document that a buyer signed?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. No, I don't think it contradicts.
Q. Exhibits $311,312,313$, and 314. I didn't mention them earlier.

Mr. Trump, is there a difference between being a developer of a project versus a licensor/hotel manager of a project from the building being completed point of view?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. The developer would build the building, would make sure that the building is completed. The licensor would be licensing the name.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. If the bank took over the building, it could. If it was unsuccessfully developed, the bank might take the name off the building.
Q. Is that decision the bank's decision?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form.
A. It depends. If it was developed by me and if it didn't work out and the bank took it over, $I$ guess the bank could do whatever they wanted with the name. They could leave it or take it off.
Q. But that decision would not rest with you; is that correct?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the
D. J. Trump
form of the question.
A. You're talking about if a building was developed by me and was taken back by a bank?
Q. I'm talking about if a building was developed by you, the way you understand developer to be, you are the developer of a building, would that building lose the Trump name or the logo? MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection.
A. I mean, it's a very simplistic question. It depends under what circumstances.

You mean if the building was successful?
Q. In any circumstance could it lose it?
A. It depends. It could lose it if it had a mortgage and the bank took back the building.
Q. Let's think about all the instances where that building could lose the Trump name.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the

MR. RUSSOMANNO: I object to the form of the question.
A. Not in all instances, no.

MS. BECK: Mr. Trump, I am told that there's supposed to be a lunch break today --

MR. GARTEN: Why don't we just keep going?

THE WITNESS: Why don't we just finish it up and be done. I'd rather do that.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Can we take a one-minute break for the restroom?

MS. BECK: Yes, of course. I was going to say we take a five to ten-minute break.

THE WITNESS: You have to take a one-minute break? Can we go on and finish this? Let's not take a one-minute break. Your son can take
D. J. Trump
over.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Let's go.
MS. BECK: Well, $I$ have to go to the bathroom.

THE WITNESS: So go to the bathroom.

MS. BECK: Off the record.
(Whereupon a break was taken at
12:31 p.m.)
(Whereupon the deposition
resumed at 12:41 p.m.)
MS. BECK: Let the record reflect
Mr. Trump has left the room. It is
not clear whether he will return. Mr.
Herman Russomanno has indicated he would like to state something on the record.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: Let the record reflect Herman Russomanno III on behalf of Donald Trump and Trump Organization.

Prior to a short recess, there
was an inquiry as to whether we can complete the deposition. Counsel for
D. J. Trump
the plaintiffs initially indicated that yes, they'll work through. My father asked for a bathroom break. Counsel for Plaintiff agreed that she would like a bathroom break.

We came back into the room and counsel for the plaintiffs then made a representation that she now needs a one-hour break for medical conditions. As all counsel in this room know, there was never any objections throughout the week for any medical condition break and these medical condition breaks every day up to today took place at 2:30, 3:00. This deposition would have finished prior to and counsel could have had the medical condition.

The deposition was terminated, as my father indicated on the record earlier, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30 (d) (3) (a). Should Plaintiffs -- because of the annoying, embarrassing, oppressiveness conduct,

## D. J. Trump

and bad faith by Plaintiff's counsel in questioning, the deposition has been terminated. Obviously counsel has a right to go back before the court and get a court order to have the deposition to be reconvened. And obviously the defendants will appear and brief an opposition to that motion should one be asserted.

Thank you.
MR. GILLMAN: Let me go on the record to say that, as I said I would, I was checking on whether or not Mr. Schwarz could be available to conclude or to go forward as Plaintiffs have requested on Bayrock for the half hour that you said that you indicated. He will be here Friday at 9:30.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Mr.
Gillman. Thank you, Mr. Russomanno. This is Jared Beck on behalf of all Plaintiffs.

First to address Mr. Gillman, we appreciate that accommodation and we

## D. J. Trump

will take or continue with Bayrock's deposition at the time indicated. I assume that's going to be before we start the deposition of SB Hotel, I believe it is?

MR. GILLMAN: I think the $S B$
Hotel is starting tomorrow. You've noticed the deposition of Mr. Stillman individually and as $S B$ and $I$ 've advised that Mr. Stillman will be the representative, the designee of it. I expect and understand that there would be no intention to repeat questions from one deposition to the other.

MR. BECK: Right.
MR. GILLMAN: Mr. Stillman, when he answers on Thursday, tomorrow, will be answering in both capacities. Obviously to the extent that there's something that doesn't get concluded, we would carry over on Friday.

Is that agreed?
MR. BECK: Let me just say I think maybe we'll be able to clarify
D. J. Trump
this maybe more when the deposition starts. There may be instances where there's a distinction between the two, there may be not, and maybe it's better left tomorrow when we bring out the deposition notice of $S B$ Hotel and we look at those topics and then maybe you can restate that at that time and we can - our intention certainly is not to keep Mr. Stillman --

MR. GILLMAN: The standard
procedure, which $I$ assumed we will follow, is that Mr. Stillman will be answering and I'm telling you he'll be answering the questions as designee and as individually. If there's some particular question that you think needs to be differentiated, we can do it at the time.

MR. BECK: I understand that and we're going to do our best to respect Mr. Stillman's time. And we do appreciate the accommodation on Bayrock.

## D. J. Trump

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: So Julius is going when, Bayrock?

MR. BECK: Friday.
MR. GILLMAN: He's unavailable tomorrow.

MR. BECK: So I appreciate that. Let me now turn to Mr.

Russomanno's statement.
We strenuously object that any portion of this deposition was harassing or intended to harass or outside the boundary of what the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide and the transcript will be quite clear on that. But that's going to be for another date and time and I don't think we need to sit here and argue the merits of that now.

As to the issue of Mr. Trump apparently getting up and leaving the room and his attorneys and him terminating this deposition prior to us getting in our entitlement under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
D. J. Trump

I think we ended at, by my account, 12:30 and we began at approximately 10:30.

Is there any disagreement to that?

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: None. We were here for about two hours, I agree.

MR. BECK: We were advised by the law firm of Kramer Levin which we went out of our way to actually shift the deposition from the originally noticed location -- at Mr. Trump's attorneys' request we shifted the deposition to this location at his request and throughout this week we've been in communication with representatives of Kramer Levin law firm who have been coordinating this deposition. We also advised the Russomanno firm in conjunction with the shifting of the location to these offices, the Kramer Levin offices where we're situated, that Ms. Beck, who's also counsel for
D. J. Trump
the plaintiff and was conducting - counsel for the plaintiffs and was conducting the deposition of Mr.

Trump, that she would require a break during the deposition at some point to take care of a medical condition.

Now, prior to today's -- and we have been taking those breaks and we have been accommodated in that respect throughout the week. So on Monday we were accommodated in that respect during the deposition of Mr. Schwarz and on Tuesday we were accommodated in that respect during the deposition, corporate deposition, of The Trump Organization.

Prior to today's deposition, we were sent e-mails indicating that there was going to be a lunch break during this deposition. We were sent these e-mails by representatives of the Kramer Levin law firm. We were asked if we wanted to order anything for lunch. We were notified that
D. J. Trump
there were lunch reservations for five people and based on those e-mails it was our understanding that the deponent had requested a lunch break to commence at 12:30. Mr. Russomanno has requested that $I$ produce those e-mails to him. I will do so. I'll certainly do so in advance of any motion practice on this issue. But I don't have them in any form that $I$ can produce them now; $I$ have to go back into my e-mails and get those.

As such, Ms. Beck scheduled her medical condition treatment around the assumption that there was going to be a break at 12:30 and prepared herself this morning pursuant to what we understood was going to be a break in the deposition. When it came time to 12: 30, the break was requested through Mr. Russomanno - is it Senior?

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: That's fine, Senior.

MR. BECK: The elder Russomanno.

## D. J. Trump

We requested privacy -- the medical condition is somewhat of a sensitive issue. Obviously we didn't want to have an open discussion about it with Mr. Trump seated here. And $I$ also want to reflect for the record that Mr. Trump, from our perspective, behaved in a quite insulting manner throughout the course of the deposition, accusing of us not knowing what we were doing, calling us crazy, and so forth.

But be that as it may, we asked Mr. Russomanno, the time having come to 12:30, let's proceed to the break that was scheduled, provided to us was necessary pursuant to the medical breaks we've been taking throughout this week and Mr. Trump appeared to get very animated, agitated, even more hostile at that point, indicating that he would under no circumstances allow for $a \operatorname{break}$ of any kind even after he was advised of the medical nature of
D. J. Trump
it, even after he was advised that the Kramer Levin law firm had previously advised us of the necessity or the scheduling, $I$ should say, of a lunch break at 12:30, in fact even had solicited our reservations for lunch this morning. And $I$ believe that was Ms. Merrill. I just don't have -MS. BECK: I believe she's a paralegal at the firm.

MR. BECK: The bottom line is that Mr. Trump, from our viewpoint, stormed out of this deposition at that point in time. He did so in a completely unjustified manner. There was no basis for terminating this deposition after just two hours of testimony on extremely relevant documents and we believe the record will reflect that. He actually asked -- at one point he said we should just come back to New York in two weeks. Be that as it may, we strenuously disagree with Mr. Trump's
D. J. Trump
conduct in storming out of the deposition. We strenuously disagree with his lawyers' apparently advising him to cease giving testimony, and we believe this is grounds for sanctions.

We intend to file a motion for sanctions with the court. We intend to file a motion to reopen the deposition. We intent to seek recovery of our fees and costs for doing so against Mr. Trump and The Trump Organization, and we'llfile those motions when we get back to Florida.

And $I$ think that covers our position.

Ms. Beck, is there anything that you can think of?

MS. BECK: I would just like to state on the record that we will stay here for the next hour. If Mr.

Russomanno, if your client would reconsider coming back and completing the deposition, we remain willing to
D. J. Trump
do so. So we will stay in this conference room for the next hour. You can send me an e-mail or callme. If you can let me know now, if would be appreciated, but perhaps you would like to reserve that option.

MR. BECK: I don't know the - - I assume that Kramer Levin wouldn't have a problem with us staying here for the next hour under that representation.

Is that fair?
MR. RUSSOMANNO III: When You guys are done, let me know.

MR. BECK: That was more of a question to you.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: I don't think they'll care.

MS. BECK: Can you state for certain whether or not your client will come back today?

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: Are you guys done? And then I'll go.

In response, we'll let the record speak for itself in terms of
D. J. Trump
the deposition conduct in which the deposition was terminated per the federal rules.

As we all know in this room, there was no e-mails exchanged between my law firm, me, and the Beck and Lee firm in regard to lunch today and in regard to what time lunch was being scheduled. There was no agreement that we would take lunch at 12:30. There was no notice prior to the deposition starting that Ms. Lee needed to take a break at 12:30 for one hour. And we'll let the record reflect that.

In terms of -- the only thing $I$ want to make clear is that you must have only assumed that we had advised Mr. Trump to leave because you don't know that for a fact. There was no communications here on that. And the deposition was terminated per the federal rules.

The only other thing that $I$
D. J. Trump
would add is that, since Mr. Beck made a comment about the off-record conduct or alleged conduct of Mr. Trump, the record would also like to reflect that it's the defendants' position that there was improper conduct by Ms. Lee, not Mr. Beck who I think is a complete gentleman and a professional. And I'm not going to get into the details of that --

MS. BECK: I would like to ask you on the record what conduct you're referring to.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: If YOu screaming back and forth with Mr. Trump is the conduct that I'm referring to --

MS. BECK: I disagree with your characterization of it.

MR. BECK: Now is not the time for argument.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: I usually don't reference to off-the-record conduct, but because Mr. Beck did
D. J. Trump
reference to the off-the-record conduct of Trump, $I$ wanted to mention that. So that's fair.

That's all $I$ have to say.
MR. BECK: I apologize for prolonging this, but $I$ just have to make one more comment since we delved into the territory of off-record conduct.

For the record, $I$ typically abide by your practice, Mr.

Russomanno, except in situations where we're talking about a potential motion to reopen the deposition. We may have to tell the judge why we believe the deposition was terminated. That may have to -- there may be indications of why -- in the off-record conduct.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: I have no problem with you putting off-record conduct just as long as I've made my comment, without getting into details which $I$ don't think it necessary.

MR. BECK: I'd just like to make
D. J. Trump
an amendment to that.
Yesterday at around 5:00, by my approximation, we were asked to move this deposition to the Trump headquarters. This had been noticed at Kramer Levin for quite some time. And that request was given to us by Alan Garten, who we understand to be Trump's in-house counsel. It was joined in by Mr. Russomanno, the younger, and the reason given by Mr. Garten was that Mr. Trump had appointments in the morning and for that reason needed to be in his office in order to proceed with the deposition. We disagreed with the proposition to move the deposition. We did not consent to that. And Mr. Garten seemed fairly agitated about our refusal to move the deposition that had long been noticed at Kramer Levin.

That said, $I$ just want to make sure that those facts are reflected in
D. J. Trump
the record as well.
MR. RUSSOMANNO III: Well, if you want to mention that, that was a moot issue because the deposition took place at Kramer Levin today at 10:30. Mr. Trump was on time and he was here and we're all here.

If you want to address that issue, $I$ don't see the need for it, but if you want to we can let the record reflect that the request to move the deposition by Mr. Garten was rejected by Plaintiff's counsel based upon the fact that Bayrock's deposition allegedly ended early, which obviously had nothing to do with Trump or Trump Org.

But $I$ don't think we should waste any more time on this issue because it's a moot issue. The deposition was at Kramer Levin at 10:30, on time, and there was no problem with that after we left. MR. BECK: I just want to add a
D. J. Trump
couple of points because you say it's a moot issue. I don't think it's a moot issue.

The reason $I$ say that is, first of all, the reason that the request was rejected is because, after the first day of deposition, it became clear to us that our ability to take a seven-hour deposition was going to be somewhat a contested issue in this case and I'll leave it at that.

That's why we rejected the request yesterday. In addition, it just simply wasn't convenient for us to move the deposition. It had been noticed here and we are more comfortable in not being in Mr. Trump's offices and certainly after his conduct today $I$ will emphasize that fact.

That said, it became - looking back, putting those facts yesterday in context, the facts today, it is our opinion -- and we will bring this
D. J. Trump
before the court -- that Mr. Trump had no intention of sitting for a seven-hour deposition today and we believe that his terminating the deposition after two hours is a consequence of that.

MR. RUSSOMANNO III: Anybody
else?
MR. GILLMAN: What time are we
starting tomorrow?
MR. BECK: 10:00.
(TIME NOTED: 1:03 p.m.)
(Signature of witness)
Subscribed and sworn to
before me this $\qquad$
day of $\qquad$ , 2011.
$\qquad$
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| 13:8,10 46:23,25 | 23 | $150: 1$ | 104:6,8,9 |
| 0,23 52:16 |  | :21 | find 22:21 107:21 |
| 19 62:5,16 63:2 | excellent 115:14 | facts 112:3,4,8,1 | fine 22:24 23:24, |
| 90:12 |  | 149:25 151:23,2 | 08:25 141:2 |
| enters 39:21 | exchanged 146:6 | failed 80:6,11 | finest 127:17 |
| entire 54:23 | excuse 67:20 98:3 | fails 103 | finish 22:14 1 |
| entities 8:21 10:12 | executive 18:21 | $\text { fair } 145: 12148:$ | 132:24 |
| 2,16 39:21 41:8 | exercise $25: 8$ | fairly 11:6 20:2 | finished 44:18 84:17 |
| 1:17 63:10 | ibi |  | 84:18,23 85:4,11,12 |
| entitled 4:9 84:2 | 7:20 18:6 19:7 37:7 |  | 85:14,18 134:17 |
| :21 107:25 | 7:10 48:8, | -18 | firm 139:11,19,21 |
| 153:10 | 50:8,18 52:5,6 | familiar 9:21 | 140:23 143:3, |
| entitlement 138:24 | 53:14 56:11, | $78: 2$ | 146:7,8 |
| entity 29:4 61:20 | :22 60:23 61:23 | far 30:24 66:24 67:4 | first 4:3 14:2 31:8 |
| 71:4 88:24 92:16,24 | 65:25 66:23 69:1 |  | 49:6,8 53:16 54:14 |
| 93:4,4,9,11 | 70:4,8,19 71:24 |  | 56:13 70:20 98:18 |
| equal $42: 356$ | 72:9 | 134.21 | 115:4,12 118:15 |
| 0:8 | 76:10 77:8 78:21 | fault | 119:11,15 120:4 |
| errata | 81:3 82:10 86:20 | fax | 35:24 151:5 |
| esq 2:6,7,14, | 89:25 91:15,23 94:9 | fed | fit $83: 3$ |
| 3:7 | 95:17,20 97:12,12 | 138:14,25 146:4,24 | five 74:2 104:13 |
| essentially | 97:15,20,25 98:4,19 | fee | 132:20 141:2 |
| estate 5:18,22,25 6 | 109:3,9 110:22 | fee | flagler $2: 4,18$ |
| 6:10 96:19 104:2 | 119:24 120:3 123 | 57:15 | flat 17:10 |
| timated 9 | 127:2 153:10,13,15 | fee | floor 75:12 |
| estoppel 57:23 58:6 | 153:17,19 | 19 122:16,21 | florida $1: 22: 5,13$, |
| 58:16,18,21 59:2,13 | exhibits | 128:18 | 2:24 53:3 62:6,9 |
| 60:7,13,22 62:25 | 35:5 51:6 73:18 | fees 39:22 | 62:19 108:8 144: |
| 63:3,18 101:2 | 14,16,22 94:15 | 43:2 51:15 144: | flowed 43:17 |
| 102:5,10 | $1: 15$ |  | follow 137:1 |
| estoppels | 125:17 129:13 | felt 87: | follows 4:5 |
| et $1: 4,727: 842: 19$ | exists 103:7,18 | fewer 113:1 | food $72: 11$ |
| 58:14,14 59:20,20 | exited 65:20 | 9:7 | foot 52:18 53: |
| eugene 8:5,11,14 |  | - 3.511 .16, | 96:14 97: |
| everybody 102:2 |  | fifty 108:11 113 | force 24 : |
| 105:23 116:21,23 | ex | 114:7 | forced 108: |
| 125:25 127:14 | expires |  | form 5:24 8:8,23 |
| 8:3,11,11,12 | explain |  | 0:16 11:4 |
| ything's 60:8 | extent 136:20 |  | 13:20 15:2,16 16:4 |
| evidence 7:13 | extremely 143: | finance $36: 23$ | 16:24 17:8 18:4,13 |
| exactly 52:24 |  |  | 18:16,19,24 19:18 |
|  |  |  | 20:8,14,20 21:15,19 |

Entered on FLSD Docket 06/04/2012 175
[form - happening]

| 23:17,19 24:15,21 | 131:2 132:2,7 | generally 9:12 28:19 | 83:12 84:15,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:5,14 26:20 27:2 | 141:11 | 58:16 60:18 104:9 | 99:24,24,24,25 |
| 28:3,7,17,18 29:12 | fort 10:4,12 11:10 | gentleman 18:9 97:5 | 101:17 108:19 |
| 29:20 30:2 31:10 | 12:9,10,20 14:2 | 147:9 | 110:21 111:5 116:5 |
| 33:11 34:4,16,23,24 | 19:2 29:3 39:5 41:2 | getting 13:6 83:8 | 121:9,14 125:12 |
| 36:2,10,15,22 37:11 | 44:12 50:25 52:19 | 90:3 106:25 116:16 | 126:3 128:15 |
| 38:8 39:7,12 41:5 | 66:5 68:9,15 71:2 | 138:21,24 148:23 | 132:13,20 136:4 |
| 41:17 42:7,11,14 | 74:11 81:8 88:16 | gillman 2:14 15:2 | 137:22 138:3,16 |
| 43:8 44:5,16 46:10 | 94:5,19 97:7 105:19 | 23:17,21 24:19 | 140:20 141:16,19 |
| 46:13 47:25 48:6,21 | 111:7 | 28:17 47:25 64:6 | 147:10 151:10 |
| 49:2,14 50:3,12 | forth 142:13 147:16 | 79:24 82:21 92:21 | good 15:4 115:7 |
| 51:13 52:3,14,22 | forty 56:24 | 93:17 95:6,13 98:3 | 116:23 119:22 |
| 53:11 54:3,16 55:15 | forward 60:9 | 100:15 102:24 | gotten 54:9 108:5 |
| 56:19 57:10,17 58:2 | 135:16 | 113:19 114:9 | graduated 6:2 |
| 58:9 59:7,17,25 | found 67:8 | 118:24 135:12,21 | great 7:6 41:18 72:4 |
| 60:17 61:3,7,13 | four 13:16 $25: 25$ | 135:24 136:7,17 | 111:24 115:7 |
| 62:12,21 63:12,23 | 33:4 35:5 43:23 | 137:12 138:5 | 128:20 129:4 |
| 64:6,14,20 65:22 | 74:2 97:21 | 152:10 | greater 53:7 |
| 66:10,18 67:24 | frankel 1:18 | give 6:22,25 7:3 | gross 17:12,14,15 |
| 68:17 69:12 70:24 | frankly 82:6 123:8 | 28:10 49:25 98:6 | ground 30:17 |
| 71:7,20 72:17 74:14 | friday 135:19 | given 108:24 113:11 | grounds 144:6 |
| 75:14 77:4,20 78:7 | 136:22 138:4 | 149:8,12 | group 2:11 10:20 |
| 78:15 79:25 80:4 | front 39:14 | giving 7:9 60:15 | 11:2 14:25 20:24 |
| 81:15,23 82:21 | fully 128:12 | 144:5 | 35:7 65:7 76:2 85:7 |
| 83:10,20 84:2,11 | fund 100:22 103:5 | go 22:24 23:6,8 | guess 35:7 53:23 |
| 85:24 86:5,15 88:3 | funded 121:2,3 | 28:23 32:10 33:3 | 61:8 72:10 74:19 |
| 89:6,19 90:18 91:9 | funding 98:25 100:2 | 43:22 45:7 53:18 | 87:10 120:9 130:20 |
| 92:22 93:7,17,19 | 102:19 103:10 | 56:9 66:24 67:4,5 | guys 145:14,22 |
| 95:6,8,13,15 99:5 | furnished 118:11,17 | 70:15,15 78:17,18 | h |
| 100:12 101:6,9,19 | 119:6 | 78:19 86:21 90:2 | h 2:7 18:10 153:8 |
| 102:7,15,23,25 | furnishing 83:3 | 95:24 98:8 111:13 | half $42: 343: 6$ |
| 103:13 105:16 | 119:21 | 115:15 121:9 122:5 | 135:17 |
| 106:13 107:17 | furnishings 119:13 | 125:12,15 132:23 | hammered 40: |
| 110:5,18 111:17,22 | furniture 27:8 119:3 | 133:3,4,6 135:5,12 | hand $37: 23,24$ |
| 112:24 113:19,21 | further 154:16 | 135:16 141:12 | $154: 23$ |
| 113:25 114:10,12 | g | 145:23 | handing 63:19 |
| $114: 25 ~ 115: 10,24$ $116 \cdot 13117: 7,17$ |  |  | handled 41:20,22 |
| $116: 13117: 7,17$ $118: 7,23,25120 \cdot 17$ | garten 3:7 6:14,20 | 113:12 120:10 | happen 8:25 9:25 |
| $118: 7,23,25120: 17$ $120: 22 ~ 121: 24$ | 7:6 23:6 45:17 | 128:25 129:3 | 10:7 16:7 86:13,16 |
| $120: 22$ 121:24 $122: 20$ 123:2 | 55:18,22,24 98:8 | going 4:25 14:5 | 110:16 130:7 |
| 124:18 125:3,22 | 132:12 149:9,13,20 | $35: 3 \text { 39:3,17 }$ | happened 10:3,5 |
| 126:2,8,21 127:12 |  | 52:10,25 55:6 56:10 | 77:5 83:15 127:18 |
| 127:25 128:6,24 | general 22:6 64:22 | 60:9 61:22 62:2,14 | happening 84:13 |
| 129:10,21 130:9,17 | 64:22 77:14 | 69:3 78:17 82:9 | 85:9 |


| $\text { happens } 110: 13$ | 62:5,15,17 63:20,25 | $147: 15,23148: 20$ $150 \cdot 3152 \cdot 8$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :15 | 64:8,16 66:5,7,14 | 152:8 | 121:14 |
| harass 138:12 | 81:9 86:23 87:8,12 | important 73:6 | 67:670:18 |
| harasses 31:4 | 87:14 88:19 89:14 | 109:19 | invades 31:5 |
| harassing 138:12 | 90:14,20,23 91:6 | improper 31:23 | involved 10:11,12 |
| harassment 45:9 | 92:7,10,11,14,18,25 | 147:7 | 13:18,23 25:17 26:5 |
| hard 37:4 40:25 | 94:7 100:9 106:15 | incentive 53:13 | 59:9 73:10 74:23 |
| 42:4,16 43:6 65:11 | 106:24 110:3,16,23 | including 54:4 | 75:2 84:19 |
| hardworking 67:9 | 111:24 113:10,14 | 103:19 | involvement 10:25 |
| he'll 137:15 | 114:6 116:3,4,6,16 | income 56:25 57:13 | 11:5 34:14 35:2 |
| head 73:13 | 116:17 117:20,21 | increase 76:8 | 58:13,20 89:11 |
| heading 120:10 | 118:11,15 119:4,7 | indicated 31:13 | 122:22 125:19,23 |
| headquarters 149:6 | 121:4,6 123:25 | 133:16 134:2,21 | issue 56:576:24 |
| heard 77:23 99:25 | 127:15 129:17 | 135:18 136:3 | 138:20 141:10 |
| 100:22 121:3 | 136:5,8 137:7 155:3 | indicating 140:19 | 142:4 150:5,10,20 |
| hearsay 100:3 | hotels 61:9 63:5 | 142:22 | 150:21 151:3,4,11 |
| heavily 59:9 101:22 | 88:14 119:8 | indications 148:18 | issued 33:15 |
| held 1:17 122:8 | hour 134:10 135:17 | indirectly 154:19 | issues 117:11 |
| 154:7 | 144:22 145:3,11 | individual 4:22 63:4 | ivanka 40:8 95:3 |
| hereunder 42:2 | 146:15 151:10 | 63:9 | j |
| hereunto 154:22 | 152:4 | individually 61:20 | j 1:15 2:20,21 4:2 |
| herman 2:20,21 | hours 139:8 143:1 | 136:10 137:17 | 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 |
| 133:16,20 | 52:6 | information 7:14 | 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 |
| herman2 | house | 23 | 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 |
| high 27:3,9,12 74:7 | hoyle 119:13 | initially 134:2 | 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 |
| 96:13 117:2 120:23 | hrussomanno 2:20 | input 72:22 73:3, | 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 |
| higher 96:24 97:2 | huh 71:15 81:11 | inquiry 133:24 | 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 |
| hire 78:5,8 | humiliates 31:4 | instances 131:23 | 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 |
| hired 87:12 | hundred 13:16 | 132:4,8 137:3 | 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 |
| hock 1:20 154:4 | 61:24 70:10,11,12 | insulting 142:9 | 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 |
| hold 4:15 84:24 | 94:10,11,16 119:12 | intend 144:7,8 | 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 |
| :21 | i | intended 98:22 | 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 |
| me 1 | idea 50:5 62:22 | 138:12 | 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 |
| honest 112:21 | 09:23 | intent 14 | 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 |
| honorable 112:5,12 | identification 4:12 | intention 136:14 | 58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1 |
| hopefully 117:20 | 95:21 97:15,25 | 137:10 152:3 | 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 |
| hoping 123:9 | 120:3 | interactions 102 | 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 |
| hostile 142:22 | identify 125:10 | interest 116:5,9 | 70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1 |
| hotel 1:7 2:10 13:12 | ii 38:4 40:24 43:5 | interested 101:16 | 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1 |
| 16:8,8,22 17:3,16 | iii 2:21 70:10 98:6 | 116:2,20 154:19 | 78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1 |
| 17:20 25:16 26:13 | 133:19,20 138:2 | interface 14:17 | 82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1 |
| 27:8,10 35:4 39:4 | 139:7 141:23 | international 39:4 | 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 |
| 41:10 49:20 53:20 | 145:13,17,22 | 41:9 63:5 88:14 | 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 |
| 53:22 57:14,16 62:4 | 145.13,17,22 | 127:15 | 90.191 .1 92.1 93.1 |


| 95:1 96:1 97:1 | kinds 27:11 | known 93:8 103:16 | ased 54:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98:1 99:1 100:1 | kitchen 27:4 83:5 | 103:18 | leave 130:22 146:20 |
| 101:1 102:1 103:1 | knew 19:11,25 20:5 | korean 115:13 | 151:12 |
| 104:1 105:1 106:1 | 128:13 | kramer 1:17 139:11 | leaving 138:21 |
| 107:1 108:1 109:1 | know 4:15,16 7:25 | 139:19,23 140:23 | lee 2:3 146:7,13 |
| 110:1 111:1 112:1 | 8:9,10,13,16,16,18 | 143:3 145:9 149:7 | 147:7 |
| 113:1 114:1 115:1 | 9:15 13:5,6 14:10 | 149:22 150:6,22 | left 20:21 133:14 |
| 116:1 117:1 118:1 | 14:12,14 15:17,18 | 1 | 137 |
| 119:1 120:1 121:1 | 15:19 18:2 19:13,21 |  | legal 8:20 41:8 |
| 122:1 123:1,4 124:1 | 19:21,24 20:9,10 |  | 54:18,21 61:17,20 |
| 124:23,24 125:1 | 22:16 23:7 28:8 | lack 102.19 | 65:3 81:17 93:9,10 |
| 126:1 127:1 128:1 | 29:6,15,16 31:17 |  | 127:13 |
| 129:1 130:1 131:1 | 33:12,25 34:5 35:15 |  | lender 58:10,22,22 |
| 132:1 133:1 134:1 | 35:22 36:11,13,24 | $126: 4$ | lenders 36:21 |
| 135:1 136:1 137:1 | 36:25 38:18,20,23 |  | lending 63:16 |
| 138:1 139:1 140:1 | 39:13 41:6 43:9,13 | las 9:19 | letter 46:4,6,7,22 |
| 141:1 142:1 143:1 | 44:2,6,11,25 46:6 |  | 69:21,22,24 75:9 |
| 144:1 145:1 146:1 | 46:11 47:14 48:2 |  | 81:5 97:23 98:23 |
| 147:1 148:1 149:1 | 49:7 50:4 54:22 |  | 99:14,20 109:10,18 |
| 150:1 151:1 152:1 | 56:6 57:18,19 59:8 |  | 111:2,11,13,19 |
| 153:6 155:4 | 60:7 61:14,15 64:12 |  | 112:6,22 113:3,10 |
| jared 2:7,8 135:22 | 64:15 65:8,9,11,23 |  | 114:19 117:9,13 |
| jim 72:18 73:9 | 65:24 66:20 67:19 | 2.19 66:5 67.20 | 118:2 127:3,6,10,23 |
| job 13:7,9 67:5 68:6 | 68:2,18 70:5 71:5 |  | 128:4,8,19,21 129:6 |
| 68:7,9,20 77:22 | 71:17 73:20 74:4,8 |  | 153:17 |
| 78:4,11 87:6 | 74:23,25 76:19,21 | $97 \cdot 7105 \cdot 19111.7$ | letterhead 94:6 |
| join 100:15 | 77:12 80:17,19,20 |  | letters 85:14 |
| joined 149:11 | 80:22,25 81:6,13 | :7 | 92:4 94:2,18,24 |
| joint 8:4,20 | 82:2 83:13,14,14 |  | :2 121:4 |
| judge 31:20 32:5,13 | 84:12,13 85:9 87:15 |  | letting 81:6 |
| 148:16 | 87:16,25 89:10 91:3 |  | level 75:24 121 |
| julius 14:19,20,21 | 91:12,13 92:7 93:20 | $0 \cdot 22110 \cdot 20$ | levin 1:17 139:11,19 |
| 14:22,24 15:5 138:2 | 95:9 96:10 98:14 |  | 139:24 140:23 |
| june 69:1897:24 | 99:6 100:24 102:16 |  | 13:3 145:9 149:7 |
| 153:18 | 103:17 104:8 |  | 149:23 150:6,22 |
| junior 5:15 41:12,20 | 108:20,23 109:4,18 | 73:21,22 81:16 90:9 | license 14:6 16:5,6 |
| 51:20,22 75:10 76:2 | 109:21 110:19,24 | 93:21,213 105:22,22 | 26:9 27:17 40:2 |
| justified 100:7 | 111:15 114:17 | 93.12,13 105.22,22 | 1:10 52:8,11,16 |
| k | 9,23 120:13 |  | 54:14 56:14,16 |
| keep 132:13 137:11 | :11 145:5,8,14 |  | 69:24 70:3,16,18,2 |
| kessler 8:6,12,15,15 | 146:5,21 |  | 80:13 81:7,8 83: |
| kidding $21: 20,22$ | knowing 101:16 | lays 24:13 | 86:9,11 91:20 99:16 |
| kind 6:13 31:14 | 142:11 | learn 19:9 | 99:19 120:11,14 |
| 58:12 59:5 142:24 | knowledge 19:22 |  | licensed 25:16 26:2 |
|  | 41:13 64:22,23 |  | 26:8 29:4 |


| ee $56: 2588: 12$ | 16 | major | material 123:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ensee's 56:24 57:5 | 148:22 149:22 | aker 14:25 | materials 121:10 |
| censes 50:25 | longer 103:7,18 | kers 15:6 | 122:13 124:10,12 |
| licensing 11:8,25 | look 5:3 18:5 30:4 | making 75:11 79:20 | 126:6,17,18 |
| 4,13,18 13:11 | 1:14,25 37:12 | 116:2,10 | matter 30:18 154:20 |
| 14:4 15:24,25 35:2 | 38:19 45:14 76:5,18 | man 67:9 | mean 9:7 15:4 16:2 |
| 35:3 38:12,14,16,24 | 81:2 104:24 108:10 | manage 16:7,9 17:3 | 26:25 41:18 42:9,13 |
| 39:15,21 50:23 | 110:21 113:9 | 17:20,25 24:24 25:3 | 47:19,21 80:2 91:5 |
| 129:25 | 121:11 126:3 1 | 2:14 66:4 116:16 | 93:20,22 95:9 99:22 |
| licensor 21:2 63:21 | looked 12:23 33 | 117:20,21 128:15 | 109:19 112:13 |
| 124:2 129:1 | 85:15 121:13 | managed 26:2,13 | 114:14 115:3 117:8 |
| lien 109:6 | looking 75:15 | management 17:9 | 131:12,15 |
| life 15:9 22:1 | 123:11 151:22 | 21:4 48:22 49:5,9 | meaning 90:23 |
| limit 30:16 | looks 56:21 83:1 | 49:11,19,21 58:11 | medical 134:10,13 |
| limited 11:5 | lose 131:10,18, 19,23 | 58:19 62:6,10,13,17 | 134:14,19 140:7 |
| line 143:12 155 | loses 132:3 | 62:19 63:6,20 84:14 | 141:15 142:2,18,25 |
| list 28:5 | losing 112:1 | 88:14 89:14,22 | meet $85: 18,19$ |
| listed 56:16 | loss 108:7 | 90:20,23 101:12 | meeting 27:19 28:15 |
| little 5:16 9:23 44:17 | lost 107:3,12 108:10 | manager 88:11 91:7 | meets 28:13 |
| 44:24 105:20 108:6 | 108:16 112:9 | 101:12 118:10 | member 41:25 |
| 128:8 | lot | 17 | 43:25 |
| live 8 | 61:16 105:3 11 | manages 21:5 23:13 | mention 129:14 |
| lived 99:13 | 112:15,18,25 | 23:15 24:5 | 148:3 150:4 |
| living 80:15 | 126:13 | managing 21:10 | mentioned 11:24 |
| llc 1:4,7 2:10,11,17 | lots 49:17 | 24:12 25:16 57:15 | 13:10 15:23 20:23 |
| 7:24 8:4 10:20 11:2 | love 55:16 106:23 | 66:14 90:16 128:14 | 23:10 102:17 |
| 7:15 38:4 40:24 | low 96:13 121:6 | manhattan 13:12 | 103:25 108:18 |
| 43:5 62:7,10,19 | lower 104:2 | manner 84:17 87 | 17:22 |
| 63:6,25 64:8,16 | lucky 104:11,16 | 103:3 119:15 142:9 | merits 138:19 |
| 65:7 67:21 80:24 | 105:2,24 107:8 | 143:16 | merrill 143:9 |
| 81:9,9 86:23 88:14 | 114 | m | merrimac 37:15 |
| 92:14,19 94:5,19 | lunch | m | 50:24 |
| 100:9 113:11 | 9,25 | 121:21 | met 15:20 |
| 123:25 155:2 | 143:5,7 146:8,9,11 | marked 4:11 | 82:20 |
| Illp 50:25 | luxury 28:14 85:19 | :14,20,2 | miami |
| llp 1:18 2:9 | m | 120:2 | 105:19 |
| loan 120:12, |  | market | middle 96:18 |
| local 30:25 | gnific | 104:3,23,24,25 | mildly 85:16 |
| located 11:15 |  | 05:6,9,10 106:4 | million 109:7 |
| location 73:5 96:11 |  | 107:6 | minute |
| 139:14,16,23 |  | marketing 121:10 | 132:18,21,23,2 |
| logo 131:10 |  | 122:13 126:6,16,18 | minutes |
| long 13:21 33:13,15 | 141-3,8,13 146:6 | marks 81:8 94:5,19 | monday |
| 33:18 34:5 35:13 | 141.3,8,13 146.6 | marriage 154:18 | money 41:14 44:12 |
| 36:3 37:5 43:13 |  |  | 44:17,19,24 49:25 |


| 60:15 63:16,19 | 119:21 146:14 | 121:12 | 118:6,22 120:16,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:3 106:2 107:4,9 | 149:15 | numbers 96:14 | 121:23 122:19,25 |
| 107:14,18 108:3,4 | needs 56:5 58:10 | 105:21 | 124:17 125:2,21 |
| 108:17,18,21,22,24 | 134:9 137:19 | numerous 5:19 | 126:7,20 127:11,24 |
| 112:2,10 113:2 | negotiate 51:15 | 99:23 | 128:5,23 129:9,20 |
| moneys 43:17 | negotiated 41:7 | 0 | 130:8,16,25 131:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{moot} 150: 4,21 \quad 151: 3 \\ & 151: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { negotiates } 39: 22 \\ & 40: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } 4: 2 \\ & \text { oath } 104: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132: 6 \quad 138: 10 \\ \text { objecting } \quad 30: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| morning 141:18 | negotiating 51:9 | object 5:23 8:22 | objection 8:7 15:2 |
| 143:8 149:14 | neither 63:21 | $10: 15 \text { 11:3 12:5 }$ | 18:24 19:17 20:13 |
| mortar 42:19 | never 8:14 13:8 | $13: 19 \text { 15:15 16:3,23 }$ | 23:22 24:19 27:21 |
| mortgage 131:20 | 14:11 19:11 20:5 | 17:7 18:3,12 20:7 | 28:6,17 36:9 38:7 |
| motion 30:3,7 31:16 | 68:20 74:22,24 84:8 | 17.7 18.3,12 20.7 | 40:4 44:15 47:25 |
| 31:25 135:9 141:10 | 128:16 134:12 |  | 48:5 49:13 50:2 |
| 144:7,9 148:14 | new 1:19,19,20 3:6 | $4$ | 64:6 78:14 79:24 |
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## WITNESS

## DONALD J. TRUMP

Direct Examination (By Ms. Beck) 3

EXHIBITS
Exhibit Number 414158
Exhibit Number 415164
Exhibit Number 416171
Exhibit Number 417175
Exhibit Number 418177

THEREUPON:
DONALD J. TRUMP
was called as a witness by the Plaintiffs and, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.
MS. LEE BECK: We'll mark this as Exhibit 414.
(Thereupon, Exhibit Number 414 was marked for Identification.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Mr. Trump, you are here pursuant to this Notice of Taking Deposition Duces Tecum (Continued) of Donald J. Trump, Pursuant to Rule 30(a)(1), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I'm going to show you documents that have previously been marked as 316 and 317.

The building at issue in this lawsuit, I will call the Trump Fort Lauderdale project or The Project, for short.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Are these exhibits documents describing an event for the marketing of The Project?
A. I don't know. I've never seen them before.
Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that Exhibit 316 is a document that describes a marketing event for The Project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. It talks about an event on April 14th at the Bonnet House. Did you attend that?
A. I don't remember, but probably $I$ did.
Q. Okay. This exhibit, Exhibit 317, talks about Donald and Ivanka confirmed their attendance, an e-mail from Senada Adzem. Does that trigger your recollection of whether or not you attended the event?
A. No, but I probably did.
Q. Okay. Is it customary for you to attend such events for The Project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.
THE WITNESS: On occasion, $I$ will, for a
project.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. How many such events did you attend for

The Project?
A. I'm not sure. Maybe two.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm not sure, but $I$ think more than one.
Q. More than five?
A. I don't know. I really don't know.
Q. Why did you attend such events?
A. Good for The Project. We licensed the deal.
Q. Good for The Project, in terms of sales?
A. Yeah, I think it would help.
Q. Okay. All right. This was also previously marked, Exhibit 198. You mentioned at your first deposition and today that the Trump Organization is a licensor or an entity that you control and own is a licensor of The Project; is that correct?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
MR. GILLMAN: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know. I licensed the
name Trump to The Project.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. You licensed your name to The Project, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. This is the agreement, the license agreement, whereby you licensed your name to The Project?
A. I believe so, yes. MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the form. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. All right. I'm going to direct your attention to the last two pages of this document, which says, "Exhibit B, License Fee."
A. B?
Q. Yes.
A. Go ahead.
Q. Okay. Were you paid the $\$ 250,000$, as listed in Subsection small ai?
A. I don't know, but $I$ assume so.
Q. Okay. Any reason to assume otherwise?
A. No.
Q. Okay. It then talks about the residential-hotel component incentive. Were you paid anything under that?
A. I don't know.
Q. Is it true that you would only be paid under that, if title to 85 percent of the residential and hotel units has closed? Is that your understanding?
A. It looks like that, based on the agreement, yes.
Q. So you would not have been paid anything under that as of yet?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. What about the retail component incentive, were you paid anything under that?
A. No, not that I know.
Q. In order to be paid under the residential-hotel component, it's true that 85 percent of the units must close, yes?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And, then, what are you paid? Is that Subsection small ci?
A. I don't know. You'd have to ask my lawyer.
Q. What is your understanding of this?
A. I don't know. I have no understanding. I really don't know.
Q. You don't know how you get paid under your license agreement?
A. This is a legal agreement. You'd have to ask my lawyer.
Q. Okay. What is your understanding --
A. It says here -- it spells it out right here.
Q. Okay. So you're basically saying, you get paid pursuant to this document before me?
A. Yes. That's true.
Q. Okay. MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.

1

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. I have one follow-up question to Plaintiff's Exhibit 198. Do you agree, Mr. Trump, that the way this license fee is calculated is for you to share in the profits from the unit sales?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.
MR. GILLMAN: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Well, this talks about gross sales.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Uh-huh.
A. No, I wouldn't really say that. It looks to me like it's more of a gross sales agreement, without reading the agreement. I do many agreements.

No, it looks like it's a gross sales agreement, not profits.
Q. Okay.
A. They were just a licensee, who initiated an agreement with me. This is a gross sales agreement, it looks like.
Q. Okay. So do you agree that the way this license fee is calculated is for him to -- is for you to share in the gross sales from unit sales? MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.

THE WITNESS: Looks like it.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. Okay. This is a new exhibit, Exhibit 415.
(Thereupon, Exhibit 415 was marked for Identification.)

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. You and the Trump Organization was involved in litigation in the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were deposed in that case, were you not?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Okay. This is the deposition transcript from that case; is that correct?
A. I don't know. I mean, it looks like it.
Q. Okay. Any reason to think that it's not?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Was that case a case where the plaintiffs were pre-construction condominium purchasers? MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Are you aware of any testimony that you gave at your deposition in the Aaron, et al., versus The Trump

Organization, et al., case, whereby any of your testimony is inaccurate or needs to be corrected?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Not that $I$ know of, no.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Was the project in Tampa also a condo-hotel?
A. It was a condo. I don't think it was a hotel. It was a condo.
Q. Other than the fact that the building in Tampa was a condominium, can you talk about any other ways the Tampa deal differed from the Fort Lauderdale deal that is at issue in this lawsuit?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. I really don't know.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Is it also your position that that also was a licensing deal?
A. That was a licensing deal, yes.
Q. Okay. What is your position as to who is the developer in that case, in the Aaron case?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't believe we were
the developer in that case. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Who is -- who, in your belief, is the developer in that case?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: A group that was bankrupted.
A bankrupted group. I don't know their names. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. In the Tampa deal, you also were to be compensated, at least partially, by a flat fee; is that correct?
A. I don't know.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I think so, yes.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. And then there was also a component for payment whereby you would be paid a percentage of the gross sales; is that correct?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't recollect how you were supposed to be paid in the Tampa deal?
A. No, I don't. Every deal is different.
Q. Okay. Okay. Going back to The Project, at your last deposition, we talked about the Trump standards. Can you list all the areas that the Trump
construction standards govern? For example, does it govern the type of materials that are sourced for a project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: We ask that the developer provide high class materials. We look at their plans to make sure the rooms are nice. We look at the different materials. We look at the bathroom fixtures and the kitchen fixtures and the appliances, to make sure of everything, and we ask that they do it in a high quality standard, which they did in this case. by ms. LEE BECK:
Q. So that includes design and layouts, as well?
A. We look at the layout, yes. We want to make sure the layouts are appropriate.
Q. Fixtures and furnishings?
A. Yes.
Q. What happens if you feel it doesn't reach the level of quality that define the Trump standards?
A. Then we'd ask them to go back and bring us something that does.
Q. What if they don't?
A. They do.
Q. So in every case, the Trump standards are met?
A. Yeah.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, they'd have to be met or we will not do the deal. We'll get out of the deal, if they're not met. We have the right to do that.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. Other than materials, design, layouts, finishings, is there anything else that the Trump construction standards demand from a Trump project, such as the Trump Fort Lauderdale, as The Project was intended?
A. Just general quality.
Q. The person who determines whether or not the standard of that general quality is met is yourself and people on your team; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. At the Trump Organization?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. The Project at issue in this case ran into financing difficulties; is that correct?

MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't the developer.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Well, are you aware that it ran into financing difficulties with its lender, CorusBank?
A. Well, I don't know. I mean, they had a lender named Corus, and I think something happened to the bank, and I'm not sure what the relationship was with the developer and the bank, because we were not involved in that, we were just a licensee.
Q. Okay. If you were the developer, would you have been able to finance the completion of The Project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that, because the market was so bad at that time. As I told you the last time, these people got lucky, because they bought at the high and then the market went bad, and now they're trying to get back their deposit.

The fact is, if they'd closed on the unit, they would have lost a lot of money.

So I don't really know the answer to that. I would say that the market was so bad, that I'm not sure anybody could have financed the development at that time.

At the time that Corus went bad and at the
time that the developer was having problems with the bank, I'm not sure anybody could have financed it.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Are you talking about a personal choice or an ability?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I'm talking about normal real estate financing for a project like this, I think it would have been very hard to obtain. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Nobody was willing to put up their own personal money to finish The Project?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: You'd have to ask the developer. I'm not the developer. I'm just the licensee.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Were you ever asked to do that?
A. No. Not that I remember, no.
Q. Do you know if Roy Stillman was ever approached to put up his own personal money to finish The Project?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Did you ever approach him?
A. Not that I remember. It's a pretty unusual thing for a developer to do, to put up his own personal fortune to do a job, especially when the market has tanked.

MS. LEE BECK: Okay. This is a new
exhibit, Exhibit 416.
(Thereupon, Exhibit 416 was marked for Identification.)

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Have you ever seen this document before? Please take your time.
A. Not that I remember, no.
Q. Do you know what it is?
A. No. I've never seen it. To the best of my knowledge, I've never seen it.
Q. Okay. If CorusBank prepares a loan presentation, would anybody at the Trump Organization see such documents?
A. I think it would be pretty unlikely, but it's possible somebody sent us one, but we're not the developer, so I think it's unlikely.
Q. Okay. I'm going to direct your attention to the last paragraph on the first page, CCVPROD2575.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. It's two sentences. The second sentence
says, "According to Stillman, Trump Lauderdale Development - Number 2, LLC's inclusion in the organizational chart serves the purpose of being able to say that Donald Trump is an investor in The Project rather than just a licensor and operator."

Do you know what that sentence is talking about?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
MR. GILLMAN: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No, because to the best of my knowledge, we didn't make an investment in this project. You know, maybe he wanted me to be an investor, maybe he was suggesting that I would be an investor, but to the best of my knowledge -- I mean, I could check, but I don't think we ever made an investment in this project.

I have many projects all over the world, so I'm -- you know, it's probably -- and perhaps you'll have to ask him, but he was possibly saying that he was trying to get me to be an investor.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Do you agree with that statement?
A. At one point, I think they asked me whether or
not I'd like to be an investor in The Project.
Q. Okay. And what was your response?
A. No.
Q. How do you define an investor in The Project? MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: Somebody that puts up money. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Who are the investors in The Project?
A. I really don't know. Mr. Stillman is the one that I knew.
Q. He put up his own money?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
MR. GILLMAN: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: You'd have to ask him that question. I really don't know. He's the developer or his company or a company is the developer. I guess it's SB Hotel Association, is really the developer.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Mr. Stillman has an interest in SB Hotel; isn't that correct?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
MR. GILLMAN: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I really don't know. You'd
have to ask Mr. Stillman. Possibly, but you'd
have to ask him.
by ms. LEE BECK:
Q. And you have an interest in that entity, do you not?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I think they gave me
something having to do with that as an
incentive, some kind of incentive, but it had
nothing to do with the development, but I think there might have been some non-voting stock that they gave me, so that I could -- just as an incentive, so that when sales started, we could do a better job, a good job. by ms. Lee beck:
Q. You don't agree with that statement now?
A. Which one?
Q. The second sentence that I read, "According to Stillman," dot, dot, dot, "rather than just a licensor and operator (see below)."

MR. GILLMAN: Object to the form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to the form.
THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I mean, again, $I$ 'd have to check, but $I$ don't think we ever made an investment in The Project, no. MS. LEE BECK: Okay. Okay. This is

Exhibit 417.
(Thereupon, Exhibit 417 was marked for Identification.)

THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
A. No, not that I know of.
Q. Do you know what it is?
A. No.
Q. Do you know who F. Ronald Mastriana is?
A. No.
Q. Would it surprise you if I told you that Roy Stillman recorded this deed restriction in connection with The Project?

MR. GILLMAN: Object to the form.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. I don't
know who these people are. I know Roy
Stillman, but I don't know who Ronald Mastriana is.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. Are you aware that Roy Stillman filed such a document in the records?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: I'm not aware. Maybe my
lawyers are, but I'm not aware.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. Are you aware that pursuant to this recorded document, all units in The Project had to be managed by a hotel management company, under a unified plan for hotel use, and that there was a restriction on residential use?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Were you aware of that?
A. No, but that's very standard.
Q. Standard in what?
A. In this business, because you do restrictions based on Zoning Codes and based on various variances that you get from the cities and states. So it's very standard in the business to have various restrictions on use. It's called a use restriction.
Q. Are you talking about -- when you say, "This business," are you talking about condo-hotels or general real state?
A. Yeah, condo-hotels and real estate, too. Very standard.
Q. What is your understanding of the restriction on use imposed on the buyers of The Project had closing
occurred?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to form.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Are you aware of any such restrictions, as you sit here today, prior to having seen this document -MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 417?
A. No, I'm not aware of the details.

MS. LEE BECK: Okay. This is Exhibit 418.
(Thereupon, Exhibit Number 418 was marked for Identification.)

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. This was sent to us by your attorney, Mr. Alan Garten. Do you stipulate to all the statements in here as true?
A. I haven't read it --
Q. Well, you can read it now.
A. Well --
Q. It's six pages, and it says it's your biography.
A. Why don't you ask me a specific question about it?
Q. Okay. The first paragraph, is there anything
in the first paragraph that you disagree with or is inaccurate?
A. I think I'm considered to be a very successful person, yes.
Q. Okay. There's nothing in there that you would disagree with?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. All right. What about the second paragraph there, everything in there is true and accurate?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, the third paragraph starts, "In New York City." Is anything there that is inaccurate or untrue?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: Are we going to do this all day?

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Well, yes. We have to authenticate this.
A. For what purpose?
Q. Because it was given to me by your attorney, but we don't know the accuracy of the statements. We can short-circuit this.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Yes, counsel. Mr. Garten indicated that he would provide it to you and he indicated it was stipulated that it's
accurate.
Mr. Garten, in his deposition, when Mr. Trump was being deposed, he made that statement. We can go to the page. But if you want Mr. Trump to look at it and take a few minutes --

MS. LEE BECK: Yes. We can off the record.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: We can do that for a moment.
(Discussion off the record.)
MS. LEE BECK: Back on the record.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Mr. Trump, your Florida counsel is telling me that your New York counsel stipulated to this. If you are comfortable with that, do you stipulate to Plaintiff's 418 being true and accurate, in its entirety?
A. Well, $I$ haven't read it for many years, so I'll have to take a look at it.

MS. LEE BECK: Okay. Then why don't we go off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
THE WITNESS: I believe it's accurate.
BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Mr. Trump, Plaintiff's Exhibit 417 is accurate
and true, in its entirety?
A. Well, This is 418.
Q. I'm sorry. Thank you very much. 418.
A. I believe it is.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

I just want to briefly go back to Exhibit 417, which is the recorded document. In this project, did you do anything to familiarize yourself with any deed restrictions or occupancy restrictions?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form.
THE WITNESS: No. The lawyers do that. BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. And you left it entirely to your lawyers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And is it customary for you to leave matters pertaining to deed restrictions to your lawyers for your other projects, as well?
A. Yes.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Object to the form of the question.

BY MS. LEE BECK:
Q. Okay. That includes licensing deals that you've entered into?
A. I mean, we will make the basic deal, but the
lawyers will put it down and do the restrictions, if any.
Q. What about for projects in which you were the developer, in those cases, you also leave it to your lawyers to handle the deed restriction issues?
A. Yes.

MS. LEE BECK: I think we're done.
THE WITNESS: Fine. Thank you.
MR. RUSSOMANNO: He's going to read.
(Thereupon, the reading and signing not being duly waived, the deposition was concluded at 1:50 p.m.)
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From: "Roy Stillman" <roy@stillmandevelopment.com>
To: <tommanno@aol.com>, <kgibbons@corusbank.com>
Date: 5/13/2009 9:53 PM
Subject: Re: Fort Lauderdale Team
Dear Tom -
I acknowledge you.
```

There is a partial misunderstanding. It is true that Corus Bank has stopped funding. It is not true that we have simply given up.

Please understand that the Trumps shot a well placed torpedo that has had the intended effect. They had every reason to think that their letter would cause a default with the bank, and cessation of funding. It did.

I am sending your letter, together with this response to Corus Bank. I want to see all of the payments you seek take place. Bank funding is a prerequisite to that. If I simply delayed the lay offs until the matter is resolved to our collective satisfaction, then I would put the employees into greater jeopardy by risking them not receiving a paycheck for hours worked. I can't take that risk. On the continuum of responsibility, compensation for hours worked is paramount.

1 understand everybody's desire for finanlity at his moment. That is outside of my power.
Roy

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message --.--
From: Tom Manno <tōmäāñō@aol.com>
To: Roy Stillman
Sent: Wed May 13 22:12:02 2009
Subject: Fort Lauderdale Team
Hello Roy
I hope your trip is going well.
I'm asking for your help. I just spoke with Jim and he indicated that what you and I agreed to regarding severance for myself and my team was being dropped from our upcoming payment.
As we discussed I can understand your disappointment with how everything came about. I can also appreciate the financial ramifications it has for you personally. Believe me there is no one more disappointed than I.
With that said Roy I would like you to reconsider your decision regarding everyone's severance. I am not familiar with how the bank works with you in this situation but I hope they understand the agreement we reached and that this group of people have families and responsibilities that need all the help they can get during these difficult times.
Roy I'm appealing to your sense of honor and fairness to do what is right for all concerned. I know it would create a sense of well-being for everyone's family and appreciation by all.
Thanks Roy and and have a great rest of the trip
Warmest regards,
Tom

We found the real 'Hotel California' and the 'Seinfeld' diner. What will you find'? Explore WhereltsAt.com [http://www.whereitsat.com/?ncid=emlwenew00000001](http://www.whereitsat.com/?ncid=emlwenew00000001).

# SB Hotel Associates, LLC 505 Park Avenue, $17^{\text {th }}$. Floor <br> New York, NY 10022 <br> 212 686-2400 <br> 212 686-5650 Fax 

June 2, 2009
Keith Gibbons, First Vice President
Corus Bank, N.A.
3959 North Lincoln Avenue
Chicago, IL 60613-2481
Re: Trump International Hotel \& Tower, 551 N. Fort Lauderdale Beach Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

## NOTICE OF DEFAULT

Dear Keith:
On April 10, 2009, SB Hotel Associates, LLC submitted a draw request in the amount of $\$ 2,909,920.07$. The draw request was in conformity with the loan documents between Corus Bank, N.A., as Lender and SB Hotel Associates, LLC, as Borrower, dated December 15, 2006, (hereafter "Loan Documents"). Pursuant to the spreadsheet that accompanied the request, a copy of which is attached hereto, you can see the critical nature-of the request.-We need to pay construetion-bills; FF\&E, consultants, payroll;utilities, and other items.

On May 5, 2009 our Licensor, Donald Trump issued a Notice of Default, a copy of which was sent to you by his firm. After receiving the letter, you informed me that funding on our project was halted because of the combination of the decrease in your legal lending limit, together with the Trump default letter. You indicated that the reduction in the legal lending limit would not, per se, cause the cessation, but that it would when combined with a default under the license. You stated that personal liability would accrue to the bank's directors, and for that reason, you would not fund. You told me to expect a letter to that effect from Corus. To date, I have not received such a letter from Corus.

The impact of the non-finding has been drastic. First, Stiles Construction placed a lien on the building in the amount of $\$ 2,027,509.80$. You are no doubt aware that the existence of this lien makes unit closings unlikely, due to the title defect caused by the lien. Although your stated goal is not to see closings take place, your tactic is not sanctioned by the loan documents. Second, the non-funding by Corus prohibits us from remedying criticisms expressed by Trump. Third, Corus is placing me in a perilous position vis a vis the Trumps. In the most recent call you had with the Trump Organization, you were questioned as to whether you ceased funding. Quite frankly, Keith, your responses were cloaked in ambiguity, nuance, and are misleading. A fair
reading of your rendition would indicate that you are funding, when you know such is not the case. Your wordsmithing with the Trump Organization is undermining my credibility. The consequences are that it is progressively more difficult to work with that firm. Additionally, I am concerned that false reports to the effect that you are funding and we are not paying our bills with those funds could "leak" to the press or public. Such false revelations will undermine the closings and damage my reputation. Fourth, we have received notice from Florida Power \& Light that our electrical service will be terminated for non-payment of the bills. Funds to pay the bills are expected pursuant to our overdue draw request. The absence of electricity will have a detrimental impact on the asset, and will make pre-closing inspections unrealistic.

Pursuant to Article 7.4 of the Loan Documents, Corvus is obligated to fund draws within seven business days of receipt. More than the allotted period of time has elapsed, and Corvus has not funded, nor has it articulated any cognizable reason for failing to do so. Corvus is in default of the Loan Documents by virtue of its failure to fund. Additionally, Corns is in default of the Loan Documents for taking steps directed to, or having the effect of undermining the process of closing title to individual units.

Sincerely yours,


Roy tillman, Managing Member SB Hotel Associates, LLC

## Enclosure

cc: Joel Solomon, Esq. SP Fort Lauderdale Lender, LLC Bayrock<br>Ramola Motwani
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UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, TAMPA DIVISION
STEVE AARON, ET AL,
Plaintiffs, Index No.: 8:09-CV-2493
-against-
THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION, INC., A NEW YORK CORPORATION, and DONALD J. TRUMP, AN INDIVIDUAL, Defendants.
```

```
EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of the Defendant, DONALD J. TRUMP, taken by the Plaintiff, pursuant to Order, held at the offices of Foley \& Lardner, LLP, 90 Park Avenue, New York, New York, on September 20, 2010, at 10:00 a.m., before a Notary Public of the State of New York.
```



APPEARANCES:
CLARK \& MARTINO, PA Attorneys for Plaintiffs 3407 West Kennedy Boulevard Tampa, Florida 33609

BY: J. DANIEL CLARK, ESQ.

WILLIAMS SCHIEINO MANGIONE \& STEADY, PA Attorneys for Plaintiffs
201 North Franklin Street Suite 3200
Tampa, Florida 33602
BY: DAN WALBOLT, ESQ.

BAJO CUVA COHEN \& TURKEL, PA
Attorneys for Plaintiff 100 North Tampa Street Suite 1900 Tampa, Elorida 33602

BY: KENNETH G. TURKEL, ESQ.

EOLEY \& LARDNER, LLP Attorneys for Defendants PO BOX 3391 Tampa, Elorida 33601

BY: CHRISTOPHER GRIFFIN, ESQ.

ALAN G. GARTEN, ESQ.
Attorneys for Defendants
725 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10022
BY: ALAN G. GARTEN, ESQ.

ALSO PRESENT:
J.D. MARTINEZ, Videographer

Donald Trump

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on
the record. This is the videotaped
deposition of Donald Trump taken in
the case of Steve Aaron, et al, versus
the Trump Organization, Inc., a New

York Corporation, and Donald Trump, an
individual, filed in the United States

District Court, Middle District of Florida, I'ampa Division.

Today's date is September 20,
2010. The time on the videotaped
record is 10:08 a.m. This deposition
is being held at 90 Park Avenue, New

York, New York. My name is J.D.
Martinez on behalf of Digital Media Productions of 120 Broadway, New York, New York.

Would everyone please introduce
themselves and state whom they
represent?
MR. CLARK: Thank you. I'll
begin. Dan Clark, Clark \& Martino, on behalf of the named plaintiffs.

MR. TURKEL: Ken Turkel, Bajo

Cuva Cohen \& Turkel, on behalf of the named plaintiffs. MR. CLARK: Dan Walbolt is also with me, with my firm. MR. GRIFEIN: Chris Griffin, Foley \& Lardner, for the defendants. MR. GARTEN: Alan Garten for the defendant. THE WITNESS: Donald Trump.

DONALD J. TRUMP, Having been first duly sworn before a Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows:
(Whereupon New York Times Magazine article dated October 2006 is marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 for identification as of this date.)

EXAMINATION BY
MR. CLARK:
Q Please state your name for the record.
A Donald Trump.

Donald Trump
Q What is your address?

A 726 Eifth Avenue, New York, New York,

3 10022.

Q Mr. Trump, good morning. Thank you for the short delay. We spoke off the record. I introduced myself. Thank you for accommodating us. We started a little bit
late, my apologies.
We are here to take your deposition in
a case that's been filed against you and your
company by a number of people in Tampa that I
represent.
One of the first things I will show to
you -- and we will have exhibit boards here
shortly that will be identical to what you
see -- there is the New York Times Magazine
that demonstrates all of your signature
properties as of October of '06.
I believe you had an opportunity to
take a look at that?
A Yes.
Q Can you open that up just so I can
refer to those? The Donald Trump -- excuse
me, Donald J. Trump Signature Properties, who
me, Donald J. Trump Signature Properties, who
began that slogan of the marketing of your
properties as such?
A I did.

Q When did that begin?
A I would say 10 years ago.
Q Was that an idea just taking your ingenuity and your value of your name and putting it into a marketing title for those properties?

```
A I think generally speaking, yes. I
```

mean, marketing, but also ownership,
different forms of ownership, consulting, et
cetera, et cetera, but a better property, a
better property or potential property, we use
the word signature.
Q I know -- I have tried to study as
much as I possibly could, understanding your
properties and gearing up for the deposition,
quite frankly, before I took the case.
Can you express to those who may watch
this video down in Tampa, in this case, what
it means to be a Donald J. Trump property, in
terms of value, as in terms of success?
MR. GRIFEIN: I am going to

## Donald Trump

 object to the form of the question.If I make objections such as that, it is for the record and for the judge to determine later. Please,
after my objection, go ahead and answer the question. If for some reason $I$ think that it is a greater objection than the norm, I will
instruct you not to answer it. There is no instruction at this time, and if $I$ ever make an objection and you would like the question read back before you answer it, you are welcome to ask for that.

A You mean a Donald J. Trump Signature property? Q That's correct. A Because you left the word Signature out. Q My apologies. A You mean Signature Property? Q Yes, sir? A It would not necessarily indicate ownership, but in some cases it does. In

```
            Donald Trump
        many cases, as I look at some of these
        buildings, it does indicate ownership. It
        indicates quality more than anything else.
        The property would have to be of a
        significant quality to use the Donald J.
        Trump Signature Property.
```

Q Is there a distinction in your mind between a Donald J. Trump Signature Property and maybe another property that you are involved with, whether by ownership or otherwise?

```
A I think Signature generally is the
    highest end property.
    Q Starting }10\mathrm{ years ago, you came out
    with that --
    A Yes.
    Q -- trademarked description of those
    properties?
    A That is correct.
    Q On that list of properties, obviously
    they caught our attention -- the Trump Tower
    Tampa is there. You see that there?
    A Correct.
    Q There are a couple of other properties
```

```
        Donald Trump
    there that have been in some dispute. I
    believe there is a number of the Trump
    International hotels that are referenced
    there?
    A Correct.
    Q What I was interested in knowing, sir,
    was what is the difference between the Trump
```

```
Tower and the hotel and residence? I mean, I
stayed in your New York hotel over the
weekend. I know that you have a residence
next door.
A Well, they are both --
                                    MR. GRTFFIN: I object to the
    form of the question. Go ahead.
A They are both very successful. They
are both very well located. They are
different in that Trump Towers is quite a bit
taller building. Trump Towers is on 57 and
56th Street and Fifth Avenue. It is a retail
primarily, which Trump International doesn't
have. It is a retail office and residential,
whereas the building you stayed at, Trump
International Hotel and Tower, is a hotel and
residential. It doesn't have office and it
```

doesn't have retail.
Q Do you agree, and I absolutely think
that I know your answer to this, when you put
the Trump name on a property, it brings
immediate value?
A Yes.
Q The Trump factor, it has been called?
A Yes, that's been very well proven.
Q There was -- I forgot the guy's name

```
that came up with the "Trump factor" as that
quoted language. That Trump factor, have you
ever tried to put a dollar figure on it so
that you go out to the marketplace, whether
it is on your financials or however else one
would account for that; have you ever tried
to do that?
A Well, we have looked into it and
reports have been done. I don't have them
available now, but I think I could probably
find them, where there is a value
attributable to a Trump building. I don't
know if that's because of the brand or
because of the locations or because of lots
of goodwill that's been built up over the
```


## Donald Trump

years, but there is a value to the Trump name
being on a building.
Q We were all excited in Tampa when you
came to put your name on the Trump Tower
Tampa.
A So was I.
Q We knew that value was going to be
brought to our home town. When I grew up,
Tampa was not what it is today, 20,30 years
ago. When you came to Tampa, how did you
know that this was a right place for a Trump Tower?

MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.

A
I was very excited also to be in Tampa. A good friend of mine that passed away, George Steinbrenner, loves Tampa -loved Tampa. He actually told me what a wonderful site this was and what a great job this would be. George actually had a lot to do with it.

Derek Jeter was somebody that told me also it is great. He lives in one of my buildings. He lives at Trump World Tower
opposite the United Nations. We have a lot
of the Yankees, traditionally, that have been
living in my buildings.

Derek was actually very excited about
it. He expressed it one time. George
thought it was a great site, a really good
site. I asked him about it specifically and
he was very excited that I was going down
there. I was very excited that I was going
to Tampa.
Q When you looked at those properties,
the Signature properties, clearly one cannot

```
make distinctions between what you, sir,
Mr. Trump, owned versus something else.
    Can you point out for me from that
list of properties which are owned and
largely developed by you versus a license
arrangement like you had in that situation?
A Sure. You want me to start all of
them?
Q If it is going --
A We can do it quickly. If you look up,
Trump National Golf Club is a hundred percent
owned by me. Trump National of Bedminister
```

Donald Trump is a hundred percent owned by me. Trump International of Palm Beach is owned by me a hundred percent. Trump Canouan is a licensed deal. That's a licensed transaction. I don't own that and I am not a partner in
that. Some licensing deals, I consider
myself to be a partner and we are partners.
Trump Tower, I own that. Trump Park
Avenue, that's my job. Trump world Tower,
where Derek Jeter lives, is my job. I own
that, $I$ built that.
Trump International Hotel and Tower,
Number One Central Park West, where you

```
stayed, that was my job. I built that job.
Trump Place on the West Side, I built that
job with partners. We had partners from
different places.
    Trump Park and Trump Park East, that's
my job. I built it. Trump Palace in New
York, that's my job. I built it.
    16 Park Avenue, I did that with Colony
Capital, which is a big fund in California.
It was a very big success.
    The Trump building at 40 Wall Street,
```

    I own that building. I own a hundred percent
    of that building.
    Trump Tower White Plains, that was a
    licensing deal that \(I\) am -- that I have a
    licensing fee for. I am not a partner, per
    se. I have a licensing fee. There is a
    difference, which I am sure we will get into.
    Trump Plaza New Rochelle, that was a
    licensed deal. Trump Soho, that's a
    licensing deal, but \(I\) get a percentage of the
    profits, so in a sense, I am a partner there.
    Q Kind of like Trump Tower Tampa
    ultimately as amended -- excuse me?
    A I view a partnership to be when we get
    a percentage of profits, when \(I\) have a
    ```
percentage of ownership, when I have --
beyond just a fee, beyond a flat fee, where
you get a flat fee for helping to -- for
using the name or for using the name and
helping with the building.
    Trump Hollywood, that's a licensing
deal. Trump Plaza Jersey City is a licensing
deal. The estates of Trump International and
Los Angeles on the ocean, I own that. Trump
```

Donald Trump
International Hotel and Tower in Et.
Lauderdale, that was a licensing deal. Trump
Towers Sunny Isle, that's a licensing deal.
The Dubai project was partially licensed,
partial partnership.
Tower in Chicago, I own that building. It is
a big building and I own it. Trump World
Tower in Soho, that was a licensing deal.
Trump National Golf Club, Los Angeles,
I own that. Trump New orleans, that's a,
licensing deal.
That's a combination of licensing and other
Things. Trump International Hotel Las Vegas,
Trum International Hotel and Tower

Donald Trump
International Hotel and Tower in Et. Lauderdale, that was a licensing deal. Trump Towers Sunny Isle, that's a licensing deal. The Dubai project was partially licensed, partial partnership.

The Trump International Hotel and Tower in Chicago, I own that building. It is a big building and I own it. Trump World Tower in Soho, that was a licensing deal. Trump National Golf Club, Los Angeles, I own that. Trump New Orleans, that's a licensing deal.

Trump International Hotel and Tower Waikiki -- it just opened two weeks ago. That's a combination of licensing and other things. Trump International Hotel Las Vegas,

```
I own that. Trump Tower Philadelphia, that's
    a licensing deal.
    Trump Tower Tampa, that's a
partnership, and I also get licensing fees,
but it was a partnership because I get a
substantial percentage of profits.
    Trump Ocean Club is a licensing deal.
Trump Grande is a licensing deal. Trump Las
```

    Olas is a licensing deal. The Mar-a-Lago
    Club, I own.
        The Villa Trump in Brazil, I sold a
    couple of years ago, and Trump International
    Hotel in Toronto, that's a partnership.
    Other than that, I covered a lot of
    territory.
    Q That was a lot of territory, sir.
    Thank you for going through that. Based upon
    what you do in a given day and where you
    manage your time, is there any distinction
    whether it is an owned property or one that
    you largely are developing, versus a
    licensing deal or a partnership, as you kind
    of described it?
    A Anything I put my name to is very
    important. If I allow my name to be used,
    whether it is a partnership or whether it is
    ```
a licensing deal, they are all very important
to me.
Q Because your name, that has value, and
if something happens to your name--
A The name has a lot of value, and so
any time I use my name, whether it is a
```

```
        Donald Trump
        licensing deal or whether il is something I
        own and build myself, it is very important.
        I mean, I don't break it up and say, oh, gee,
        this is more important than that. Anything
        that I have my name on is very important.
        Q Do you agree with me that anybody
        that's looking at investing or buying into
        one of your properties, whether they are
        licensed partnership or owned, has
        expectations of quality, absolute?
        A That's true.
    Q Expectations that when you put your
        name on something, it's going to be top
        shelf, the best available?
        A That's true.
        Q Whether you actually come out of
        pocket, Mr. Trump, and put your money in a
        deal, from your perspective it doesn't
        matter. You are still going to commit a
```

```
hundred percent, or as my father used to say,
110 percent, to anything you put your name
to?
A I think that's true, yes.
Q There are also distinctions between
```

```
the properties, a license deal and one you
```

own. There are some distinctions?
A There are legal distinctions. There
are distinctions, I guess. Each property is
in a different form. I just went through a
lot of properties, and there are very few
that are similar. There are many different
forms of ownership, partnership and licensing
deals.
Q There are legal distinctions. Do they
cause projects to have difficulties, whether
it be needing money, permitting, whatever the
various things, getting the best contractor
on the job, getting financing? Are there
problems when you have distinctions legally
and the effect that those distinctions may
have on a property?
MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
form of the question. Go ahead.
Q Do you understand my question?
A I would like it explained maybe a
little differently.
Q I am a lawyer trained so I understand
when you say legal distinctions. Legal

```
    Donald Trump
    distinctions is -- for those who may watch
    this, there is a clear distinction between a
    licensing deal when you've endorsed or put
    your mark to something and to which you on
    the flip side of that own something. Legally
    that's a different document, correct?
    A Yes.
    Q Legally your name and you may have to
    sign various guarantees when you are the
    owner, correct?
    A Correct.
    Q Those distinctions, those legal
    distinctions are going to have some effect on
    the project, would you agree, from the
    smallest to the largest?
    A Well, again, when I own something, I
    work very hard to make sure it is successful.
    If we license something and there are many
    forms of licensing, but if we license
    something we also make sure --you know, we
    try our best to make it very successful. It
    is very important to us to have the license
```

deals also be successful.
Q Those distinctions can cause trouble,

20


Donald Trump

```
was going up or was coming out of the ground
about the same time as some of the other ones
that you were owning?
```

A Correct.
Q The ones that you were owning at the
time you got financed, correct?
A Well, I would have to look at the
individual jobs. I mean, certain jobs didn't
get financed. For instance, I was doing a
job in Dubai, and that was going to be built
by essentially the country of Dubai. Now,
what's better than Dubai? Guess what, they
went essentially bust. They were taken over
by another country.

Who would have thought that job that was Trump Palm built on the island of Dubai and that job was a government job and they
weren't able to get financing for it. That
was the country of Dubai. Things happen.

I am just looking at another one,
Philadelphia. They were unable to get
financing, a very strong partnership. I will
say this, what $I$ do strive to get are great
locations. You know, when you get outside of

Donald Trump
Manhattan, which is my base, it is very hard to do things without a partnership in the world of real estate because real estate is largely a local business. The people in Tampa know the best sheetrock contractor, they know the best plumbers, they know the best roofers. I don't.

I know the Yankees because I go to see Tampa. I used to go a lot with George to the Yankee games in Tampa. But they know the different contractors, so I always believed in getting partners once I get too far outside of my own roalm, especially in places like Dubai and other things, but also in places like Tampa or Miami, et cetera.

We have had some very good partners, but sometimes a market supersedes a partner. When the market crashed, very many brilliant real estate men went bust over the last few years. They essentially went out of business. They went bankrupt or out of business. That had to do with a very major market condition and it is a tough period of time for people.


```
just in time. Somebody said it was the last
bond issue done. Now it is starting up again
with the bond issues, as you have been
reading.
Q Sure.
A That was the last bond issue. That is
a license deal that got financed, a developer
in Panama.
Q Toronto?
A Toronto was a licensing deal that also
got financed. A very rich developer from the
Toronto area is doing that job. That is
going up and I think it is doing very well.
That got financed, yes.
Q Did you have any opportunity to step
in to help with financing of this project,
Tampa?
A The Tampa project?
Q The Trump Tower Tampa.
A. What happened in Tampa, there was a
big problem, as I remember, with the
foundations, but that's a problem that's
always surmountable. You can do that with --
I always say you have to throw some money at
```

```
    it. The real problem was the market was --
```

```
you know, it went from being very good to
being horrendous. You know, the Tampa market
right now is in very bad shape.
    Would I have stepped in? Well, if I
did, it would have been a mistake, because if
the building had been built, it would have
been pretty problematic, as you know, because
all you have to do is look at the Tampa
market.
Q But you pulled your name from it, so
once you pulled your name from it, I don't
care who you are, nobody is going to be
putting that type of money.
A Yes, I took my name off. As I
remember, they were -- you have to speak to
my attorneys about this, but we sent them a
legal notice to take the name off because of
certain obligations which they did not meet.
Q But at that point they are dead in the
water once you pulled your name off; would
you agree with that?
                    MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
    form of the question. Go ahead.
```


## Donald Trump

A I think they were -- I think the market changed very radically prior to my pulling the name off. I think they tried

```
    very hard to make this job successful.
    Q There were other projects that just
    got put on hold though during the market
    crash?
    A Some get put on hold, some get
    terminated and some get dumped. In this
    particular case, they were having some very
    serious market problems prior to my pulling
    the name off.
    Q Las Olas in Ft. Lauderdale?
    A Yes.
    Q Am I saying it correctly?
    A Yes.
    Q That got put on hold; right?
    A That got put on hold. That was a
    license.
    Q It is not dead in the water?
    A Well, I think it is. The market
    killed it. That was a licensing deal. That
    was -- I really have nothing to do with that
    one. That was put on hold.
```

    Q Have you pulled your name from it?
    A I think it was terminated.
    Q Sorry to interrupt.
    A I think we had, yes. Again, market
    ```
conditions made it impractical, really
impractical to build.
Q Is that your final answer kind of
thing in this case, Tampa Trump was killed by
the market?
```

MR. GRIEFIN: Object to the
form of the question. Go ahead.
A Well, I think the market was a
disaster. The market in Tampa was record
bad. It was as bad as Miami. It was as bad
as other locations, and continues to be.
Certainly had the market -- let's put it this
way, had the crash, which we all know about,
which we all acknowledge, I think, had the
crash not occurred, this building would have
been built. I have no doubt about that.
Q Why do you say that?
A I just think it would have been built.
Had the crash not occurred, I think this
building would have been built.

```
            Donald Trump
    Q If you would have been the owner of
    this project, would it have been dead in the
    water, as it is now?
        MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
    form of the question. Go ahead.
A With the crash having taken place as
```

```
it did?
```

Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q Everything staying equal, but changing
the fact --
A I think probably the project would not
have been built. I was a partner in the job
as it was. I hated to see this job not get
built because it was a beautiful job in a
good location, but the market conditions
throughout the world were so bad that had it
been built, it would have been much worse.
Frankly it would have been much worse
for the people had they bought their property
and closed. They would have lost a lot more
money.
Q Is it your position in this case that,
yes, we had a market crash, particularly

```
    Donald Trump
    Tampa, and given the market effect there that
    that's the distinction between your other
    projects going up, whether you're licensed or
    owned in comparison to Tampa? It is just
    location?
            MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
        form of the question.
```

9

A I will give you an example. In Chicago, I built a big building, a much more expensive building by -- I got that one built. The difference is that in Chicago I got my financing just prior to the crash. So, we built it during the crash, but I had financing. I was just about the only building to get built in Chicago, but that building was built because the financing was secured prior to the crash. Some buildings weren't built like Dubai and others. Q When you had the line in the sand in your head right before the market crash or right on the eve of that in making comparisons, is there a date or a time period in your mind when you had to get your
financing before things went bad?

Donald Trump
MR. GRTFFIN: Object to the form of the question.

A We can look up the date. I don't know exactly what that date was, but there was basically a crash having to do with Lehman, and ultimately having to do with Bear

Stearns, and it was a mess. It was a very tragic period. It was, you know, the second greatest crash after the Great Depression.

| 11 | We could have gone into the Great Depression, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 | but no bank was loaning money for anything, |
| 13 | let alone to build a condominium development, |
| 14 | whether it is Tampa or anybody else or |
| 15 | anyplace else, and that means virtually |
| 16 | anywhere in the world. We are not talking |
| 17 | about Tampa. This is not a Tampa problem. |
| 18 | This was a worldwide problem. |
| 19 | Q I guess what I am thinking off the top |
| 20 | of my head is the crash is over here and |
| 21 | Trump Tower Tampa had a ton of time to get |
| 22 | its financing in place before the crash |
| 23 | occurred. Do you agree with that? |
| 24 | A I think what they were doing was they |
| 25 | were making sure everything was good. Again, |

```
We could have gone into the Great Depression,
but no bank was loaning money for anything,
let alone to build a condominium development,
whether it is Tampa or anybody else or
anyplace else, and that means virtually
anywhere in the world. We are not talking
about Tampa. This is not a Tampa problem.
This was a worldwide problem.
Q I guess what I am thinking off the top
of my head is the crash is over here and
Trump Tower Tampa had a ton of time to get
its financing in place before the crash
occurred. Do you agree with that?
were making sure everything was good. Again,
```


## Donald Trump

you would have to ask them. The developing group was, from what I have found out, this is a little bit subject to checking.

Q Second-hand?
A It is second-hand, but they were working very hard, I will say that. They were really trying to do a really good project. They wanted everything perfect and they figured they could get their financing because history shows there is a long window
for getting financing.
Then one day Lehman went bad, Bear
Stearns went bad, and the entire market
crashed. Yes, I think they would have gotten
their financing had we not had that. I think
they probably felt, like many people, you are
not the only ones; if they wait, they will
get a better deal. But what happened is they
did wait and the market crashed.
A lot of people were in that same
position. They wanted their plans perfect.
They wanted their plans and specifications
perfect. They waited and frankly. Getting
financing was easy. Getting financing was
Donald Trump
easy for jobs like this, for any of the jobs.
Then one day there was a crash and you could
not get financing, so I don't think they did
anything different than many, many developers
throughout the country and throughout the
world.
everything ready. They were focused on the
job. They were doing sales and presales and
then the market crashed.
Q The chicago property has your personal
guarantees, correct?

| 14 | A Limited, limited guarantees, but it |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15 | had some guarantees. |
| 16 | Q Donald J. Trump, you, sir, guaranteed |
| 17 | to a certain extent, whatever that extent is? |
| 18 | A But again, that financing was |
| 19 | gotten -- I had limited guarantees, very |
| 20 | Iimited, but that financing was gotten prior |
| 21 | to the crash. Same thing with my Las Vegas |
| 22 | job. I built that also, and I owned that. |
| 23 | Q Personal guarantees? |
| 24 | A Very limited, completion, but that |
| 25 | was, I got that financing prior to the crash. |
| 1 | Donald Trump |
| 2 | Had I not, I wouldn't have been able to have |
| 3 | gotten that done. |
| 4 | Q That was a huge project, was it not? |
| 5 | A Big project, sure, both of them. |
| 6 | Q There were no personal guarantees from |
| 7 | you for the Trump Tower Tampa, correct? |
| 8 | A None whatsoever. |
| 9 | Q In the other license deals -- |
| 10 | A In fact, I don't even know how I am in |
| 11 | this case personally, okay? So you will have |
| 12 | to explain that to me. |
| 13 | Q I will be glad to. |
| 14 | A You will have to explain that to my |

```
    lawyer. I had absolutely no personal
    guarantees.
    Q Very good. In your other licensing
    deals, do you -.- putting aside the Trump
    Tower Tampa for a minute, those other
    licensing deals, whether they are just
    straight licensing fees versus a partnership,
    do you, sir, or your company disclose to
    those buyers that you're merely licensing
    your name?
            MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
```

A I think in some cases we do. I am just
not sure.
Q Tell me what you know.
A I really don't. I mean, I really
don't. As I told you before, whether I
license or whether I own, we work very hard
to make sure the building is going to be a
really good building. I don't know, every
deal is so different. Each deal here, every
one of these deals is a totally different
deal. Real estate is a complex subject and
every deal is a different deal.
Q The licensing agreement in this
particular case, Trump Tower Tampa with

| 17 | Simdag, had a very structured confidentiality |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18 | that nobody to the agreement could disclose |
| 19 | the terms of it? |
| 20 | A Correct. |
| 21 | Q $\quad$ Especially some of the key terms that |
| 22 | sent out prior to your lawsuit with simdag. |
| 23 | Do you know, sir, whether that similar |
| 24 | confidentiality agreement or provision is in |

```
Simdag, had a very structured confidentiality
that nobody to the agreement could disclose
the terms of it?
confidentiality agreement or provision is in
```

A I think I have it in every one or almost every one. Confidentiality is very important. I don't want my competitors to know my deals. I don't want them to see what deal I am making in Tampa, what deal I am making in Panama, what deal I am making in New York, what deal I am making throughout the world. So, we have confidentiality in many of our deals, if not all. I mean, you would have to ask my lawyer that question, but we have -- confidentiality is very important. Q Regardless of the structure, the terms of your licensing deals that $I$ absolutely agree would be confidential to the extent of
dollars being paid, what the terms are, but the general sense of disclosing to ultimate buyers on the street that want to go live or invest in a Trump property, in these other licensing deals, putting aside Trump Tower Tampa, do you disclose to buyers your actual involvement owner versus a licensing arrangement?

## Donald Trump

MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A Each deal is different. I would love to give you one answer, but every deal is totally different. As an example, every deal, many of the deals I have different lawyers. I have lawyers where this gentleman is not involved. I have a different set that's involved in California. I have different sets that are involved and they have their own way of doing things of the each -- and Dubai I had lawyers from -Q Dubai? A Dubai. We have good lawyers, but they all have their own way of doing things. And probably, again, I wouldn't know the answer to this, but there are probably different
disclosures for different deals.

```
Q I don't want you to guess because we
are not here to take guesses. It helps
nobody on either side. My question is more
focused to what you know as you sit here
right now. Do you know if you disclosed in
these other licensing deals your actual
```

involvement as a licensor of the name?
A I don't know. I really don't.
Q Do you know whether you disclosed the
licensing arrangement in general sense,
without necessarily the terms, to anyone with
respect to the Trump Tower Tampa?
A I really don't know. I really don't
know.
Q Do you recall ever discussing in any
way, shape or form, you personally, to anyone
that this was just a licensing arrangement?
MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A I don't think -- first of all, when
you say just a licensing, I don't consider
this to be just a licensing deal. I consider
myself to be a partner in the Tampa deal. I
have told you other cases where I was a
Licensor, I was purely a licensor. In the

Tampa deal I got a major percentage of the profits from the deal. We worked very hard on the design of the building. My staff worked very hard to make sure the ceiling heights were right, the windows -- a lot of
different things went into this building. It was a complicated building, but it would have been a beautiful building had it not been for the market crash.

I don't consider this to be merely a licensing deal. I consider, really, being a partner in this deal because of the fact that I share a major percentage of the properties in the deal.

Q You would expect those who were investing and buying the property to have those expectations, given your name was put to the project, that you were partner quality --

A No, I wouldn't expect that. I would say if somebody were to ask, they could be told, but in the case of Tampa, I really considered -- Tampa, we worked harder in Tampa than we worked on most jobs. In Tampa I considered myself to be a partner because we shared in the profits. I don't usually --

I don't always do that. Sometimes I do, sometimes I don't, but when I start sharing in profits, we really -- that really is in
the form of a partner.
Q Would you expect people buying or investing in a Trump property like Trump Tower Tampa, would you expect them to know the distinction between you as a licensing partner and an owner?

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question. Go ahead.
A I think they knew that I wasn't down there building the building. People didn't expect that I was going to be spending the next two years in Tampa building the building.

Q I am sorry, you are right.
A I think they felt confident that I was not going to be in Tampa building the building. They also knew of Simdag.

Everybody knew of Simdag. The developers were very well known in the area and respected in the area. I think they knew that Donald Trump wasn't the person that was going to be down there building the building.

```
Certainly they didn't think -- I never got a
call from somebody saying why aren't you down
```

```
there building this building. Nobody
```

    expected it.
    Q Is there such a thing as Donald Trump
    building the building in any of these
    projects?
    A Sure.
    Q Can you name one?
    A Chicago.
    Q Chicago, where you are actually on
    site?
    A Yes, well -- no, not on site, but I
    went there a lot. I was building the
    building, my people were building the
    building. Las Vegas, my people were building
    the building.
    Q When you say your people?
    A People that worked for me directly,
    people that I paid a salary to. They were
    building that building.
    Q What makes you say that the buyers --
    forget the buyers for a second. Tampa, from
    the mayor all the way down, did not expect
    that Donald Trump would be building this
    building. What makes you say that?
    Donald Trump
A
Well, I just feel that, number one, I think that was the perception, that I wasn't building the building. I think there were numerous articles, press articles that I wasn't building the building, per se. I wasn't building it. I would say that that would be to me, that would be the perception.

If somebody were to ask or if somebody were to call my office, I would certainly say that I am not building the building. If they ask whether or not I was a partner in the building, I would say yes, I got a percentage of the profits in the building. I had a big stake in the building. I had a very big stake in the building, but because development is a local business, it was better that local people were building the building than me because I don't know the sheetrock contractors and I don't know the plumbers and the roofers and the people in Tampa. I don't know them. I wouldn't be as good building the building as a local group. Q I think you said this already, but I just want to be crystal clear in my head. In

Donald Trump
your opinion, sir, you personally, did you think the deal in Tampa was dead before you pulled your name from the project?

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead. A I didn't know it was dead or not. I know that we sent out a notice I guess that was based on a default, that they had not -Q Paid you. A They had not paid us. They had run into terrible market conditions and I understood that and they had not paid us. Q How much did you ultimately get out? I know it is probably confidential in simdag. I know it went to mediation and ultimately resolved and the file is closed. I don't know if there is anything dangling. I don't know if your lawyer has any instruction for you, and I want to give an introduction to that, to the extent you are going to instruct him so wait.

```
licensing arrangements, have you settled any
of those lawsuits?
    MR. GRIFEIN: I am going to
        have to speak to Mr. Garten. I don't
        know anything about other lawsuits,
        whether we can -- so give me a second.
            MR. CLARK: Sure, let's take
        two minutes. We can table it and just
        keep moving.
            MR. GRIFFIN: Good idea.
Q You sued Simdag, as I read the lawsuit
    and the pleadings, because they did not pay
    you the licensing fee, is that correct, or
    were there other reasons?
    A I would rather have you ask my lawyers
    because--
    Q I am only asking you what you know.
    If you don't know, that's completely fine and
    understandable.
    A We sued them for various reasons, I
    guess, and I would rather have you refer to
    my lawyers on what exactly took place. I
    don't want to be inaccurate.
    Q One of the things that was disclosed
```

    in this case, and I won't bore you with all
    the procedural steps in the Federal case, it is disclosed to us that your son Mr. Trump Jr., possesses general information about the amendment that was the first amendment that went to the licensing arrangement.

I thought it was kind of awkward that
in the disclosures you possessed the
background going into the original agreement
and that your son possessed information
separately about the amendment. Is there a distinction, in your mind, about what you know with respect to the original agreement and the amendment, or am I just off base? A My son became involved with the job over a period of time so he would know something about the job.

Q The distinction between the two documents, as I see it, the licensing arrangement on solid dollars went from 2 million to 4 million. Do you know the chronology of events that led to that? A I do not.

Q Would he know that, if you know?

Donald Trump
A I sort of doubt it.
Q The licensing arrangements that you pointed out for these projects, who came up

```
with the idea of licensing your name?
A I did.
Q Can you take us back in time when that
came about?
            MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
    form.
Q Is there a time period in your mind
and you said -- this is me talking -- I've
created a great name from hard work, value,
all the things that we have read about and
know about of you, sir, that I am going to go
out and license my name and give people
quality without actually having to put and
    investing dollars in the project?
    A It took place years ago. I had done a
    good job. We have had great success, and I
    think the brand has become very valuable,
    only enhanced very greatly by the Apprentice,
    which you watched the other night. I
    appreciate your telling me that. And the
    brand has been enhanced by the great success
```


## Donald Trump

of the Apprentice and Celebrity Apprentice on television.

Years ago, we started -- people would come to us and they say, you know, we want to
build a building in a certain location in Waikiki and we would like to use the Trump brand or we want to build a building someplace else and we want to use the Trump brand.

All of a sudden, we started making some deals which were licensing deals, some deals which were licensing and partnership deals. It is very funny because almost all of the deals are different. I mean, I can't think of -- it is not just like a boilerplate, where you just sign. Every deal is different. Some people have cash and they would rather pay cash. Other people don't have cash, they would rather pay a percentage over a period of time. Some people would rather have you as a partner and give you a piece of the deal or a piece of the profits. Each deal is very different. Q The dollars of how you value licensing

## Donald Trump

 your name, whether it is just a straight fee versus a partnership, is there a value that you put into each deal? Is there some kind of calculation that you go in and say, okay, guys, are you coming to me, hypothetically?A Yes, it is very ad hoc.

Q Really?
A It depends on the developer, it depends on the location, it depends -- as an example, we did a deal in New Orleans, a licensing deal and, like, almost -- I may be wrong on this a little bit, but a few days later it got hit by the big hurricane, the disaster. They paid a lot of money to go in. I think it was $\$ 2$ million $u p$ front. I called them, I said do you want your money back. They said, no, no, we are going to build this job, and that was, like, how many years ago. Years ago.

Q Five, six?
A They are still working on that job. I think they are going to get it built. It is amazing. They didn't want their money back. Things happen. In that case it was Katrina.

## Donald Trump

But things happen. That was an amazing one because we had made the deal, and I remember reading or hearing that there is a big hurricane coming into New orleans. I called them up I said you guys okay. They said no problem. The next day it was like a disaster.

Whether it is Katrina or whether it is a depression, which is what we had a few years ago, a couple of years ago, things stop jobs and they also help jobs get built. Good things happen also. Like, the market goes up. Lots of things happen in real estate. It is complicated and it takes a long time.

It is not like you wave a magic wand and the building appears. Buildings take years and years and years to develop and to get. going, and market forces can change the success or failure of a building. Q The Trump brand that we are talking about and the value we are talking about, do you think buyers and investors in your property expect the brand to pay returns in value?

## Donald Trump

A I think they vaiue the brand, yes.
Q If you take your brand with you from a project, whatever it may be -- this happened to be one example, but $I$ am not tying it to it -- you lose value, it is not a Donald

Trump brand property, correct?
A What do you mean?
Q If you take your name off it?
A If I take my name off.

| 11 | Q If you went to the hotel that I stayed |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 | at and pulled your name from it and put some |
| 13 | other person there, that loses value? |
| 14 | A Well, when we took our name from the |
| 15 | Tampa job, it looked like the market had |
| 16 | destroyed that job, so I don't know that it |
| 17 | lost value. |
| 18 | Q You would agree, if you yank your |
| 19 | brand name from a project, it loses value |
| 20 | that day. Do you agree with that? |
| 21 | A I think the projects are more valuable |
| 22 | if my name is on them, yes. |
| 23 | Q The flip side of that, not to try to |
| 24 | heckle you with questions, if you pull your |
| 25 | brand name from that, there goes the value as |

```
Q If you went to the hotel that I stayed
at and pulled your name from it and put some
other person there, that loses value?
A Well, when we took our name from the
Tampa job, it looked like the market had
destroyed that job, so I don't know that it
lost value.
Q You would agree, if you yank your
brand name from a project, it loses value
that day. Do you agree with that?
A I think the projects are more valuable
if my name is on them, yes.
Q The flip side of that, not to try to
heckle you with questions, if you pull your
brand name from that, there goes the value as
```


## Donald Trump

```
    well?
```

    A I don't say there goes the value, but
    I think the brand has a value. It doesn't
    mean it won't be successful without the
    brand. A job can go up without my brand and
    be very successful also.
    Q The deal that was structured for Trump
    Tower Tampa put a price point in place for
    units square footage. With your Trump brand
    on that project, you well exceeded the square
    footage value, correct?
A I don't remember.
Q You don't remember. Well, you can
assume it because I looked at the numbers.
A I think so. I am not surprised. It
has happened elsewhere.
Q It seems to me that you can go into a
project, and tell me if I am wrong, and look
at a project, look at the market, see what
the market retails at and say if I put my
brand here it is going up 20, 30 percent, and
then you put that into the equation of your
agreement so that you take a piece of that if
you are partnering, correct?
A Very complicated. It is a very
complicated -- there is no formula. Each job
is different. Each job is totally different.
It depends on the developer, the location,
the city, the area. Some don't have very
much cash, some have a lot of cash. Each job
is different. Every one of these jobs is
different.
Q My example of going to the
marketplace, knowing your square footage of a
normal development, and knowing the Trump
brand is going to increase that retail value,

```
does that go into your equation when you are
    doing the deal?
    A Maybe subconsciously, yes.
        MR. CLARK: Let's take a
        two-minute break. Let your lawyers
        talk real quick. If you want to make
        any calls, please feel free.
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off
        the record at 10:57 a.m. End of tape
        number one.
            (Whereupon a brief recess was
        taken.)
```

```
                Donald Trump
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Returning to
        the record 11:05 a.m., beginning of
        tape number two.
    Q I'm going to show you, this is just
    one example of what I call the silver book.
    One of the things that was handed out and
    what was identified as Chris's Exhibit 1, the
    first exhibit -- excuse me, the first
    exhibit, Exhibit 1, was the New York Times
    Magazine.
        This one will be Exhibit 2. I will
    call it the silver book.
        MR. GRIFFIN: That's fine. By
```

            the way, so the record is clear,
                    Mr. Trump, what is the date of that
                    New York Times Magazine?
    Q October '06. It is on the front page
right under --

MR. GRIFFIN: Sure.
(Whereupon silver book is
marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 for
identification as of this date.)
Q Have you seen this book before?
A Yes, I have.

```
            Donald Trump
Q When you came down to Tampa initially
for your one visit you were in Tampa that got
so much coverage, this was what was available
to everybody showing up that night; do you
recall that?
    MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
    form of the question.
A I think that's right, yes.
Q Whether you had already laid down a
reservation or put money down, this was being
put out on the marketplace?
                    MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
    form of the question.
A I believe that's true.
Q All the marketing -- I have read the
```

```
agreement, and as lawyers we all know what
certain things mean, but with respect to your
marketing for this particular project, Trump
Tower Tampa, were you personally reviewing
all the stuff that was going to be putting
out to the marketplace?
A I wouldn't say everything, but a lot
of it, yes.
Q Who was in charge of making sure it
```

    was being done right?
    A I would say my -- from my
    organization.
    Q From your group?
    A I would think my son Don Jr. more than
    anybody else. Myself and my son.
    Q The things that get said about you and
    things that are quoted from you, do you have
    somebody in your organization that tracks
    that to make sure people were doing it right?
                            MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
            form of the question.
    A We like to say the right thing, but I
    don't know that we have anybody that actually
    tracks it, no. I don't think we would have
    anybody that tracks it.
    Q As I am sitting here, I am thinking
our President gets a briefing every morning
about what is going on. Do you have
something like that, where somebody briefs
you on a weekly, monthly, daily basis of what
is being out there, put out there?
A No.
Q About you or maybe you being quoted?
A No.
Q When something is submitted by your
organization or you, whether it be the
simplest to the more detailed, like that
book, do you have somebody checking the
accuracy of it?
A Within reason. I mean, it is a big
organization with a lot of different
development, so only within reason.
Q Do you know if anything was marketed
incorrectly, quoted wrong with respect to the
Trump Tower Tampa?
MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Have you had anybody look? There has
been a massive amount of stuff produced in
this case. Has somebody gone and reported to

```
you -- and wait for your instruction, because
if you are getting an instruction or
direction from your lawyer listen to it --
has anybody reported to you that something
was done inaccurately?
MR. GRIFEIN: I'm going to
```

```
                Donald Trump
        instruct you not to answer any
        communications that you have had with
        your lawyers, whether it be Alan,
        myself or anybody else on your legal
        staff, with respect to a response to
        Mr. Clark's question.
    A Not to my knowledge.
    Q One of the projects, Las Olas -- is
    that how you pronounce it?
    A Las Olas.
    Q Ft. Lauderdale.
    A Yes.
    Q Put on hold. That's a licensing deal,
    licensing fee deal only?
    A I believe so, yes.
    Q Is that on this?
    A Yes, it is on the left-hand corner.
    Q Beach Resort, Ft. Lauderdale. That
    was a project, aqain, just so I am crystal
```

```
clear in my head, was this a licensing fee,
not a partnership?
A I believe that was a licensing fee,
yes.
Q Have you been deposed in that case
```

```
yet?
```

A No.
Q This is the first time you have been
deposed in any of these disputes over
projects and licensing and whatnot?
A We have won most of the cases.
Q Good.
A That's the good news. So, I haven't
had to -- it is amazing.
Q May I have a moment. Keep everything
like that. I will ask the court reporter, if
she would, this is the same Exhibit 1, the
New York Times Magazine. Will you be able to
get that, if you can? Step back a little
bit. The general sense of what we have been
talking about, sir, is your property and the
value that your brand brings to a project.
You would agree with me there is no
distinction in this short little ad, this one
distinction between licensing and owning,
correct?

A

Q
that you do individually or through your

A I don't really know the answer to that. I mean, some may say something, so I can't answer definitively, but overall, and as I told you before, if we do a licensing job or if it is a job that I own, they are both of equal importance to me. I want to make sure it works out well.

Q Because of what the slogan here is, the finest properties from your name?

A Correct.
Q With respect to the properties here, we went through some of them and you mentioned licensing arrangements for a host of them, correct?

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the form of the question. Go ahead.

A We went through all of them.
Q All of them, but a lot of them were in

```
fact licensing deals?
```

A Yes.

```
A Some were licensing, some were
```

    ownership, yes.
    Q Again, no distinction, as far as you
    know, in this piece; an asterisk, a footnote
    nothing to make the distinction?
    A That's correct.
    Q The properties, as you have it, all
        have value because your brand name is added
        to them, correct?
        A There is a value.
        Q If you pull that brand name from those
        projects, they lose value, correct?
            MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
            form of the question.
    A It depends, again, if the market is
    going up. And if I pull my name but the
        market is going up, I think you will
        recapture any value that's lost, if there is
        a value that's lost, but, yes, I think my
        name has value.
        Q Again, the distinction, I want people
        that may watch this in Tampa to hear it from
    Donald Trump
you, if you would. If you pull your name, everything being equal, from a project, value goes down?

MR. GRIFFTN: Object to the form of the question. Q Correct?

A I don't know that that's necessarily correct. This was a development that was killed because of market conditions. It was -- sadly, I mean, because I wanted to do it very much. I wanted to do it to a certain extent because of George Steinbrenner, who was a friend of mine, who was a very good friend of mine. This was a job that was -the Tampa job was killed because of market conditions. It wasn't going to get built whether it had my name or not. I don't think it was any less valuable or more valuable whether or not it had my name. This was a dead job.

The market crash killed this job. Had the market not crashed, had Lehman not gone bankrupt, had Bear Stearns not gone out of business, had the world and the stock market

Donald Trump
not gone down by 60 percent or whatever it was, this job would have been built. This is like thousands of other jobs in the United States. It went bad because of market conditions. Had that not happened, this job would have been built, so I don't think it mattered whether my name was on it or not. I don't think it hurt the value of the job that I pulled my name, because they did whatever they did as the people I am talking about, the representatives in Tampa. The job had no value because of market conditions. It had no more value or less value because my name was on it at that point.
Q Of all the properties up there, Trump
Tower Tampa is the only one dead in the
water?

MR. GRIfEIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A
I didn't say that. I mean, I told you
there were numerous other jobs up there that
didn't get built. This ad was from years
ago. This ad was in the go times when
everything was getting built.

Donald Trump
As I told you, Dubai, who would think
that Dubai was going to--
Q Leaving Dubai out of it?
A Okay, Las Olas didn't get built.
Q It is not dead in the water?
A It is dead in the water. I think it
is dead in the water.
Q Okay.
A Philadelphia didn't get built.
Q We have not talked about Philadelphia.
A No, I think --
Q Was that a licensing deal?
A Philadelphia was a licensing deal that did not get built because it hit the wrong market. Philadelphia was going to get built.

It was a wonderful job in a wonderful
location. Lehman Brothers went bankrupt and
it and never got built.
Q None of your properties that you owned
are dead in the water. Maybe Dubai, based upon what you described?

A Dubai is dead in the water. Forget about me owning it. It was owned by the government of Dubai. Who would think that
they would go under?
Q None of the properties that you own are dead in the water?

MR. GRIEFTN: Object to the
form of the question.
A By the way, even if they got built, they are worth much less money than they would have been. As an example -MR. GRIFEIN: Dan, please let him finish his answer.

A As an example, had we built the Tampa job, had everybody paid millions of millions of dollars for their units based on old pricing, right?

Q Right?
A They would have lost much more money
had we built the job than losing their deposit. They would have lost much more money because the apartments -- they would have paid, during good times, they would have paid $\$ 2$ million for their apartment. That apartment today would be worth $\$ 500,000$. They were better off losing their deposit. Q That's the ups and downs of real

Donald Trump
estate. In 10 years, 15 years, who is to say

```
that that value returns, sir?
                            MR. GRIFEIN: Wait --
A Your lawsuit is as of now.
Q Understood --
            MR. GRIFEIN: Mr. Trump, and,
    Dan, please, you guys are kind of
    talking over each other. Let him
    finish the question, let him finish an
    answer. Please, let's kind of slow it
    down.
    Q Your analysis that you just gave us
    takes out the fact that real estate. We
    don't know where it is going to be in 10 or
    15 years.
                            MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the
            form of the question.
    Q Correct?
    A It might go down.
    Q It might go up?
    A It might go down. So far I have been
    right. It has been going down.
    Q You would agree -- maybe you don't --
    it is better to have something you can touch,
```

    66
        Donald Trump
    open a door to then have nothing in hand?
        MR. GRIfFIN: Object to the
        form of the question.
    A I disagree in this case. The apartments were sold at a very high price during a very good portion of the market, when the market was raging. This was before Lehman Brothers went bankrupt, Bear Stearns, et cetera. Those prices today, had they been bought by the people that you represent, those units would be worth 60 or 70 percent less today. With or without the name Trump, they would be worth 60 or 70 percent less. If somebody paid two or $\$ 3$ million for a unit, that unit would be worth 60 or 70 percent less.

By the way, that's just Tampa. 'Ihat's the whole country. Some sections are a little bit better than others. New York is better than other sections, as the example, but Tampa got hit very hard by the depression. Those units would be worth a tremendous amount less had they bought them.

In other words, had they put up their

Donald Trump
$\$ 2$ million, their $\$ 2$ million would now be worth five or $\$ 600,000$.

Q Not to continue to debate--
A To be honest with you, they were

```
better off that the building wasn't built.
Q Your value in the hotel here in New
York City from that stake would arguably be
less because of the marketplace, correct?
A I am going by the Tampa market. I am
saying the Tampa market got hit very, very
hard, as bad as any market in the country,
and a $2 million r apartment in Tampa would
be worth about five or $600,000 today. The
best thing that happened to your clients was
that the building was not built.
Q Trump Tower Tampa sold out though from
reservation-wise money down?
A That's right, at very high prices, and
those prices today are worth }70\mathrm{ percent.
Sixty, 70 percent less than that sell out.
Q You've gotten an undisclosed number
out of this project, correct?
MR. GRIFFIN: Wait, wait, wait.
    Counsel looked at me, the settlement.
```


## Donald Trump

MR. CLARK: The settlement, whatever what's been paid ir.

MR. GRIFFIN: I am going to tell Mr. Trump to not answer any questions regarding any settlement of this or any other case. I would ask

```
        that we not address and I will stand
        by the objection and the instructions.
Q I'm with you. My point is I don't
know the exact number that you have been
paid, because I do know what was accounted
for up to your lawsuit but I do not know, and
your counsel has instructed you not to tell
me, whether you were paid anything. So,
that's a number that you pulled out of this
project?
                    MR. GRIFEIN: No, it is not. I
        am telling you that we are not
        answering. We have not disclosed any
        information about any number, whether
        it was received at all or not. You're
        saying something that doesn't have a
        factual basis.
        MR. TURKEL: So the record is
        Donald Trump
        clear, you are taking a
        confidentiality position on licensing
        fees pre-default?
        MR. GRIFFIN: No.
        MR. TURKEL: You are taking a
        position on anything that may have
        happened post-default, post-loss.
```

MR. GRIFEIN: That's correct.
MR. TURKEL: Even the acknowledgment of the settlement.

MR. GRIFEIN: That's correct.
MR. TURKEL: Pre-default, if we were to ask you today how many dollars were you paid while they were performing, you would not take the position.

MR. GRIFFIN: Correct, that's not confidential.

MR. CLARK: That's what I was about to pull out.

Q There are two pockets here. One, we don't know, and you need to follow what your lawyer is telling you and do so, and a number that's been paid to you already as a

Donald Trump
licensing fee, correct?
A Okay.
Q You do know that you received some money, and I have the figures, and I don't really care what the number is. You agree with that and you know that, correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Why haven't you returned those funds
to this project and given back that money?

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
Q If the project didn't get built?
A Well, because I had no obligation to the people that signed me to give it back, number one, and number two, the money was a very small amount relative to -- in fact, I would say that I lost money on this project. If you add all of what everybody has been through including yourselves, I have lost money on this project.

This has been a loser, not a positive, and most of the money that I would have made on this project would have been from a percentage of profits had the market stayed

```
    strong.
```

    Q Your analysis over the marketplace and
    what it has done to devaluing property?
    A Yes.
    Q You agree with me even with the
    marketplace and the devaluation of
    properties, your properties, your Signature
    properties have more value with your brand
    name on it?
    MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
Q Correct?
A Well, if they are ever built. You are
talking about a project that's not built.
You are talking about a project that had no
value. So. Whether it had my name on it or
not. It wouldn't have made any difference.
Q We are going to switch, based on what
we have talked about. We will go straight
through and get you out of here.
A That would be great. That would be
much nicer.

## Donald 'Irump

MR. CLARK: Thank you,
Mr. Trump.
THE WITNESS: No problem.

## EXAMINATION BY

MR. TURKEL:
Q Mr. Trump, just so the record is
clear, I am Ken Turkel. I am co-counsel with Mr. Clark in this case.

Your lawyer as well, you have allowed
me to ask a portion of these questions today, which we appreciate.

By way of general background, there

```
are a few areas I want to clean up with you
as we head into some more specifics about the
license agreement.
    The first one is this. One of the
comments you made to Mr. Clark was that it
was very well proven that the Trump name
brings immediate value, using words, you can
put them in quotes, "very well proven."
    Do you have any internal reports or
data in your own possession or the possession
of the Trump Organization or any of the
affiliate companies that document that fact?
```

    A I can try and find some for you. I
    don't think we did any, per se, but I think
    that newspapers have done it showing that
    there is a value. And if \(I\) can find that, I
    will give it to my attorneys to give to you.
    Q The genesis of the question was
    whether you were referring to reports that
    may be disseminated publicly or through the
    media or whether they were internal reports?
    A I believe they would have been from
    other companies that were disseminated to the
    media. I don't think we have done it
    individually.
    Q Have you kept any statistics, either internally or do you know of any statistics that have been kept externally that have distinguished between the value brought to a project by the Trump name when you license it as opposed to when you are actually the builder developer?

```
A No, I don't know that.
```

Q Do you have any personal opinions on
that?

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
Donald Trump
form of the question.
A don't think it would matter.
What do you mean?
A You are saying if it is a license deal
or if I own it, would there be a difference
in value? $\quad$ Yes, let me rephrase the question as
Qpposed to asking you for your opinion.
Mr. Clark took you through the board and the
New York Times Magazine article articulating
among 2,006 various projects that you were
involved in.
whether the projects with your name licensed
had more or less value than the ones in which

| 17 | you actually were builder developer? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the |
| 19 | form of the question. |
| 20 | A No. I don't know why it would matter |
| 21 | that much, but I don't see it, but I don't |
| 22 | know the answer to that. |
| 23 | Q I am not sure it necessarily -- |
| 24 | MR. GRIEFIN: Can I interrupt? |
| 25 | With all respect to the lawyers and |
| 1 | Donald 'lrump |
| 2 | Mr. Trump, I thought there were |
| 3 | different areas, substantive areas |
| 4 | that you were going to inquire about |
| 5 | and not just followup on Dan's |
| 6 | questions. |
| 7 | MR. TURKEL: I am actually |
| 8 | laying predicate for discussion of the |
| 9 | specific terms of the licensing |
| 10 | agreement. I want to make sure I |
| 11 | understand a few of these things. |
| 12 | Q With respect to deals in which you |
| 13 | were licensing, you have identified very |
| 14 | candidly for us the different capacities in |
| 15 | ways you participated. As we sit here today, |
| 16 | do you know whether the actual licensing |
| 17 | agreements in the non-Tampa license deals |

were similar to their fee structure the Tampa
deal?
A It was -- as I said before, every deal
is different. Tampa would be different than
most of the other deals here. Not different,
for better or worse. The deals are just
different for lots of different reasons. In
the Tampa deal, a percentage of the profits,

```
    and really a partnership therefore, was
```

    created because of the percentage of the
    profits, at least in my mind, and that's
    different.
            Many of the licensing deals, it is a
    flat fee or it is a fee per unit or whatever.
    This was a percentage of profits, so this was
    actually a little bit more intense deal than
    most.
    Q How long have you been in the real
    estate development business?
    A Since 1970.
    Q In that time frame, from 1970, let's
    go until 2004, when the initial license
    agreement was signed, how many entities have
    you either formed or been a part of that were
    either partnerships, limited liability
    companies, joint ventures or corporations?
    A Well, many, many. Far more than what you see up here. This would be just an indication of it, but many beyond what you have here. I don't know the number. Q You understand, as a business person, an experienced business person, that there is

```
        Donald Trump
    a difference between a partnership and a
    corporation; right?
    A Well, a corporation can be in the form
    of a partnership, too. You can have
    corporate partners.
    Q Correct.
    A The question is a little bit general.
    Q You can have a partnership that has
    partners in it which are corporations?
    A Absolutely.
    Q You understand those are different
    legal types of entities, a partnership versus
    a corporation?
    A Yes.
                            MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the
            form of the question.
    Q Equally, do you understand that a
    limited liability company is another type of
    legal entity?
```

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the form of the question.

A Yes.
Q How about a joint venture. Have you ever done a joint venture agreement?

A Yes.
Q Do you understand the joint venture to be a different type of entity?

A They are all going to be.
Q One of the points you made is that you viewed your participation in Tampa as a partnership because of the fact that you were receiving a portion of the profits; is that right?

MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A Ihat was my view. That was my view.
Q That was your view?
A That is my view and was my view.
Q What you are trying to clarify for me?
You hold that view today also?
A That is correct.
Q When Simdag -- strike that. You have
talked about how you got interest in the
Tampa project. You have referenced your
relationship with Mr. Steinbrenner and Derek

```
Jeter. Did Simdag initially come to you
sceking the use of your name for this
project?
```

```
A I believe so.
```

Q Do you know whether the idea to do it
as a licensing agreement versus a joint
venture, a corporation or a limited liability
company or general or limited partnership was
your idea or Simdag's?
A I don't know.
Q Would somebody else have handled that
initial discussion at the Trump Organization?
A No.
Q If you don't know, who would know?
A Nobody.
Q Explain that to me.
MR. GRIFFIN: Wait. Objection
to the form of the question. Explain
that to me doesn't ask him. What you
are trying --
Q When you tell me nobody knows, I am
assuming somebody was initially approached by
Simdag or vice versa.
A Right, it was so many years ago -- you
are talking many years, and I handled it, but

I don't exactly know did we call them, did they call us. I think they called us, but I

```
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have had many, many different things happen
over the years. While I like to pride myself
on having a very good memory, I can't tell
you if many years ago I called them or they
called me. I think they called me.
Q Would there be any document or record
of that initial contact?
A No.
Q If they called you, would it be your
recollection that you handled the
communication personally?
A Yes.
Q Do you know, as you sit here today,
whether the idea to do this as a licensing
agreement versus you being a builder
developer was your idea or theirs?
A Well, I think it was common sense. It
was a license and because we didn't have the
local knowledge that I discussed before, so
the concept of being the builder developer
would not have really entered into the
    equation.
                                    MR. TURKEL: Let's go ahead and
    mark this as Exhibit 3.
```

Donald Trump
(Whereupon, a copy of a license agreement entered into between Mr. Trump as licensor and Simdag/Robel as licensee is marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date.)

Q The court reporter has handed you what was marked as Exhibit 3 for this deposition. A Correct.

Q I can represent to you it is a copy of the license agreement that was entered into between you as licensor and Simdag/Robel as licensee. Are you familiar with that document?

A Yes.
Q As a predicate to discussing the document, who owns the Trump name as a piece of property, as a piece of intellectual property?
A $\quad$ I do.
Q You individually, correct?
A Yes.
Q Do you own all of the related service
marks to the name?
A Yes.

Donald Trump
MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
MR. TURKEL: What is the
objection?
MR. GRIFEIN: It calls for a
legal conclusion?
THE WITNESS: Good point.
Q I would assume you know whether it is a legal conclusion or not.

MR. GRIFFIN: I assume I can
make an objection, too.
Q I am sorry, Chris. I was just mulling that one over. Do you recall when trademark protection was sought for the Trump name and related service marks?

A No.
Q Whose idea was it to get trademarked?
I will caution you, if it came from one of your lawyers, don't tell me.

A My lawyers.
Q Is the Trump name trademarked for use
in products other than real estate ventures?
A Yes.
Q Have you used it in that fashion?

A That is correct.
Q Certainly enough value that you could
use it on cufflinks or bottled water?
A Yes.
Q Did you ever do a licensing agreement
of any kind? If you look at Exhibit 3, it is
dated October 27, 2004, which was right at
six years ago?
A okay.
Q Almost a month shy of six years, prior
to October 27, 2004, had you done any other
licensing agreements with your name?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Do you recall which ones?
A I don't know. I think maybe Miami was
before this. I am not sure. I would have to
check with my lawyers and check with the
people as to the chronology, but yes, I
believe we did others prior to this.
Q Would the Tampa Sindag license
agreement at the very least have been one of
the first five or 10?
A One of the earlier ones, yes.
Q When you say one of the earlier ones,
within the world of real estate?
A Yes, of real estate.
Q I believe you discussed this with
Mr. Clark, but just to confirm it, as far as
you recall all of the license agreements have
been confidential?
A As far as $I$ know, they are all
confidential, yes.
Q Let's take a look at this one. I want
to go through some specific terms of this
with you. In the preamble, if you would turn
to the first page, which says License
Agreement at the top, so it is going to be
the first page after the cover page.
A Okay.
Q Turn the cover page over.
A Okay.
Q It is on the back of your cover page.
I am sorry, it says License Agreement?
A Correct.
Q There is a recognition that this
agreement is entered into on October 27,
2004, between Donald J. Trump, worldwide
renowned builder and developer of real
estate, who enjoys the highest reputation in
his field among others. You are defined as
the licensor. Do you see that? A Yes.

Q I don't mean this question to be -Dan used the word heckle earlier. Why is there a recognition in there that you are a worldwide renowned builder and developer. Why is that put into the agreements? A Because we want them to know that we have a very important repulation and we don't want them to screw up. Q It is a way of putting in writing with the party who you are going to license your name to?
A That's correct.

Q That your reputation is an important
thing?
A We want them to do a good job.
Q Simdag/Robel is Iisted here this is October 27, 2.004. How long -- strike that. What period of due diligence did you undergo with respect to Simdag before you agreed to sign this agreement with them?

```
                Donald Trump
            MR. GRIFEIN: Objection to the
            form of the question. Go ahead.
    A Due diligence is always very tough.
    You hire people or you use your own people
```

and you go into the background of people. The background of the people in the partnership was quite a good background. They were respected, they were really well known in the Tampa area, and they really had a very good reputation. We did a fairly thorough check at the time.

It was years ago, but we did a fairly thorough check, and everybody seemed to think they were quite good people. We also then checked the real estate of the location and a lot of other things going into a decision like this. We felt that the people, the quality of the people involved was very high. Q As you sit here Loday, do you have any recollection as to how many projects Simdag/Robel had built in the Tampa Bay area as of October 27, 2004? A Well, this is a separate company or group for the purpose of doing this

Donald Trump particular job, but there were people that were involved with development and there were people that had just very good reputations. But this was set up -- I beiieve that name was set up for specifically this job.

Q That's a fair point. Let me rephrase

```
the question. As of October 27, 2004, I
would assume you knew how many condominium
development projects the principals of Simdag
had been involved in prior to this agreement?
A My people did at the time, they did a
background check. I don't know exactly, but
the people that are involved with me in this
capacity did a background check and they
found them to be a quality group. I remember
the word quality used, a quality group of
individuals.
Q Do you know whether that background
check was memorialized in any sort of
document?
A I don't. I would love to find out. I
mean, I will check it for you.
    Q If it was memorializcd in a document,
    would it have been a Trump Organization
```

    document, corporate document?
    A Yes, I believe so, yes.
    Q Who would the people have been who did
        the due diligence?
        A I would have to check that. An
        executive or a group of executives within the
        organization.
    ```
Q If I were to describe for your lawyer
to perhaps produce to us any documents
memorializing the due diligence performed by
Trump individually or the Trump Organization?
A Right.
Q That would be sufficient for you to at
least do a search to see if they exist?
A Absolutely.
                    MR. GRIFFIN: Any objection
            that I may have --
                    MR. TURKEL: Absolutely. I am
        trying to find out the logistics if it
            is producible otherwise.
REQUEST NOTED
Q We see in the second paragraph of this
    document that you are the sole exclusive
    owner of the United States trademark
```


## Donald Trump

    regulations identified in schedule one.
    A Correct.
    Q Which we turn back to schedule one,
    because what is a document without its
    schedules. Schedule one lists trademark
    Trump Tower with the registration number of
    1688083. We can agree, as we sit here today,
    that's not the only trademark that you
    registered; right?
    ```
A That's correct.
    Q Certain other rights in the name
    trademark service marked designation and
    identification Trump. That goes back to what
    we were discussing earlier, which is the
    protection of your name as a brand; right?
    A Right, yes.
    Q Let's go to what is the third whereas
    clause, which says, "Whereas Licensee intends
    to develop a first-class luxury residential
    condominium building of approximately 190
    units." Do you see that language?
    A Yes, I do.
    Q Do you know, as you sit here today,
    whether Simdag or the principals of Simdag
```

    had ever developed a luxury residential
    condominium unit of that size or quality in
    their past?
    A No, I don't believe so. This was the
    biggest thing in Tampa, so this was an
    unusually large development. I started
    building very big buildings and I did a very
    good job of it, but at some point you had to
    start -- I don't know, they had a wonderful
    reputation, but \(I\) don't know that they
    | 12 | developed anything of this -- this was a very |
| :---: | :---: |
| 13 | large job. |
| 14 | Q When you had discussed with me earlier |
| 15 | that these principals enjoyed a good |
| 16 | reputation in Tampa, other than being able to |
| 17 | repeat for me that your people told you that, |
| 18 | you have no specific facts that you recall |
| 19 | today? |
| 20 | A No, but when I met them I was very |
| 21 | impressed with them as individuals. I went |
| 22 | to Tampa, I met with them. I was very |
| 23 | impressed with them as individuals. |
| 24 | Q If you go down to paragraph sub four |
| 25 | of the same whereas clause, it would read |
| 1 | Donald Trump |
| 2 | that the licensee, that being Simdag/Robel, |
| 3 | "intends to design, develop, construct, |
| 4 | operate and maintain the building according |
| 5 | to the Trump standards"? |
| 6 | A Correct. |
| 7 | Q "As herein defined so as to maximize |
| 8 | the value of the Tower property for the |
| 9 | benefit of Licensee and Licensor," correct? |
| 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | Q We can agree that you weren't going to |
| 12 | design the building; right? |
| 13 | MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the |

form of the question.
A Not design it per se, but make sure
the design was first class.
Q You had the rights to review the
design specs?
A Absolutely, and we did.
Q You weren't going to pick the
architect, though, correct?
A I think we could have, but we were
very impressed with what we saw.
Q When it comes to the actual
developing, as you stated earlier, you

Donald Trump
weren't going to be down at Tampa with the sheetrock installers; right?

MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the
form of the question.
A No, but had the building proceeded, I would have been at Tampa quite a bit. Q Did you have any responsibility under this license agreement for the actual construction of the property other than that to review Simdag's work?

A That's a big thing. We had very strong review capability and requirements under this agreement. Had the job proceeded,

```
I would have been in Tampa quite a bit to
    make sure it was going to go. I or
    representatives from my organization, but I
    would have been there actually a lot. This
    was a very exciting job to me.
    Q As it relates to the agreement -- I
    think you lost my question somewhere in that
    answer. The actual responsibility to design,
    develop, construct and operate belonged to
    Simdag/Robel; is that correct?
        MR. GRIFEIN: Object to both
```

Donald Trump the question and the comment that proceeded it. He answered the question, and it didn't get lost. He answered it.

MR. TURKEL: I disagree.
That's why I reasked.
A I would rather stay with the answer that I gave because we did have a lot to do with the design of this building and that's the way it was.

Q Did you have any contractual obligation with respect to this building and the design of the building beyond revicwing what was submitted to you by Simdag?

MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the
form of the question.
A I could have rejected what I saw and then probably have gotten very much involved, but we liked very much what we saw. It was the opposite.

Q Turn the page and let's look at that.
I think it is set forth pretty clearly.
A What page?
Q It will say page eight on the bottom.

Donald Trump
A Eight, different page.
Q You should see a sub F .
A It is a different page.
Q Yours may be paginated -- go to seven.
A Sub E.
Q Prior to commencing construction, do you have that?

A I have the right to review. Are we on the same document? Why are the pages--

Q I think it is paginated differently.
MR. GRIEEIN: I ask your
indulgence, Mr. Trump and Mr. Turkel.
That thing has gone off twice and
people know that $I$ am in an important
deposition. Let me check to see who
is trying to reach me.
A There it is. Sorry, it is my fault.
MR. GRIEFIN: Thank you.
MR. TURKEL: Are you all right.
MR. GRIFEIN: Yes.
Q One of the points you made, Mr. Trump,
was that you had the right to reject the
final plans and specifications for the
property, correct?
A That's correct.
Q If you look at paragraph $E$, that sets
forth the mechanics of how that works?
A Yes.
Q Do you need a moment to review it or
are you familiar with it?
A Go ahead.
Q As it sets forth in paragraph $F$, "The
Licensee" -- that being Simdag -- "is
required to submit its final plans and specs
therefore or specifications to the Licensor,"
and that you have the 15 -business-day window
to review those, do you see that?
A Correct, yes, I do.
Q As you stated, within those 15
business days you are allowed to deliver a
report to the licensee either approving those
final plans and specifications or identifying

```
the dcficiencies, for lack of better word in
it; right?
A Right.
Q At any point in time, did you send a
deficiency notice to Simdag/Robel with
respect to the final plans and
```

specifications?
A I don't believe so. We thought they
were doing really good work.
Q You approved what they said?
A believe that's correct.
Q If you had disapproved that, you are
allowed to send a notice to them of their
deficiencies; right?
A That is correct.
Q After they obtained that, they are
going to resubmit plans to you and you can
approve those; right?
A $\quad$ Change it or do something.
Q wore you aware at the time or are you
now after essentially three of those cycles
of approving or disapproving simdag at that
point was allowed to pull out of the
development?
MR. GRIEEIN: Object to the
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```
    form of the question.
    A You mean according to this document?
    Q Yes, sir.
    A Yes, there was something to that
    effect, yes.
```

```
            Donald Trump
    Q Go down to paragraph H -- strike that.
    Before we do that, look at the bottom of
    paragraph F. You will see a provision there
    saying the second, I think it is the last
    sentence saying, "Licensee shall construct or
    cause construction of the Tower property
    substantially in accordance with the final
    plans and specifications approved by
    licensor, which shall adhere to and comply
    with the Trump standards"; right?
    A Yes.
    Q When we get down to it as far as
    protecting your brand, the essential
    component of this contract to you is that you
    have the approval rights, correct?
    A Yes.
    Q And that they build it in accordance
    with your standards?
    A At a high level, yes.
    Q When you are marketing the project,
    certainly your expectation as you advertise
```

```
and market the project is that the buyer's
expected to be at that level of quality
associated with your name, correct?
```



```
are always reimbursed if you travel. It is a
very standard clause. That's I would say,
```

    always, always in this kind of an agreement.
    Q When you are an owner of a project, in
    other words, as you pointed out to Mr. Clark
    earlier, the various projects that you have
    built with either your own money or financing
    you have obtained and you have guaranteed, do
    you get reimbursed by your partners for
    coming to visit the project?
    A Oftentimes, yes.
    Q If I were to ask Mr. Griffin to
    produce documents memorializing the
    partnerships that you were a builder or owner
    in, we would see provisions to that?
    A I believe so, yes. If I have a
    partner and I am traveling, or likewise if
    the other partner is traveling, they would
    routinely put in for expenses. Whether it is
    in an agreement or not, they would put in for
    their expenses or I would put in for my
    expenses.
    Q Even if you were the majority owner?
    A Yes, if I was the majority owner. If
    I had 60 percent and I was traveling, and it
    was very costly but to the benefit of the
    ```
                                    Donald Trump
job, I put in for the expenses. Yes, pretty
standard.
Q Why in this particular agreement, if
you know, were the reimbursement obligations
capped at two visits every two months through
occupancy?
A Well, because I am sure while they
liked us and respected us, they didr't want
us to travel there five times a weck at great
expensc.
Q Do you recall ever submitting either
for your own benefit or the benefit of
someone within Trump Organization a
reimbursement report under this provision of
the contract?
A No, it may have happened, but I don't
recall that.
Q How many trips did you make -- there
was never a certificate of occupancy issued.
How many trips did you make to Tampa before
this?
A I think two or three. I would have
made many had it gone forward.
Q Let's take a look at page nine.
```

Donald Trump


## Donald Trump

"The construction of the building shall not fail to commence within 18 months unless such delay shall result from strikes, lockouts or labor disputes, inability to obtain labor or materials or reasonable substitutes therefor, acts of God, governmental restrictions, regulations or controls, enemy or hostile government action, civil commotion, riot or insurrection, fire or other casualty or other event similar to the foregoing beyond the reasonable control of licensee."

A Okay.
Q The reason I read that is this allows you, paragraph G, to pull out if they didn't commence construction within 18 months for a laundry list of what was defined as unavoidable delays; right?

A Okay.
Q What it is aimed at is when you look at these acts things that the builder itself,

Simdag, could not control, correct?
MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the form of the question.

2

```
Q You can go ahead and answer it.
A Yes, that's true.
Q The building didn't commence
construction within 18 months; right?
```

    A No.
    Q Let's just -- I want to pull back from
    the actual for a second, all right, and talk
    about the intent of this one provision. If I
    am a purchaser who laid down \(\$ 200,000\) of
    their deposit money to buy a unit at Trump
    Tower Tampa?
    A Right.
    Q And I have seen the plans, I have seen
    the silver book Mr. Clark showed, I have seen
    what this glorious building is going to be,
    whether that building gets built in two years
    or three years, we know that if it doesn't
    start construction in 18 months it may not be
    called Trump Tower; right?
            MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
            form of the question.
    A Well, we would have the right to pull
    out if we wanted to. We didn't want to.
    Q Do you know whether anyone within the
    premarketing of this disclosed to the buyers
     149
that you had this right to pull out?
MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A No, I don't know that.
Q Have you ever disclosed that when you
were advertising or marketing a project of
yours?
MR. GRIFEIN: Objection to the
form of the question.
A don't think it is something that
would be in advertising or marketing. I
mean, if you were going to do advertising or
marketing, then every job ever built would
have to take agreements in many cases that
are many times larger and put, put every
single word or every single paragraph into
the newspaper, and I don't think that can
happen from a practical standpoint.
Q Erom a contractual standpoint, you had
actually agreed that this entire agreement
was confidential, correct?
A Yes.
Q for you to disclose that, you would
have breached that confidentiality, wouldn't
you?
A But who would ever disclose agreements

```
in an advertisement? You're advertising for
    apartments. That would mean -- some
    partnership agreements are hundreds of pages
    long. Does that mean that every time we take
    an ad you have to disclose the entire
    agreement in its entirety? I mean, I don't
    think that would -- first of all, it has
    never been done in the history of real
    estate. Second of all, I don't think it
    would be very practical, and that's why it
    isn't done.
    Q Do you know whether any other real
    estate developers of your magnitude licensed
    their name the way you did?
    A I don't know. I am not sure, but yes,
    I am sure they have been, but hotel companies
    do it all the time routinely, Ritz Carlton,
    Four Seasons, routinely. It is something
    that's not uncommon? Mostly probably real
    estate people too. I just don't know of any,
    but in the hotel business it is routinely
```

    done.
    Q Do you know whether it is done in the
    condominium sales business?
    A I think it is, but I just can't give you any examples right now. Q Take a look at paragraph I in the same group of sub paragraphs. Another basis which would have allowed you to pull your name from affiliation with this project and to terminate this license agreement was if closings for at least 70 percent of the residential condominiums units in the building had not occurred or were not under bona fide binding purchase contracts within 30 months from the commencement date again, excepting out unavoidable delays; right? A Yes.
    Q First of all, do you think Simdag
    could have done anything to avoid the market
    conditions at the time?
                MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
            form of the question.
    A Probably not. Nobody else could in
    the whole country, so I have to use the word
    
## Donald Trump

probably, but probably not.
Q With respect to the closings, do you know how many units were presold at Trump Tower Tampa?

A No, I don't know. I knew at the time, but this is years later. I don't know now.

Q have a provision in your license agreement that allows you to pull out if there is not over 70 percent of sales? A Well, I think 70 percent would have taken care of much of the cost of building. I think that's a term that's -- that's a number that's used, that percentage is used a
lot of times to determine pretty much the
cost of the building, 70 percent of sales.
Q You are not paying the cost of the
building; right?
A No, but we want to make sure that the
building is paid for.
Q You and I can agree--
A If our name is on it.
Q Whether they sell 60 percent, 70, 80
or 90 percent, you are still going to get
paid your license fee?
A No, you are wrong.
Q Why am I wrong on that?
A Because I am getting a percentage of
profits in this case.
Q There are two components to the
license fee, so let me rephrase it. That's a

```
fair comment. The flat fee portion of your
license fee is going to get paid regardless
Of the amount of sales, correct?
A Well, if they pay it. You say it will
be paid. I don't know that it will be paid.
If they pay it, it will be paid. The
dominance of my fee was going to be as a
percentage of profits.
Q You would be entitled to the flat
portion regardless of whether they sold
7 0 \text { percent; right?}
A I believe so, yes.
Q Take a look at paragraph eight,
discontinuation of use of the marks. I think
this is somewhat axiomatic. If you
terminated the agreement, they have to stop
using your name; right?
```


## Donald Trump

A Correct.
Q You believe somebody buys their unit in 'Irump Tower and you pull your name under either paragraph $G$ or I and they may not own their unit in Trump Tower anymore; right? MR. GRIFEIN: Objection to the
form of the question.
A Say it again.
Q Sure, if someone bought their unit in

```
Trump Tower and they prebought it and plunked
        down 200, signed their binding purchase
contract, and you decided to pull your name
out either under paragraph G or I when
commencement of construction begins, they may
be moving into a non-Trump tower unit.
A In theory, I would have the right to
take my name off. It is something I wouldn't
want to do. In fact, I -- we fought like
hell to make sure this building could get
built, but unfortunately market conditions
didn't allow that to happen.
Q We can agree at some point you sent
Simdag a notice of default under this
licensing agreement; right?
```

        A Yes.
    Q Asked that they discontinue use of
    your name; right?
    A I believe so, yes.
    Q. Have you ever done that in any other
    licensing agreement other than Trump Tower
    Tampa?
    A Not that I can remember. I may have,
    but not that \(I\) can remember right now. If I
    do, I will let you know.
    ```
Q Thank you. If there was a document
memorializing that, that would be something
that either in-house counsel or Mr. Griffin
would be able to find?
```

A It is possible. I just can't think of
it right now.
REQUEST NOTED
Q Take a look at page 11 and paragraph
10 that says Assignment?
A Yes.
Q This provision, I am going to
paraphrase, and if your lawyer objects I can
read it a little more thoroughly. It
provides you the right, licensor is with the
right to assign the license agreement to a
related party, which is actually defined in
that same paragraph; right?
A Okay.
Q We can agree on that? Did you take a
look at it?
A Yes.
Q Do you know whether this agreement was
ever assigned?
A I don't know.
Q Trump organization is a corporation
which is a party to this lawsuit. Is the

```
Trump Organization under common control with
    or owned more than 50 percent by you?
    A Yes.
            MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
    form of the question.
    Q As it relates to your licensing
    agreements, what is the relationship between
    you, Donald Trump individually, and the Trump
    Organization?
                MR. GRIFFIN: Objection to the
        form of the question.
    Q Go ahead.
```

Donald Trump
A I own the Trump Organization,
Q For instance, when you enter into a
licensing agreement such as Exhibit 3, you
obviously individually don't administer it
day to day?
A That's correct.
Q Is that delegated to the Trump
Organization?
A Yes.
Q That would be the entity that would
deal with the day-to-day operation of this
license agreement?
A That's correct.


| 17 | your participation was merely as a licensor? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the |
| 19 | form of the question. |
| 20 | A I don't know. You would have to ask |
| 21 | my lawyers. I have so many agreements. As I |
| 22 | told you numerous times, each agreement is |
| 23 | different and you really have to speak to my |
| 24 | lawyers. It is possible. |
| 25 | Q Do you know whether in any of the |
|  | 115 |
| 1 | Donald T'rump |
| 2 | license agreements you referred to earlier |
| 3 | with respect to the Trump Signature |
| 4 | properties that Mr. Clark questioned you on |
| 5 | it was disclosed in the marketing materials |
| 6 | or other public disclosures to potential |
| 7 | buyers that this is a project which Mr. Trump |
| 8 | has licensed his name to? |
| 9 | A I don't know. I mean, you would have |
| 10 | to look. There would be nothing wrong with |
| 11 | our doing it. I don't know if it was done or |
| 12 | not. |
| 13 | Q When you say there would be nothing |
| 14 | wrong with you doing that -- |
| 15 | A No, if they put it in, it would be |
| 16 | fine. I wouldn't have objected to them doing |
| 17 | that. If they did it, fine. |

18

```
Q Would you have had an issue with it if
    they did it in a situation where you had a
    confidentiality agreement?
    A I assume they would ask us approval or
    whatever, but that would be -- I would
    certainly give that approval if they asked.
    Q I guess the specific is you can't
    recall ever having done that?
```

    A No, I cannot.
            MR. GRIEFIN: I apologize to
        everybody. I need to take just a
        couple of minute break.
            MR. TURKEL: We can take a few?
            MR. GRIFEIN: Two minutes, I
        apologize.
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off
        the record 12:03 p.m.
            (Whereupon a brief recess was
        taken.)
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Returning to
        the record 12:15 p.m., beginning of
        tape number three.
    Q Mr. Trump, referring you back to the
    licensing agreement, license agreement on
    page 15, paragraph 16 B , there is a line there
    that begins, "Notwithstanding anything to the
    ```
contrary contained herein including, but not
Iimited to the provisions of paragraph 3
hereof, Licensor shall not be responsible for
and shall have no liability to Licensee or to
any third parties for any design or
construction means, methods, techniques,
```

117

Donald Trump
sequences and procedures or for safcty precautions and programs employed by or on behalf of licensee with respect to the design and construction of the building."

The paragraph goes on to state that, "The licensor is not an architect, engineer, et cetera, or other license professional, and disavows any warranties for those activities and subsequent approvals."

If you look at paragraph three, which it refers to, that was the paragraph you and I were discussing earlier which provided you, I believe, those review rights?

```
    A Correct.
```

    Q I guess in sum what we can agree is
    other than what has been carved out by those
    review rights, you as licensor of your name
    were not going to be responsible for any of
    the construction means or methods, correct?
     149
A Correct, other than we were very
diligent in wanting the building to be a
magnificent building and built at a very high
standard, but I was not responsible, yes.
Q Understand this, Mr. Trump.

```
        Donald Trump
    Underlying all of this I think is a
    recognition by us, by you, by your attorney
    in these pleadings everywhere that with
    respect to the license of your name, your
    expectation is that the project will be built
    in the highest quality; right?
    A That is correct.
    Q I think we can agree and we have
    agreed that under the license agreement your
    review rights were meant to try and confirm
    that it was built with the highest quality,
    correct?
    A Correct.
    Q In looking at this one provision,
    though, ultimately if you approve the plans
    and Simdag had built a shoddy unit, that was
    going to be their responsibility, not yours;
    right?
    A That is correct. We had no liability.
    Q Looking -- I want to talk about the
    fee schedule on this because it has been the
```

```
subject of a lot of questions, schedule two.
The license fee, schedule two, is the method
and amount by which you were going to get
```

```
paid by Simdag for the use of your name,
```

correct?
A Yes.
Q To be precise, not the use of just
your name, not just Trump, but the Trump
marks, and what I'd like to call the related
service; right?
A Correct, yes.
Q One of those is -- you have a crest,
don't you?
A Yes.
Q Where is the bottle of water? I this
it is on there.
A That's true; right.
Q It is a coat of arms, correct?
A That's correct.
Q That would be one of the service marks
that you authorized?
A That is correct.
Q I think also isn't there an
intertwining with your name and related marks
of the color gold?
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A Not that I know.
Q I thought we saw that somewhere in

Donald Trump
your trademark product?
A I don't think so.
Q The first paragraph of schedule two requires the licensee, Simdag, to pay Donald Trump, the licensor, for the license of the Trump marks as hercin provided, a nonrefundable license fee of $\$ 2$ million, payable as follows, and there is a payment schedule 125,000 upon execution, $\$ 125,000$
upon approval of the plans, and then a
million 750 in 26 consecutively monthly
installments. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q In paragraph three, if they had unpaid
installments existing on the date of issuance
of the temporary certificate of occupancy,
you could accelerate the balance?
A Right.
Q In sum, by the date that the issuance
of the temporary certificate of occupancy
occurs, you are going to make $\$ 2$ million if
they comply with their obligations; right?
A If they comply, yes.
Q This is nonrefundable the 2 million;

| Donald Trump |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| right? |  |
| A | That's correct. |
|  | Basically whether the project goes or |
| not, you can keep that money; right? |  |
|  | Yes, I don't know what they paid. I |
| don't know what the number is, but we can get |  |
| that. |  |
|  | We have talked about it -- |
|  | I don't even know what it is. |
|  | I am talking about what they agreed to |
| pay? |  |
|  | What they agreed to pay is one thing. |
| What they paid is another. |  |
| Q I think one thing you had said earlier |  |
| is these amounts are arrived at ad hoc. A |  |
| deal is a deal? |  |
| A | Right. |
|  | The additional license fee set forth |
| below in paragraph two relates to different |  |
| formulas concerning the unit sales; right? |  |
| A Yes. |  |
| Q And to summarize it, if the average |  |
|  | sales of the units exceeded 300 per |
|  | foot; that was a threshold; right? | Donald Trump

They had to be more than 300 a square foot?
A Right.
Q You see that in the preamble sub A?
A Yes.
Q "Then the licensee shall pay the
Licensor as an additional License fee an
amount equal to 5 percent of the amount by
which the average gross sale exceeded the 300
per square foot and/or less than 350 a square
foot"?
A Correct.
Q If sales and the sale prices went
between 300 and 350 , you were going to get 5
percent of the difference; right?
A Correct.
Q Ten percent if it went between 350 and
400 -- or 450 , I'm sorry; right?
A Right.
Q Twenty-five percent if gross sales
went above 450 , correct?
A Correct.
Q If you turn to the next page, "That
additional license fee shall be computed and
paid on the date which is the first to occur

Donald Trump of the closing of 85 percent of the condo units; right? A Right.
Q "Or two years after the date when the
first residential condominium in the unit
closes"; right?
A Right.
Q Earlier in this deposition and
throughout you have maintained that when you
used the word partner, referring to your
participation in Trump Tower Tampa, you did
so because you had participation in the
sales?

MR. GRIFEIN: Objection to the

```
    form of the question.
```

    Q Is that correct?
    A Correct.
    Q Is that a fair statement of what your
    perspective was at the time and as is now?
    A Sales, or you can almost define it as
    profit, because at a certain level that
    becomes profit, but I have a percentage of
    sales and or profit.
    Q This additional participation in
    ```
excess of your $2 million-dollar license fee
is referred to in this agreement as an
additional license fee; right?
A That is correct.
Q It is not referred to as your
partnership participation, is it?
A I view it, I have always viewed it as
a form of partnership because we are
participating in sales and we are
participating in the profits and we are
participating in percentages of the amount
sold, so l always viewed that as a partner.
Q So we can be clear, you signed this
agreement individually, correct?
A Yes.
Q It is not Trump Organization who
signed it; right?
A I don't believe so, no.
Q Donald J. 'Trump in his individual
capacity. You can look at the signature page
to confirm if you want.
A Yes.
Q It is page 60?
A I see it, yes.
```

```
if I am wrong, that you read it before you
```

if I am wrong, that you read it before you
signed it, did you not?
A Yes.
Q I am going to assume that you agreed
to everything that was contained in the
document before you signed it; right?
A Certainly, my lawyers did the
document, but I certainly agreed to it.
Q Given your experience in the business
world, if you didn't agree to it, I doubt you
would have signed it; is that fair?
A Perhaps that's correct.
Q When schedule two was placed in front.
of you and you saw this money defined as an
additional license fee, you didn't tell them,
recharacterize that, I view that as my
partnership?
A I view it as a partnership. I didn't
agree with the document in great detail, but
I view it as a partnership because I am
sharing essentially as a percentage of sales,
so I viewed that as a partnership, but I also
viewed all of the other things I was doing as

```
a partnership. I was -- we were working on the building, we were helping to design the units. We were putting in certain size
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 5 & windows and were requiring certain size \\
\hline 6 & ceiling heights. We were doing many other \\
\hline 7 & things. That is really a form -- to me it is \\
\hline 8 & a partnership. We are working together with \\
\hline 9 & other people. We have licensing agreements. \\
\hline 10 & It is much less than this, but we were \\
\hline 11 & working very hard on this building. I viewed \\
\hline 12 & this as a partnership in that sense. \\
\hline 13 & Q Given that you viewed it that way when \\
\hline 1.4 & you drafted this document, you certainly had \\
\hline 15 & the option to enter into a formal legal \\
\hline 16 & partnership with Simdag if you had wanted it, \\
\hline 17 & didn't you? \\
\hline 18 & A I guess this was just the way we did \\
\hline 19 & it. \\
\hline 20 & Q Look at page 15 at the bottom, 16E? \\
\hline 21 & A \(\quad 16\) what? \\
\hline 22 & Q E. \\
\hline 23 & MR. GRIFFIN: What page, again? \\
\hline 24 & Q Paragraph -- page 15, miscellaneous \\
\hline 25 & sub E? \\
\hline & \\
\hline 1 & Donald Trump \\
\hline 2 & A Back to 15. \\
\hline 3 & Q Right. Are you on the bottom of page \\
\hline 4 & 15? \\
\hline 5 & A Okay, go ahead. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
Q If you look at paragraph E, it
```

```
Q If you look at paragraph E, it
provides, "This Agreement contains the entire
provides, "This Agreement contains the entire
agreement between the parties" --
agreement between the parties" --
A That's correct.
A That's correct.
Q -- hereto?
Q -- hereto?
A Right.
A Right.
Q Just so I can finish, "with respect to
Q Just so I can finish, "with respect to
the subject matter hereof." This was the
the subject matter hereof." This was the
only agreement that defined your relationship
only agreement that defined your relationship
with Simdag, wasn't it?
with Simdag, wasn't it?
A I believe so, yes.
A I believe so, yes.
Q There is not another contract out
Q There is not another contract out
there between Trump Organization and Simdag,
there between Trump Organization and Simdag,
    is there?
    is there?
    A No, I don't think so.
    A No, I don't think so.
Q We can agree --
Q We can agree --
A Unless there was an amendment to this
A Unless there was an amendment to this
    agreement.
    agreement.
Q I will show you one amendment to the
Q I will show you one amendment to the
    license agreement. However, that amendment I
```

```
    license agreement. However, that amendment I
```

```
```

            Donald Trump
    think just relates to the fee. To wrap up
    this line of questioning, you never formed a
    joint venture under the laws of the state of
    Florida and New York with Simdag, did you?
    A I don't know.
    Q You did form a general or limited
    ```
```

partnership under the laws of New York or

```
Florida with Simdag, did you?
A I don't know.
Q You never formed a limited liability
company under the laws of New York or Florida
with Simdag, did you?
A I don't know. You would have to ask
my lawyers.
Q I would assume your answer is the same
with respect to a corporation?
A Yes.
Q I would assume as to all of those
categories of legal entities, whether it was
the law of New York, Florida, Delaware or any
other state, you have no knowledge as to
    whether you formed a separate legal entity
    with Simdag?
    A I have no knowiedge of it, no.
```

            Donald Trump
    Q Is it fair to say, Mr. Trump, as we
    sit here today, that the license agreement is
    the only document you know of which defines
    your relationship with Simdag?
    A Yes.
    ```
                            MR. TURKEL: Let's mark this as
    Exhibit 4.
```

(Whereupon first amendment to the license agreement is marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date.) MR. GRIFEIN: Exhibit 4. MR. TURKEL: Yes, sir the first amendment to the license agreement. Q Exhibit 4, Mr. Trump, is the first amendment to the license agreement. This was
made March 31, 2006. Do you see that
preamble?
A Yes.
Q What do you recall the conditions of
the real estate market being in Tampa,
specifically in the State of Elorida in
general, in March of 2006?
A I don't know. I can't place myself in
(Whereupon first amendment to
MR. GRIFEIN: Exhibit 4.
MR. TURKEL: Yes, sir the first

```
```

        Donald Trump
    that period of time. I know they became very
    bad shortly after that, but I can't place
    myself in that period of time.
    Q You kind of have two answers in there.
    I am asking you because you seem to have a
    pretty good knowledge of the real estate
    market, and when it crashed, do you know
    whether it had yet crashed in Tampa at that
    time?
    ```
```

A I don't know.
Q Do you recall what the purpose was to
this first amendment to the license
agreement?
MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the
form of the question.
Q Let me reask it. It was kind of
sloppy. Do you recall why this first
amendment was entered into?
MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the
form of the question.
A I have to look at it. I do mention
the word profit. That's probably where I am
also thinking about the word profit. I don't
know why it was entered into, probably

```
```

        Donald Trump
    because we were doing a lot more work on this
    project than we thought and maybe they
    weren't paying the fees as they were supposed
    to.
    Q If you look at paragraph one, this is
    basically amending schedule two, which was
    the document we just reviewed, which was the
    initial fees attachment to the license
    agreement?
    A Right.
    ```

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 14 & wasn't involved in the amendment, although I \\
\hline 15 & might have signed it. Did I sign it? \\
\hline 16 & Q You definitely signed it. \\
\hline 17 & A. Okay, I don't remember the amendment, \\
\hline 18 & but I could ask my executives why it was \\
\hline 19 & changed. \\
\hline 20 & Q Who would we talk to. Which \\
\hline 21 & executives would know that? \\
\hline 22 & A Perhaps Don Jr. \\
\hline 2.3 & Q Your son? \\
\hline 24 & A Yes, that's who I would speak to \\
\hline 25 & initially to find out why it was changed. \\
\hline & 133 \\
\hline 1 & Donald Trump \\
\hline 2. & Q If I were going to create a hierarchy \\
\hline 3 & of Trump Organization employees who were \\
\hline 4 & dealing with the Tampa project, would Don Jr. \\
\hline 5 & have been at the top of that hierarchy? \\
\hline 6 & A I think so, yes. \\
\hline 7 & Q Who would have been immediately under \\
\hline 8 & him, reporting to him? \\
\hline 9 & A I don't know. You would have to ask \\
\hline 10 & him. \\
\hline 11 & Q If you look down at 1B, basically how \\
\hline 12 & this was changed was that the monthly \\
\hline 13 & installments were upped to \$129,091 a month \\
\hline 14 & for 22 months. That's in paragraph 1B or 1 C . \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Additionally it appears that the additional. license fee as it is defined in this agreement was changed, and instead of being tied to --

A I think it was changed because of the complexity of the square foot prices in the other agreement, and the square foot prices in the other agreement under that transaction, I would have done better than this. And I believe -- now, this is just subject to checking with executives, but I
```

believe that the square foot prices under the
agreement would have amounted to too much
money for them to pay, and so we went into a
net sales profit. In other words, this was
to their benefit, but they paid a little more
upfront, but this agreement was to their
benefit. I believe that was it, but I will
certainly check.
Q I don't know if you have looked
through this as we have been discussing it or
if you have an independent recollection of
it?
A I am looking through it as I am
discussing it.
Q Why don't do you that instead of

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 17 & taking you -- \\
\hline 18 & A I have done it. \\
\hline 19 & Q You have done it? You are a fast \\
\hline 20 & reader, Mr. Trump. The way this worked was \\
\hline 21 & basically you were going to get 50 percent in \\
\hline 22 & net sales profit as defined and they provide \\
\hline 23 & an example? \\
\hline 24 & A As opposed to getting an absolute hard \\
\hline 25 & amount over a certain amount. This was net. \\
\hline & 135 \\
\hline 1 & Donald Trump \\
\hline 2 & This would be after expenses. This was on a \\
\hline 3 & net basis, whereas the other was a hard and \\
\hline 4 & fast percentage over a certain amount, \$350, \\
\hline 5 & \$450. \\
\hline 6 & Q Correct, it eliminated the formula \\
\hline 7 & predicated on square footage? \\
\hline 8 & A It is possible that that formula did \\
\hline 9 & not work for the builders of the building, \\
\hline 10 & and that's why they made this change. \\
\hline 11 & Q Mechanically they put an example in \\
\hline 12 & paragraph two that says if the net sales \\
\hline 13 & profit was 20 million and you had already \\
\hline 14 & received your 4 million, you were going to \\
\hline 15 & get 10 million less the four. In other \\
\hline 16 & words, they were going to net out the flat \\
\hline 17 & fee? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

A Correct, which under the other

```
A Correct, which under the other
agreement it didn't do it. This is
probably -- depending on the sales, this is
probably a worse deal for us.
Q Worse deal in the event that the
project was built out and sold, but in the
event that it wasn't, you were making more on
your nonrefundable fee, correct?
```

    A If they paid it.
    Q Of course, that's the assumption in
    the contract, is they are going to pay what
    they are obligated to pay?
    A Which, by the way, I don't think they
    did.
    Q We are going to talk about that
    because you had to sue them; right?
    A I did.
    Q On page two, if you see paragraph \(C\)
    there right before paragraph two?
    A Right.
    Q Tt says, "The additional license fee
    shall be made promptly following the date
    when a sufficient number of the units in the
    building have closed and the proceeds thereof
    result in full repayment of all debt," and
    they call that the debt repayment date. Then
    ```
following to that date, "The Licensee shall
remit to Licensor," which is you, "50 percent
of the net profits." So, very simply, once
they paid off their debt, you were going to
split the net profit?
A Correct.
```

```
            Donald Trump
    Q Again, this money, whether it be the
    flat fee, which is called the license fee, or
    the percentage based fee, is referred to as
    an additional license fee; right?
    A Right.
    Q Is that right?
    A Yes.
                    MR. TURKEL: I think we are
        good on talking about what they may
        have paid you up to the fault of
        default, are we not, Chris?
            MR. GRIFEIN: Yes, in terms of
        confidentiality.
    Q Right. He is not going to tell you
    not to answer this question, which is do you
        recall what Simdag paid you up to the point
        of your lawsuit against them for defaulting
        on the license agreement?
    A No, I don't know.
```

 149

21
Q Any idea?
A No.
Q Would Donald Jr. know that?
A No, my accountants would know that.
Q Had they paid anything?

```
A Yes, I think so, but they didn't pay
```

    what they were supposed to pay.
    Q Those checks pursuant to the agreement
    would have been made payable to Donald Trump
    individually, correct?
    A I don't know. I don't know who they
    are made payablc to, but I don't believe they
    paid it.
    Q Are your accountants in-house -- for,
    instance if we want to ask for those
    documents, are they within the company's
    control, or do I have to go to a third-party
    accountant?
    A Anything I give them are within the
    company.
            MR. GARTEN: Yes.
            MR. GRIEFIN: With full
            reservation of any objections--
            MR. TURKEL: Absolutely.
            MR. GRIFFIN: You want to know
            where they are located?
    MR. TURKEL: I just want to
know if $I$ put in a request to production to a party I will not be

```
            Donald Trump
        told there is a third-party
        accountant.
            MR. GARTEN: No, it is
        information we can provide.
    MR. TURKEL: Let's look at
        Exhibit 5.
            (Whereupon copy of complaint is
        marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 for
        identification as of this date.)
Q Exhibit 5 is a copy of a complaint
filed by you individually against
Simdag/Robel and its principals in the United
States District Court for the Middle District
of Florida. Have you ever seen that
document?
A Yes.
Q Being as you are the individual
plaintiff on i.t, I would assume it is fair to
say you authorized its filings?
A Yes.
Q Was it shown to you prior to being
    filed?
```

A My lawyer showed it to me.
Q Did you read it?

A I looked it over.
Q Take a look at paragraph eight, if you could.

A Yes, I have it.
Q Can you read through that paragraph really quickly, Mr. Trump, please? It is not that long. It goes to the beginning of the next pages.
A Okay.

Q Is everything in paragraph eight true and correct based on your understanding of your relationship with Simdag?

A Yes.
Q You attached the license agreement to
this complaint -- strike that. Your lawyers
attached the license agreement to this complaint as Exhibit A. Were you aware of that?

A No, but it seems appropriate.
Q You're suing for breach of the license
agreement, correct?
A Yes.
Q To summarize what this complaint was about, it was suing for payment of the
license agreement, correct?
A Okay.
Q Do you agree with that?
A I guess, yes.
Q Count one was a breach of contract and
the contract referred to is the iicense
agreement; right?
A Yes.
Q Were you aware when you filed this
lawsuit that the license agreement and its
terms were going to become a part of the
public record?
A No, they were in default of the
agreement. They didn't pay us, among other
things, and we had to bring a lawsuit.
Q What I am asking is when you filed
that lawsuit, did you give any thought to the
fact that there were purchasers that had
placed deposits on your building with your
name on it who were going to be affected
negatively by the fact that it was being
disclosed in the public record that you were
just licensing that building?
MR. GRIFEIN: Object to the

Donald Trump
form of the question.
A The market had already affected the purchasers. The purchasers were affected by the market.

Q Did you go through that thought process when you filed this. In other words, did you give any thought to the fact that it may negatively impact purchasers that had put down money on it?

```
A By this time, the building looked like
    it was not going to happen, as were thousands
    of other buildings in the United States.
    Q There were two default letters,
    attached to this as Exhibits C and D,
    demanding monies under the license agreement.
    If you turn to Exhibit C and D?
    A Okay.
    Q Both of them were written by Bernard
    Diamond on behalf of Donald J. Trump. Who is
    Mr. Diamond?
    A An attorney with the organization.
    Q With Trump Organization?
    A Yes.
    Q Did you authorize him to send both of
```



2 to say that any approvals that you, Donald J.
Trump, as licensor, needed to provide to get
this done were provided?
MR. GRIFEIN: Objection to the
form of the question.
A I don't think so. I don't think it
was ever really presented to me because it
never got done. The market killed --
Q That's what $I$ am trying to find out.
Did this get to you or did someone else draft
it?
A I had heard about it through people
and somebody related actually told me, they
asked me what I thought. I said you should
try to do it, but the market ultimately
killed that deal and the job.
Q Is it fair for me to say that both the
genesis for this second amendment to license
agreement as well as any input didn't come
from you?
A No, it didn't come from me. I would
have encouraged them to do it, but ultimately
it didn't get done.
Q What I have left is just a document
for to you take a look at. We are short on the video. Then one more document. The video is already short.
(Whereupon privilege $\log$ is marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 for identification as of this date.)
(Discussion held off the
record.)
Q Mr. Trump, Exhibit 7 is a privilege
log. I don't expect you to know the content of the actual log, but on the last page is a list of individuals and titles that are referred to as they relate to documents which were withheld from production based on attorney-client or other privilege or immunity.

First off, if you could just look at the actual parties that are named and just confirm that the actual titles are correct; for instance, where it says Bernard Diamond as executive VP and general counsel, that is indeed what he is. You can do it in general across the whole document and just confirm it.

## Donald Trump

A At the time, yes.
Q Has it changed since now? I guess it really wouldn't matter.

A A couple of people aren't with us. At

```
the time of the document, these would be all
correct. At the time of the signing, these
would be correct.
Q Your counsel, your in-house counsel,
is it Garten, has confirmed that as to the
ones who haven't been described that we can
get that information, correct?
```

A Sure.
MR. GRIFFIN: Absolutely.
Q Subject of course --
MR. GRIFEIN: We will get you
that information.
REQUEST NOTED
Q Mr. Trump, so you know, it is just so
we can make an assessment.
A It's okay. No problem.
Q Who is Russell Ficker?
A He was an executive at the Trump
Organization a long time ago. He was a real
estate executive. I don't think he was
Donald Trump
involved very much with this job.
Q He was provided to us as a person who
possesses information regarding negotiation
and execution of the agreement and subsequent
events related to the Trump Tower Tampa
project.


## Donald Trump

MR. GRIFEIN: To move it along, do you want to tell him what you are going to ask him about it? (Whereupon, a video is marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 for identification as of this date.)

Q I think I am just going to have him

```
verify the veracity of the comments he made.
    MR. GARTEN: What do you want
    to ask him; if he said it?
    MR. CLARK: Give me 30 seconds,
    gentleman. If I can't get this thing
    to pop up--
    MR. TURKEL: Are we going to
    stipulate on the record that we
    couldn't make the video, that we
    couldn't publish during the depo
    Exhibit 8?
            MR. GRIEFIN: That's fine.
            MR. TURKEL: We will stipulate
        to its authenticity?
            MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, that it is
        what it purports to be.
            (Whereupon, a letter written
```

```
        Donald Trump
        to Wall Street Journal is marked
        Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 for
    identification as of this date.)
Q Mr. Trump, what I am showing you is a
    letter written to the New York Times. I can
    confirm to you that it was published in the
    November 16, '07, New York Times?
    A Okay.
    Q Or shortly thereafter. Actually it is
```

```
responding to a November 16 article.
    Do you recall writing this letter?
    A Yes.
    Q Did you write it personally?
    A I think so, yes.
    Q Is everything in that letter true and
    correct based on what you read at the time?
    A About the Tampa project?
    Q Yes, in respect to everything,
    actually.
    A I have to read the whole letter then.
    Q Let me correct it. It. was wall Street
    Journal, not the New York Times.
            MR. GRIFFIN: Was this produced
        to us?
```

MR. CLARK: No.
MR. GRIFFIN: For the record, I
will reserve my objection to any part
of his testimony since this document
was not produced to us in advance, but
I will not stop any questions. Go
ahead.
A At the time, this was successful. The
world has changed since this letter was
written. A lot of things in this letter --

```
things have changed.
```

At the time, it was written, yes, with the understanding that the license agreement that we have, I viewed that as a partnership because of our developing rights, et cetera, et cetera, but, generally speaking, this letter would be correct at the time it was written, but of course, the world took a big change since this letter was written.

Q I think my questions relating to the document are really simple, Mr. Trump. You wrote this personally; right?

A Yes.
Q Nothing in this is misprinted in the

```
    sense that it is an authentic reprint of what
    you wrote?
    A That is correct, yes.
    Q That's it.
    A Okay.
                MR. GRIFFIN: We have no
    questions.
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off
        the record 12:51.
            (Time noted: 12:51 p.m.)
```


Subscribed and sworn to before me
this day of 2010.

Notary Public


DONALD J. TRUMP
MR. CLARK

MR. TURKEL
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CORUS Bank N.A. LOAN PRESENTATION Stillman/Fort Lauderdale Fort Lauderdale, FL


NOTE:

Date:
Borrower:

On November 14, 2005, the DLC approved a loan on this Project that did not close because of project delays and significant cost increases. This is a resubmission of the loan presentation based on the revised terms. Exhibit " $A$ " is a comparison of the original approved loan to the revised loan. In essence, we have agreed to significantly increase our loan amount in exchange for much thicker pricing and slightly more presales.

October 23, 2006
SB Hotel Associates, LLC which is owned by Stillman Bayrock Merrimac, LLC ("Stillman Bayrock") which is $48.4 \%$ owned by Stillman Merrimac, LLC, $39.6 \%$ owned by Bayrock Merrimac, LLC, and $12 \%$ owned by Motwani Merrimac, LLC. Trump Lauderdale Development - No.2, LLC is a Class B member with no cash in the deal and with no profit participation in the deal (other than via the agreements discussed in detail below). Stillman Merrimac, LLC is $55 \%$ owned by Roy Stillman ("Stillman") and $45 \%$ owned by three other individuals. All ownership percentages reflect both the actual amount of cash invested as well as the resulting profit participation (i.e. there is no developer promote). See Exhibit "B" for a detailed organizational chart.

Bayrock Merrimac LLC, an affiliate of a New York City developer known as Bayrock Development was the original land contract purchaser and they reportedly subsequently brought in Roy Stillman, who the Bank provided one successful loan to on his Metropolitan project in Manhattan's Upper East Side, to act as the primary developer on the Project as they did not feel they had enough experience to bring the project to a successful conclusion. Stillman was the Bank's entre into their transaction.

Motwani Merrimac, LLC, the seller of the land, exercised an option in their land sales contract to purchase up to a $12 \%$ stake in the Project by contributing $\$ 360,000$ in cash. According to Stillman, Trump Lauderdale Development - No.2, LLC's inclusion in the organizational chart serves the purpose of being able to say that Donald Trump is an investor in the Project rather than just a licensor and operator (see below).

Loan Amount: $\quad \$ 139,000,000$.


Loan Purpose: To provide a construction loan facility to finance a portion of the hard and soft costs of construction of a hotel condominium project (the "Building") on the parcel of land located at 551 North Ft. Lauderdale Beach Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, FL (the "Site"). The Site, the Building and its construction are collectively referred to hereafter as the "Project". Upon completion, the Project will contain: (a) approximately 410 parking spaces; (b) approximately 14,305 sf of ground floor and basement retail space; and (c) 298 residential condominium units (the "Units") containing approximately 240,000 net sellable square feet (as measured from the interior of the glass in the exterior walls, the middle of demising walls between Units, and to the public side of any common area walls, and excluding balconies, hallways, common areas, and the structural walls and areas of exit stairs, elevator shafts and common mechanical shafts). All references to SF going forward ignore the immaterial amount of retail space.

The sources and uses of the Project are as follows:
Sources
Borrower Cash Equity
CBRE Mezz Loan
2nd 10\% Earnest Money Deposits
Corus Senior Debt
Total Sources

|  |  | \$/RSF |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3,140,000 | \$ | 13 | 1.6\% |
| \$ | 38,260,000 | \$ | 159 | 19.6\% |
| \$ | 14,500,000 | \$ | 60 | 7.4\% |
| \$ | 139,000,000 | \$ | 579 | 71.3\% |
| \$ | 194,900,000 | S | 812 | 100.0\% |


| Uses |  |  | $\$ / \mathrm{RSF}$ |  | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Acquisition Costs | $\$$ | $20,215,615$ | $\$$ | 84 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Hard Costs | $\$$ | $120,674,271$ | $\$$ | 503 | $61.9 \%$ |
| Soft Costs | $\$$ | $54,010,114$ | $\$$ | 225 | $27.7 \%$ |
| Total Uses | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 , 9 0 0}, 000$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

A more detailed Project budget is attached as Exhibit "C".

## Loan to Sellout:



| Guaranty: | There shall be no repayment guaranty; however, Stillman shall guaranty <br> standard "bad-act" carve-outs and completion of the Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interest Rate: $\quad$ | The greater of LIBOR $+3.50 \%$ or $6.50 \%$; adjusting quarterly. |
| Term: | 30 months, with one 6 month extension provided: (i) the Project is <br> substantially complete; (ii) a certificate of occupancy has been issued by the <br> appropriate government authonity; (iii) the Borrower gives the Bank at least |
| 30 days prior written notice of its election to exercise the Extension; (iv) the |  |
| Loan is not matured or in default, and there is no condition which with the |  |
| passage of time, or giving of notice, would constitute an event of default; (v) |  |
| the Bank's trailing exposure is less than the product of: (a) $\$ 200$; times (b) |  |
| the aggregate net sellable square footage of those Units remaining as |  |
| collateral for the Loan (note that at pro forma prices the Borrower would |  |
| have to close on approximately 126 units, or $42 \%$ of the Project, to qualify |  |
| for the extension); and (vi) the Borrower pays a fee iof $0.5 \%$ of the |  |
| outstanding Loan Amount plus any unfunded commitment at the time the |  |
| loan Extension is requested. |  |

## Loan Fee: . $\$ 1,390,000$ (1.00\%)

Exit Fee: $\quad \$ 2,780,000(2.00 \%)$, payable at $\$ 20,000$ per unit closed (meaning it will be fully paid after 139 units close).

Prepayment Charge:None, if the Borrower repays the Loan (or a portion thereof) from proceeds realized by the sale of Units and other Project components to retail purchasers; otherwise the Bank shall charge a prepayment charge equal to two percent ( $2 \%$ ) of the amount prepaid (including any unfunded commitment if Loan is paid in full).

## Interstate Land

 Sales Act:To comply with the Interstate Land Sales Act, the Borrower has filed with HUD and as of $10 / 28 / 05$ has received HUD's approval of the form of purchase contract and the Property Condition Report. Jones Day reviewed and approved the Borrower's submission to HUD, the Ptoperty Condition Report, and HUD's approval letter to the Borrower. The Bank is satisfied that the Project is fully HUD compliant.

## Hotel Project

Component:
no legal restriction (see below) on how frequently Unit purchasers may occupy their Units, it is clear that the vast majority of buyers will be using their Units as a third or fourth residence, and not as their primary home), put their Unit into a Hotel rental pool to be rented out by the hotel operator to the public.

While we will discuss the actual economics of the hotel component of the Project later (they are not of paramount importance to us, because, as evidenced by our extremely conservative LTV, we fully expect to be paid off well before hotel operations commence in earnest), we would like to note here that, for a number of reasons, we feel that hotel condominium projects are riskier than straight forward condo projects. First, we simply do not have much experience financing hotel condo projects (we have two others which are still under construction: Sole' in Sunny Isles Beach, FL, and the Platinum in Las Vegas, NV). Second, the purchasers in these types of projects are clearly not intending to use them as their primary residence; and they are therefore more likely to walk away from their earnest money in the event of a market downturn. Third, there is a risk that if the developer makes representations to the Unit purchasers relating to the amount of income they can expect to generate from the hotel component, that the Project could fall under SEC securities guidelines and that said purchasers could back out of their contracts or tie the Project up with lawsuits in the event those expectations are not met. While Stillman has emphatically stated that no such representations have been made, it seems at least possible that some over zealous employee or marketing agent could step over the line in the hope of making a sale.

Two additional negatives to this hotel condo Project are: Units at the Project are very small as over half the units are less than 650 square feet, (average Unit size is greater at 805 SF ) and the presence of fully furnished units makes it difficult to gauge an accurate resale value.

## Legal Review of

Condo/Hotel Issues: The Bank's outside counsel, Tracy Plott from DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary, reviewed the Project's acquisition and condominium documents along with Florida statutes and ordinances regarding the following issues:

1. Florida Residency: The acquisition and condominium documents disclaim an owner's ability to establish residency at the Project. As the property is not zoned for residential use, a unit owner may not be able to claim the Unit as a principal residence. This could be a negative from a purchaser's perspective due to the lack of state income tax in Florida.
2. Language Regarding Future Revenue Potential: The acquisition and condominium documents require each purchaser to acknowledge that they are not to rely on any representations regarding future property
value or future potential revenue associated with the condominium units.
3. Hotel/Condo Residency Restrictions: The contract once again disclaims the ability of purchasers to continually reside in Units. While there is no ordinance specifically restricting continuous occupancy, it is clear that the property is not zoned for "residential use" and that laws could be passed in the future restricting occupancy by purchasers.

The Borrower has recorded a Declaration of Restrictions against the Project (driven, we believe by the project approval process with City of Ft. Lauderdale), which (i) specifically restricts the use of units to a "hotel use", further specifying that the "units shall not be used for residential use"; and (ii) states that "all units shall be managed by a hotel management company under a unified plan for hotel use." It should be noted that according the Borrower's attorney, other Ft. Lauderdale condo-hotel projects have similarly worded restrictions recorded against their projects. The Bank's outside counsel is currently trying to confirm this.

The Bank's outside counsel (including their securities experts) expressed concern with some of the language in the Declaration of Restrictions, as a court could be more likely to deem the condo sales to be a sale of 'securities', which would then subject such sales to securities laws (making contracts rescindable). The Bank requested that DLA Piper Rudnick perform an extensive search regarding condo sales, and under what circumstances, if any, they might be deemed to be the sale of a security. The research proved to be informative, yet inconclusive, in the opinion of the Bank's outside counsel; as well as Peter Freund (one of the Bank's in-house attorneys) and Keith Gibbons. Based on the above research and related discussions, the Bank concluded that the presence of the Declaration of Restrictions results in a marginally greater risk that purchase contracts executed with respect to the Project may be rescindable.

All of these issues further highlight the relative novelty, and therefore uncertainties, surrounding condo hotel projects, and add a degree of risk to this deal.

## Trump Agreements:

## Branding Agreement

The Project will be branded under the Donald Trump ("Trump") umbrella of properties and the Project name will be "Trump International Hotel and Condominium". Under the branding agreement, Trump will receive the following: (a) $\$ 250,000$ payment upfront (included in the Project budget); (b) a residential/hotel incentive, which shall be computed and
paid to Trump upon the date which $85 \%$ of the Units have closed, and consisting of: (i) $5 \%$ of the amount by which the average gross sales of the Units equals or exceeds $\$ 600 / \mathrm{SF}$ but is less than or equal to $\$ 750 / \mathrm{SF}$; (ii) $10 \%$ of the amount by which the average gross sales of the Units equals or exceeds $\$ 750 / \mathrm{SF}$ but is less than or equal to $\$ 900 / \mathrm{SF}$; and (iii) $15 \%$ of the amount by which the average gross sales of the Units exceeds $\$ 900 / \mathrm{SF}$; and (c) a retail incentive consisting of: (i) $15 \%$ of the amount by which net rents equal or exceed $\$ 300$ per retail square foot and all sales based rents that the Borrower may collect; or (ii) $15 \%$ of the amount by which the capitalized value of net rents equals or exceeds $\$ 300$ per retail square foot. Based on the Bank's pro forma sellout the "incentive" payment to Trump would equal approximately $\$ 19,296 \mathrm{M}$. Given the timing of its payment (i.e. long after we will be paid in fuill), the incentive fee is not included in the Project Budget.

## Development and Services Agreement

The Borrower has entered into the Development and Services Agreement with Trump Lauderdale Development LLC, for development advisement and assistance services. As compensation for these services, the Borrower will pay Trump a fee equal to $1.5 \%$ of all hard and soft costs of the Project (including land acquisition) to be paid as follows: a) $\$ 20,000$ per month for 48 months; b) $75 \%$ of the remaining fee on the date of substantial completion; and c) $25 \%$ of the remaining fee five days after final completion of the Project. According to Stillman, this agreement was entered into by Bayrock and serves little purpose other than providing Trump with additional fee revenue because Trump and his employees have not and will not have any direct involvement in the development of the Project.

## Trump Hotel Operating Agreement:

Trump Florida Hotel Management LLC will manage the hotel component of the Project for a period of threc years with one three-year extension. As compensation for these services, Trump will receive the following fees: a) an annual fee of $\$ 1,000$ for each unit at the Project; and b) $40 \%$ of the adjusted gross income from the hotel.

The Bank perceives Trump's involvement in the Project as positive for the purposes of marketing the hotel condo units and operating the hotel.

Use of Earnest Money:

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Borrower shall use all second half eamest money deposits available to it for the hard costs of construction. The Loan shall be reduced dollar for dollar for all second half earnest money deposits received by Borrower in excess of $\$ 14,500,000$.

## Equity <br> Requirement:

Subordinate Debt:

Collateral:
Collateral Release: Greater of: (a) $100 \%$ of net; or (b) $93 \%$ of gross until our exposure is paid down to $\$ 200 / \mathrm{SF}$. Thereafter, $\$ 400 / \mathrm{SF}$.

## Presale

Requirement:
$\$ 3,140,000$ from the members of the Borrower. All equity shall be fully disbursed prior to any Loan disbursements.
$\$ 38,260,000$ (excluding any interest reserve) from CB Richard Ellis Strategic Partners III, L.P ("CBRE"). CBRE's term sheet is attached as Exhibit "D" (Please note that the loan amount stated in Exhibit "D" was adjusted based on the actual total Project cost, as well as the usage of EMD's toward Project costs. See CBRE discussion below)

First mortgage on the Project and all other customary collateral.

Prior to any Loan disbursements, the Borrower must have entered into: (a) Valid Sales Contracts for at least 166 Units comprising no less than 118,900 net sellable square feet and at an average selling price of no less than $\$ 1,050$ per net sellable square foot; and (b) Related Party Contracts (as defined below) for at least 10 Units comprising no less than 8,600 net sellable square feet and at and at an average selling price of no less than $\$ 1,050$ per net sellable square foot ("Presale Requirement").

The Borrower has met the Presale Requirement as detailed below. Please note that in May 2006, the Bank retained the audit firm RSM McGladrey ("RSM") to verify the Presale Requirement. RSM verified that the Borrower had 178 total Valid Sales Contracts totaling $\$ 147,555 \mathrm{M}$ and 129,488 square feet, thereby meeting the presale requirement.

|  | $\#$ | SF | $\$$ |  | \$/SF |  | EMD Collected |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Unrelated Parties | 166 | 118,760 | $136,044,701$ | $\$$ | 1,146 | $\$$ | $27,209,042$ |  |
| Related Parties | 12 | $\underline{10,728}$ | $\underline{11,510,375}$ | $\$$ | 1,073 | $\$$ | $2,307,113$ |  |
| Total | 178 | 129,488 | $147,555,076$ | $\$$ | 1,140 | $\$$ | $29,516,155$ |  |

See Exhibit "E" for a detailed Sales Summary.

## Valid Sales

Contracts:

Valid Sales Contracts must satisfy all of the following: (i) the sales contract must be on a form pre-approved by the Bank; (ii) the purchase price
(excluding any amounts allocated for parking space and any upgrades) for each Unit shall be greater than or equal to $85 \%$ of the list price for that particular Unit as detailed on the Approved Price List (notwithistanding the foregoing, the Valid Sales Contracts and Related Party Contracts, as defined below, satisfying the Presale Requirement shall have an average selling price of no less than $\$ 1,050$ per net sellable square foot) ; (iii) the sales contract must be to unrelated third party purchasers; (iv) no more than two Units may be sold to a single investor or its affiliates (notwithstanding the foregoing, three arms-length purchasers shall be allowed to purchase up to 3 units each and all related party purchasers shall be allowed to purchase up to 4 units each); (v) there are no unexpired contingencies including a mortgage contingency; (vi) purchaser has deposited a non-refundable EMD of at least $20 \%$ of the gross sales price; (vii) the delivery date under the contract is no earlier than June 30, 2009; and (viii) the contract specifically states that the purchaser agrees that the seller may use earnest money deposits in excess of $10 \%$ for costs of construction and that the purchaser has no lien rights with respect to the Project and all of purchaser's rights under the contract are subordinate to the Bank's mortgage and other security interests. The Borrower shall not enter into any contracts for the sale of units which are not Valid Sales Contracts, except that Borrower may enter into contracts subject to a commercially reasonable mortgage contingency provided such contracts will not be deemed a Valid Sales Contract until the expiration or satisfaction of the mortgage contingency.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Borrower may enter into up to 50 contracts with purchasers who are affiliates of either Borrower and Guarantor; however, those contracts must satisfy all other conditions of a Valid Sales Contract (the "Related Party Contracts"). In addition, any units purchased by affiliates of the Guarantor will not close until the Project has been substantially completed in a lien free manner.

Finally, it is understood that Borrower has entered into a contract with the previous Site Owner to purchase a roughly 4,000 sf penthouse unit at "cost", with "cost" to be determined upon completion of construction (the "At Cost Contract"). While the Bank approves the At Cost Contract, it is understood that the At Cost Contract: (a) will not be one of the contracts comprising the Presale Requirement; and (b) will not be considered a Related Party Contract.

Please note that the outside delivery date of $6 / 30 / 09$ is reasonable, as the GMP calls for an estimated completion date of no later than 8/31/08, leaving a cushion of 10 months.

See Exhibit "F" Commitment Letter for the rest of the Loan details. (This commitment letter is dated $6 / 28 / 06$ and does not reflect the changes to the project budget, mezzanine loan amount and equity)

CBRE Discussion: CBRE is a real estate investment fund sponsored by CB Richard Ellis Investors, LLC, the global investment subsidiary of CB Richard Ellis, Inc. CB Richard Ellis Investors, LLC has over $\$ 14$ billion in assets under management. CBRE is a fully discretionary, internally managed investment fund with over $\$ 1.1$ billion in total capitalization.

In July 2004, a CB Richard Ellis affiliate and Stillman Bayrock Merrimac formed a joint venture to acquire the Site and fund pre-development and marketing costs. Once the Bank closes on the Loan and CBRE closes on their subordinate mezzanine loan, CBRE's disbursements will replace the joint venture's interest allocated to the CB Richard Ellis affiliate. The terms of the loan are as follows:

| Mezz Loan Amount: | $\$ 38,260,000$ <br> Interest Rate: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $9 \%$ fixed return, compounding monthly, plus <br> contingent interest equal to $10.75 \%$ of gross <br> sale of any portion of the Project |
| Term: | term will coincide with Bank's term |
| Commitment Fee: | $1.25 \%$ (\$438M paid in June 2004) |

CBRE has fully funded Project costs as the Site is cleared and the excavation and foundation work is completed.

Assuming the Borrower's anticipated sellout of $\$ 291,543 \mathrm{M}$ as well as a fully outstanding balance on their loan for a term of 36 months, CBRE will earn a total profit of roughly $\$ 42,761 \mathrm{M}$ on their $\$ 38,260 \mathrm{M}$ investment in the Project.

It should be noted that the Mezz loan and the Bank's Loan together exceed $90 \%$ of the total Project costs.

The willingness of CBRE, a financially strong and experienced real estate services company, to invest $\$ 38,260,000$ in the Project (approximately $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of Project cost) ahead of the Bank's $\$ 139,000 \mathrm{M}$ Loan, demonstrates their confidence in the Project and the Borrower, adding comfort to the Bank's position. It is one of the strengths of this credit.

Market Discussion: The City of Fort Lauderdale, which is called the Venice of America, is located in the east-central portion of Broward County between MiamiDade and Palm Beach Counties. Fort Lauderdale has seven miles of shoreline along the Atlantic Occan and 85 miles of natural and man-made navigable waterways, making it a desirable tourist destination.

Fort Lauderdale is approximately 25 miles north of Miami and 47 miles south of Palm Beach. The Project's neighborhood is located near all transportation centers. The Hollywood/Fort Lauderdale International Airport is 2.5 miles southwest of the Project, Port Everglades is 1.5 miles southwest of the Project, and Downtown Fort Lauderdale is 2 miles west of the Project. The Project is located along State A1A (also known as North Atlantic Boulevard), which separates the Project from the Atlantic Ocean. The Project will be very accessible via Florida's highway system, as well as the Fort Lauderdale International Airport that is 10 miles away.

The Site and surrounding areas are undergoing a change from older lowrise motel and rental apartment buildings to luxury high-rise condos and condo/hotels. These low-rise motels, which were popular during the 1960's through 1980's for "spring break" tourists, are now being knocked down to build luxury high-rise hotels and hotel condos such as the Project. There are currently over 1,500 hotel rooms under construction, planned, or recently completed. The main focus of the Fort Lauderdale economy is tourism and the related marine industry. Fort Lauderdale has a work force of 775,000 people, a third of which are in the tourism services industry.

## General Area/ Site Discussion:

A site plan, maps, project renderings, and photos are attached as Exhibit "G". The Project is located at 551 North Atlantic Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Broward County, Florida. The Project's neighborhood is a strip of land between the Intra-Coastal Waterway and the Atlantic Ocean. Given the Project's waterfront location, most units will have either ocean or Intra-Coastal views. The majority of the surrounding area is used for hotels, condo-hotels, and entertainment establishments catering to tourists. The area immediately south of the Las Olas Boulevard is mainly commercial space, while areas to the north of Las Olas Boulevard are primarily multi-family condominium developments. The uses to the west of the Project are a mix of high-end luxury single family residences and town homes.

Sunrise Boulevard and Las Olas Boulevard, both upscale shopping and entertainment areas, are a half mile away from the Project. The project is
also within walking distance to the Broward Center for the Performing Arts, the Historic Antiques District, and the oceanfront Birch State Park.

Michael Stein and Bob Glickman visited the Site in January 2006 and found the area to be desirable for a hotel condo development based on its proximity to the Atlantic Ocean. On a separate occasion Keith Gibbons visited the Site in March 2004 and December 2006 and also found the Project's layout to optimize water views of both the Intra-Coastal and the Atlantic Ocean. Mr. Gibbons also found that the area was ideal for tourism based on the location of surrounding shopping, restaurants, and boating facilities.

The Site is located in an affluent area that is starting to become a higherend tourist destination characterized by high-end real estate, luxury shopping and gourmet restaurants. The Project is also located near other demand generators: the beach, golf courses, boating marinas, and entertainment venues.

Overall, the location of the Site right across the street from the beach in Fort Lauderdale is " $A$ " and is one the primary strengths of their deal.

## Environmental Report

E, E, and G prepared a Phase I Environmental Assessment of the Project site dated October 2003. The assessment did not reveal evidence of any unresolved environmental concerns in connection with the Project.

Project Discussion: The Project will consist two buildings: a) a 5 story building located on the east portion of the Site which will contain a restaurant and retail on the first floor facing A1A and hotel condo units on floors 2 through 5 ("East Building"); and b) a 24 story building on the west portion of the Site, in which hotel operations will occupy the basement, a parking garage containing 410 parking spaces will occupy floors 1 through 5, and hotel condo units will occupy floors 6 through 24 ('West Building"). Please note that the Borrower does not intend to market the parking spaces at this time. The East Building will not block any ocean views of the units of West Building because the first five floors of the West Building contain the parking garage. The rooftop of the East Building will include an open deck with a sky bridge leading to the West Building and its $6^{\text {th }}$ floor recreation area. Both the East Building and West Building combined will contain approximately 240,000 sellable square feet. The average unit size is 805 square feet and the residential unit mix at the Project is as follows:

| BR/BA | \# of <br> Units | Saleable SF | Total SF per <br> Unit Type | \% of <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Studio | 151 | 479 to 648 SF | 92,299 | $38.1 \%$ |
| 1BR/1.5BA | 89 | 767 to $1,296 \mathrm{SF}$ | 74,988 | $31.0 \%$ |
| 2BR/2BA | 54 | 997 to $1,712 \mathrm{SF}$ | 64,930 | $26.8 \%$ |
| 3BR/3BA | 3 | 1,592 to $2,365 \mathrm{SF}$ | 5,706 | $2.4 \%$ |
| 4BR/3BA | 1 | $4,055 \mathrm{SF}$ | 4,055 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Total | 298 |  | 241,978 | $100 \%$ |

The Project building and interior finishes were designed by the wellknown architect, Michael Graves \& Associates, in conjunction with Oscar Garcia (as discussed below).

All units will come fully finished and furnished (the FF\&E budget is $\$ 25,453$ per unit). Units will have state of the art kitchens with stainless steel appliances, wood cabinets, and granite counter tops, as well as Italian marble floors in the kitchen and bathrooms. Studio units will include galley kitchens but will still have ranges, microwaves, sinks and refrigerators. All bathrooms will have high-end fixtures and bathtub/showers. Ceiling heights in the units will be 9 feet, while the lobby ceiling height is 20 feet. Most larger units will include stackable washer/dryers. All units will have top of the line in-unit furniture, flat screen televisions, DVD players, and music systems, and luxury hotel quality linens and flatware. Unit owners will have one lockable closet in order to keep certain items protected from hotel guests that may be renting $a$ unit.

The Project will provide luxury amenities, including two restaurants, a full spa, a 3,000 square foot fitness center, private cabanas overlooking the ocean, $6^{\text {th }}$ floor pool with sundeck, 24 -hour maid service, an executive center, valet parking service, 24 -hour concierge and room service, and a high-end luxury retail center.

Unlike some of the hotel condo projects which have crossed our desk, none of the Units have internal "lockouts" whereby a portion of the Unit can be rented. However, a number of Units are connected to a second Unit allowing purchasers the option of purchasing both connecting Units and only putting one of them in the rental pool.

The combination of Michael Graves as the designing architect and Trump as a licensor and hotel operator adds some cachet and marketability to the Project. The Project appears to be well-designed with relatively high-end finishes.

FF\&E
Unit purchasers will be the owners of all furnishings in their unit. For a Unit to remain in the pool of rentable hotel condo units (as determined by the hotel management company), the Unit owner is responsible for keeping the Unit and all furnishings in a condition consistent with a luxury hotel. It is anticipated that the Unit owners will purchase insurance policies to cover their units and their interior furmishings.

## Hotel Rental Agreement

The Borrower is in the process of creating the rental agreement which will define the rights and obligations of all parties as it relates to the purchaser's voluntary participation in the hotel rental pool. Stillman claims he has deliberately delayed finalizing the rental agreement to avoid potential SEC issues but that said agreement will be finalized prior to closing. In a phone conversation Stillman provided a basic description of the cash flow to all parties that will eventually be stated in the rental agreement. A diagram of the cash flow is as follows:


Stillman claims that, in essence, all costs of running the Project, including those one would think would be allocated to Units not participating in the program are run through the Hotel component. Stillman thinks that the residual annual cash flow from the hotel component to the Borrower would exceed $\$ 2,000 \mathrm{M}$. We will hold all judgment.

At the end of the day, we have no confidence in our ability to analyze or determine how the hotel rental pool component of the Project will shake out. We are relying on the fact that we will be fully paid off, as evidenced by the presales at $\$ 1,136 / \mathrm{SF}$, prior to hotel operations commencing.

## Sales Program

The Borrower has hired four real estate firms to market the Project Units: Coldwell Banker Residential Real Estate, Galleria Collection Marketing, Inc., Sheldon Greene \& Associates, Inc., and Realty Marketing and Development Corp. The Borrower started taking reservations in February

2005 and has 185 contracts as of the end of July 2006 at an average contract price of $\$ 1,136$ per square foot. The 113 units that have not been sold to date have an average list price per square foot of $\$ 1,198$. See table below for more detail

| STILLMAN SALES SUMMARY (7/2006) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Contracts (LESS THAN 20\% DOWN) | $\#$ | SF | $\$$ | $\$ / \mathbf{S F}$ |
| Unrelated Parties | 3 | 1,651 | $1,894,000$ | $\$ 1,147$ |
| Related Parties | 4 | $\underline{3,830}$ | $\underline{3,883,875}$ | $\$ 1,014$ |
| Total | 7 | 5,481 | $5,777,875$ | $\$ 1,054$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Contracts (WITH FULL 20\% DOWN) | $\#$ | SF | $\$$ | $\$ / \mathbf{S F}$ |
| Unrelated Parties | 166 | 118,760 | $136,044,701$ | $\$ 1,146$ |
| Related Parties | 12 | $\underline{10,728}$ | $\underline{11,510,375}$ | $\$ 1,073$ |
| Total | 178 | 129,488 | $147,555,076$ | $\$ 1,140$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Contracts | $\#$ | $\mathbf{S F}$ | $\$$ | $\$ / \mathbf{S F}$ |
| Unrelated Parties | 169 | 120,411 | $137,938,701$ | $\$ 1,146$ |
| Related Parties | 16 | $\underline{14,558}$ | $\underline{15,394,250}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 5 7}$ |
| Total | 185 | 134,969 | $153,332,951$ | $\$ 1,136$ |

## Architect

Working with Michael Graves is Oscar Garcia Architects ("Oscar Garcia"). Oscar Garcia will be the architect of record on this Project and has significant high-rise experience in South Florida. Of Oscar Garcia's more significant projects are: $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ Art Condominiums, a 154 unit condo in Fort Lauderdale, Biscayne Landing Tower, a 470 unit project in North Miami, and Beach Street Condominiums, a 200 unit condo in Daytona Beach.

## General Contractor

The Stiles Corporation ("Stiles"), of Fort Lauderdale, has developed more than 30 million square feet of office, retail, multi-family residential, and industrial facilities, will be the GC for the Project. Stiles has successfully developed self-contained, master-planned, mixed-use business parks, build-to-suit and luxury mixed-use residential properties for a respected list of clients and tenants. Stiles Corporation has built projects in Fort Lauderdale such as Sunrise Harbor, Las Olas Centre, Nèw River Center, Las Olas Place, Bank of America Plaza at Las Olas City Centre, 350 Las Olas Place Condo, and Republic Plaza.

Stiles Corporation and Consolidated Entities report the following financial information:

|  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ months ending <br> $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 0 4}$ | 12 months ending <br> $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Assets | $\$$ | $79,912,245$ | $\$$ | $85,139,257$ |
| Liabilities | $\$$ | $61,038,966$ | $\$$ | $66,224,736$ |
| Stockholder Equity | $\$$ | $18,873,279$ | $\$$ | $18,914,521$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive Income | $\$$ | $3,780,103$ | $\$$ | $2,196,242$ |

Based on an informal survey of Florida area inspecting consultants, Stiles is considered a respectable general contractor with long standing ties to the Fort Lauderdale community. Through the early 1980's and 1990's, Stiles acted as a developer/builder for several office buildings in Broward County near I-95.

## Bonding

The Stiles contract will be fully bonded. In addition, Stiles will purchase Subguard to protect itself from any subcontractor default.

## Plan and Cost Review

The Bank retained Merritt and Harris, Inc. as its construction consultant. M\&H completed a plan and cost review report for the Bank but the Senior Construction Manager felt the report was incomplete and of poor quality. The Bank has chosen to hire Steve Panter of Panter Associates to conduct an additional plan and cost review. The Bank will ensure that the findings of the report are adequate and that any necessary clarification will be finalized prior to any disbursements.

## Insurance

In order to circumvent very high premiums during hurricane season in Florida, the Borrower requested permission to underinsure the Project by approximately $\$ 25 \mathrm{MM}$. Because the insurance coverage is stacked (several companies take a portion of the insurance coverage), this $\$ 25 \mathrm{MM}$ shortfall applies to the top layer of potential loss, which would occur near the end of the Project. The Bank has approved of closing the Loan despite not having full insurance coverage for the Project and allowing the Borrower to obtain the coverage once the premium market has cooled.

One issue that arose during the negotiation of the underinsurance was that the current insurers of the Project are currently unaware of increases to the budget and changes to the completion date. The updated information could potentially affect the premium owed to each insurer. An initial concern was that the insurers could void their policy claiming misrepresentation from the Borrower. To protect against this possibility, Nate McKitterick, DLA Piper's insurance expert, analyzed the actual
insurance policies. McKitterick found that when the Borrower reveals the budget increases and completion date changes to the insurers, the insurers would collect the amount of the additional unpaid premium owed to date rather than void the policies.

In the Bank's estimation, the Project budget includes adequate funds to fund insurance costs over the life of the Loan.

## Project Budget

The total cost of the Project can be broken down as follows:

|  | $\$$ |  | Per Unit |  | Per SF |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land | $\$$ | $20,215,615$ | $\$$ | 67,838 | $\$$ | 84 |
| Hard Costs | $\$$ | $120,674,271$ | $\$$ | 404,947 | $\$$ | 503 |
| Soft Costs | $\$$ | $54,010,114$ | $\$$ | 181,242 | $\$$ | 225 |
| Total Project Costs | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 , 9 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{6 5 4 , 0 2 7}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{8 1 2}$ |

Please refer to Exhibit "C" for a detailed budget. Even though the GMP Construction Contract has not been executed, the budget is essentially complete. The budget includes a developer fee line item of $\$ 2,000,000$ $(1.8 \%$ of the GMP) which is paid to Stillman at a rate of $\$ 69,000$ per month until paid in full. Land of $\$ 20,215 \mathrm{M}$ is included in the budget at actual cost. Interest reserve of $\$ 12,800 \mathrm{M}$ in the budget is slightly less than the $\$ 13,654 \mathrm{M}$ amount predicted by the Bank's profitability model, but we believe the repayment assumption ( 5 months) contained therein is quite conservative.

## For-Sale Valuation/

## Exposure Analysis: Borrower Valuation

Assuming the Bank's Loan is fully disbursed and there have not been any unit closings, the Loan exposure will be approximately $\$ 579$ per residential sf and $\$ 547$ per residential and retail SF. The Borrower has projected an average sales price of $\$ 1,179 / \mathrm{sf}$ for the residential units, and $\$ 600 /$ sf for the retail space resulting in a total sellout of approximately $\$ 291,543 \mathrm{M}$ and an LTS on the subject loan of $48 \%$. Commissions and closing costs are estimated at $7 \%$, which would result in a net sellout of $\$ 271,135 \mathrm{M}$. The exposure analysis, based on the Borrower's estimates, is attached as Exhibit "H" and suggests that the Loan would be paid off after the sale and closing of approximately 214 units or $72 \%$ of the residential portion of the Project. It is important to note that because of the step down in the collateral release of Units (as described above) the Borrower will "cash out" approximately $\$ 54,896 \mathrm{M}$ before the Bank is paid in full.

## Appraiser's Valuation

The Bank received an appraisal from Appraisal First, Inc.("Appraiser") dated November 8, 2005. The Appraiser valued the Project as follows: an "as is" market value of $\$ 50,000 \mathrm{M}$; a "bulk wholesale value" of $\$ 220,000 \mathrm{M}$; and a "gross retail sellout value" of $\$ 285,000 \mathrm{M}$.

The Appraiser used four land sales in the area to value the Site. The most relevant land sale is listed below:

|  | Project |  | Land Comp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | 551 N. Fort Lauderdale Beach Blvd |  | 700 N. Fort Lauderdale Beach Blvd |  |
| Sale Date | Oct-04 |  | Aug-05 |  |
| Sale Price | \$ | 20,000,000 | \$ | 20,600,000 |
| Size (SF) |  | 79,897 |  | 48,824 |
| Price/SF | \$ | 250.32 | \$ | 421.92 |

The best land comp provided by the Appraiser is just north of the Project. Since the comparable was purchased in August 2005 and is very close to the project with similar zoning and density restrictions, this comp represents the best market value of the Site. The appraiser used this comp to arrive at a land valuation of $\$ 34 \mathrm{MM}$, which is $\$ 14 \mathrm{MM}$ above what it was purchased for in October 2004 and what it is valued at in the Project Budget.

In addition to having the Borrower's unit reservations, the Appraiser used sales at four Fort Lauderdale condo/hotels. Below is chart comparing these projects:

| Name | Trump International Hotel \& Tower | W Hotel - Fort Lauderdale | The Atlantic | Q Club | St. Regis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stories | 24 | 19 (2 towers) | 16 | 25 | 23 |
| Units | 298 | 517 | 124 | 333 | 233 |
| Completion Date | Early 2007 | Early 2007 | June 2004 | Mid 2006 | Early 2006 |
| Residences | None | 171 | 124 | None | 67 |
| Avg Unit SF | 805 | 1200 | 900 | 868\| | 3056 |
| \$/SF Range | \$800 to \$1,908 | \$889 to \$\$1,316 | \$597 to \$978 | \$415 to \$1,196 | \$415 to 1,079 |
| Avg Price | \$ 1,164 | \$ 1,100 | \$ 568 | \$ 1979 | \$ 722 |
| \# Sold | 181 | 171 Reserved | 124 | 306 | 62 |

The comparables above are each located in close proximity to the Project. In fact the Atlantic is located immediately North of the Project, and Bob Glickman and Michael Stein toured it in late 2004. While it is not a
horrible looking building, we feel that the Project will be superior to the Atlantic in all respects. Each is classified as five star quality facilities with direct views of the Atlantic Ocean.

The Appraiser used recent actual sales contract amounts at the Atlantic and the St. Regis to value those Projects. In March 2006 the Bank called the St. Regis sales office and determined that only four units remained unsold and the asking prices were between $\$ 1,100$ and $\$ 1,200$ per square foot. Below is chart of comparable unit sales the Appraiser used to value the Project:

| Type | Project | Size | Floor | Date of <br> Contract | Price | Price/SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Studio | The Atlantic | 490 | 12 | N/A | $\$ 629,000$ | $\$ 1,284$ |
| Studio | The Atlantic | 581 | 6 | July 2005 | $\$ 560,000$ | $\$ 964$ |
| Studio | The Atlantic | 804 | 7 | Sept 2005 | $\$ 655,000$ | $\$ 814$ |
| Studio | The Atlantic | 580 | 7 | Not available | $\$ 680,000$ | $\$ 1,172$ |
| 2BR | The Atlantic | 1,414 | 6 | Not available | $\$ 1,100,000$ | $\$ 778$ |
| 2BR | The Atlantic | 1,414 | 11 | Not available | $\$ 1,500,000$ | $\$ 1,061$ |
| 1BR | The Atlantic | 1,024 | 12 | Not available | $\$ 1,200,000$ | $\$ 1,172$ |
| 3BR | St. Regis | 2,937 | 18 | Not available | $\$ 2,950,000$ | $\$ 1,004$ |
| 3BR | St. Regis | 2,697 | 20 | Not available | $\$ 3,175,000$ | $\$ 1,177$ |
| PH (1BR) | The Atlantic | 1,400 | 16 | Not available | $\$ 1,995,000$ | $\$ 1,425$ |
| PH (3BR) | St. Regis | 2,988 | 22 | Not available | $\$ 3,865,000$ | $\$ 1,294$ |

The Appraiser also used the reservations provided by the Borrower as another data point to value the Project. The reservations are sorted by unit type as follows:

| Unit Type | \# Units | \# Reserved | \% Reserved | SF Reserved | \$ Reserved | \$/SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Studio | 151 | 142 | 94\% | 86,460 | \$ 97,317,460 | \$1,126 |
| 1BR | 89 | 78 | 88\% | 64,483 | \$ 70,050,190 | \$1,086 |
| 2BR | 50 | 41 | 82\% | 49,628 | \$ 62,504,200 | \$1,259 |
| 3BR | 7 | 2 | 29\% | 3,341 | \$ 4,798,750 | \$1,436 |
| 4BR* | 1 | 0 | 0\% | - | \$ | N/A |
| Total | 298 | 263 | 88\% | 203,912 | \$234,670,600 | \$1,151 |

*Unit 2403, a 4BR, 4,000 SF penthouse, will be purchased "at costi' from the previous site owner.

Based on these sources, the Appraiser derived a value of $\$ 1,150 /$ SF for studios, one bedrooms, and two bedrooms, and $\$ 1,400 / \mathrm{SF}$ for penthouse units. The Appraiser projected an average sales price oh the residential portion of the Project of $\$ 1,170 / \mathrm{SF}$ or $\$ 280,000 \mathrm{M}$, andi $\$ 300 / \mathrm{sf}$ for the retail space, resulting in a total sellout of approximately $\$ 285 \mathrm{MM}$.

Commissions and closing costs are estimated at $7 \%$, which would result in a net sellout of $\$ 265 \mathrm{MM}$. The exposure analysis, based on the Appraiser's estimates, is attached as Exhibit "I" and suggests that the Loan would be paid off after the sale and closing of approximately 213 units or $71 \%$ of the residential portion of the Project.

## Bank Valuation

The Bank's valuation of the Project is heavily influenced by the pre-sale data at the Project. The Borrower began taking reservations in October 2004 and to date has achieved sold approximately $62 \%$ of the Project ( 185 units) at an average sales price of $\$ 1,136 / \mathrm{SF}$. The Borrower must achieve a presale requirement of 166 Valid Sales Contracts consisting of 118,900 square feet and 10 Related Party Contracts consisting of 8,600 , at a an average price per square foot of $\$ 1,050$.

Given the sales data, the Bank has projected an average sales price of $\$ 1,136 / \mathrm{sf}$ for the residential units, $\$ 300 / \mathrm{sf}$ for the retail space, (please note that given their relatively minor significance in the grand scheme of things, the Bank did not perform a thorough analysis of retail values in Fort Lauderdale; instead we just conservatively applied significant discounts to the Borrower's and appraiser's estimates) resulting in a total sellout of approximately $\$ 272,640 \mathrm{M}$. Commissions and closing costs are estimated at $7 \%$, which would result in a net sellout of $\$ 257,546 \mathrm{M}$. The exposure analysis, based on the Bank's estimates, is attached as Exhibit " J " and suggests that the Loan would be paid off after the sale and closing of approximately 215 units, or $72 \%$ of the residential portion of the Project. (The Bank would be paid off much quicker if we did not allow the Borrower to cash out some money once we are paid down to \$200/SF.)

Profit Projection: Assuming all of the units sold at the Borrower Expected price of $\$ 1,179 / \mathrm{sf}$ for the residential units, and $\$ 600 / \mathrm{sf}$ for the commercial space, commissions and closing costs are $4 \%$, the Borrower's profit would be $\$ 15,857 \mathrm{M}$, after paying for all expenses and costs of funding, the Bank's Exit Fee, accrued interest on the CBRE mezz Loan, and the Trump fee. Assuming the Bank's expected sellout, all else equal, the Borrower would profit by $\$ 7,299 \mathrm{M}$. Please refer to the profit projections attached as Exhibit " $K$ " for further detail.

This is still a profitable transaction, but not nearly as profitable to the Borrower as before the huge cost increases. Luckily, even if the Borrower loses out, it looks like the Mezzanine Lender will have a significant amount of principal and interest to protect in all but the most disastrous downturn.

## Guarantor/Sponsorship

## Discussion:

Roy Stillman
Stillman is a member of The Stillman Organization, a family owned real estate development company. This will be the Bank's second transaction with Roy Stillman. In September 2002, the Bank closed on Stillman/Upper East Side, a $\$ 63,050 \mathrm{M}$ loan for the construction of a 30story condo building known as the Metropolitan with 94 residential units containing 170,000 square feet. This project was both on time and under budget, and paid off in May 2004. Please note that we estimate that Stillman ( $50 \%$ owner) and his partners made a roughly $\$ 40,000 \mathrm{M}$ profit on a $\$ 3,000 \mathrm{M}$ equity investment on the Metropolitan. The fact that Stillman performed so well on a previous transaction with the Bank gives us an added degree of confidence in his ability to complete and sellout the Project. Prior to the Stillman/Upper East Side project, Stillman had built several small condo developments and office buildings in the greater New York area.

## Stillman Financial Position

Attached as Exhibit "L", please find a copy of Stillman's certified personal financial statement dated October 4, 2005.

Stillman claimed a net worth of $\$ 37,850 \mathrm{M}$ on total assets of $\$ 41,550 \mathrm{M}$. His assets were listed as follows: $\$ 1,750 \mathrm{M}$ in cash, $\$ 9,000 \mathrm{M}$ in personal effects, $\$ 450 \mathrm{M}$ in automobiles, $\$ 4,000 \mathrm{M}$ in his residence in New York, $\$ 7,000 \mathrm{M}$ in his residence in South Beach, FL, and $\$ 19,350 \mathrm{M}$ in various investment properties in Florida and New York. Stillman also provided the Bank with statements from his personal checking accounts dated August 19, 2005. One account evidenced an average daily balance of $\$ 4,360 \mathrm{M}$ while another account evidenced an account balance of $\$ 43 \mathrm{M}$. No contingent liabilities were reported on his Personal Financial Statement dated October 4, 2005.

Stillman provided the Bank with copies of his 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 federal tax returns, (select pages attached as Exhibit "M"). Once Stillman files his 2006 return, the Bank will be provided with a copy. Please see the chart below for a summary of Stillman's tax returns:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taxable Interest | $\$ 4,601$ | $\$ 4,805$ | $\$ 1,077$ | $\$ 40,336$ |
| Business Income | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 30,544$ | $(\$ 1,239,265)$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Schedule E Income | $(\$ 340,689)$ | $(\$ 15,517)$ | $\$ 22,577,805$ | $\$ 1, \mathbf{3 7 9 , 0 7 0}$ |
| NOL Carryover | $(\$ 193,045)$ | $(\$ 546,444)$ | $(\$ 543,851)$ | $\$ 0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| AGI | $\mathbf{( \$ 5 4 0 , 5 1 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 5 4 2 , 1 0 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 5 0 0 , 6 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 1 6 , 5 0 3}$ |

During 2002 and 2003, Stillman was in the process of developing the Bank-financed Stillman/Upper East Side project and his profits from said transaction are reflected on his 2004 tax return.

## Conclusion

The Bank considers Stillman's financial strength to be fair. While he claims a net worth of $\$ 37,850 \mathrm{M}$, most of that amount is tied up in real estate investments. Given this fact, Stillman's presence as a completion guarantor is not worth very much. However, the Bank benefits from the presence of CBRE as the mezzanine lender on this Project, as well as the profit inherent in the reservations/presales.

## Loan Rating/

Per the Loss Given Default ("LGD") calculation attached as Exhibit "N", a stress to approximately $52 \%$ of the Bank's expected valuation (this is obviously a larger discount than we normally apply, but we want to be very conservative given our concerns regarding the hotel condo nature of the Project) was applied, which would suggest that the project's sellout was $\$ 132 \mathrm{MM}$ or $\$ 549 / \mathrm{SF}$. Since the loan is non-recourse for payment, no additional value is added from a guaranty. In the event of a default and this liquidation value, the Bank would incur a loss of $19.4 \%$ (which was rounded to $20 \%$ ). If the probability of default ("POD") is set equal to the LGD for the Primary Loan, then the Loan would be assigned a 4.0 rating for the Primary Loan.

The CRE Profitability Model is attached as Exhibit "O". The months to maximum funding was set at 23 months, additional months at maximum Project funding was set at 1 month and the months to repay all sources was set to 5 months such that the calculated term of the Loan would be 29 months. Under these assumptions, the required ROAE threshold of $17.01 \%$ is surpassed by $6.51 \%$ and the "All-in-Spread" requirement of $4.25 \%$ is surpassed by $2.40 \%$.

## Relationship Exposure

Analysis:
This is the only loan the Bank has with the Borrower.
Loan Source: Keith Gibbons generated this Loan through his relationship with Roy Stillman, a customer of the Bank.

## Structural Weakness

Analysis:
This Loan does not have any structural weaknesses.
Board Exceptions: This Loan does not have any Board Exceptions.

## Patriot Act

Compliance

CRA Benefit: $\quad$ This Loan does not have a community development purpose, as it does not provide affordable housing.

BSA/AML Rating: Low-Risk.
Conclusion: $\quad$ The risks and weaknesses of this Loan are as follows:

1) Non-recourse loan.
2) Condo-hotel nature of the Project for reasons described herein.

Fortunately, these negatives are more than offset by the following strengths:

1) Strong third-party Mezz Lender with $\mathbf{\$ 3 8 , 2 6 0 M}$ in the Project ahead of us. Our LTS is conservative at $51 \%$.
2) Experienced development team.
3) Presale requirement of roughly $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ of the Project at $\$ 1,050 / \mathrm{SF}$. To date, the Borrower reports 185 Valid Sales Contracts at \$1,136/SF implying a VERY conservative LTS of $51 \%$.
4) Great location right off the beach on the prime strip in Fort Lauderdale.

Based on the above, it is recommended that this Loan be approved as stated above and assigned the following initial ratings:

## Primary Loan

| POD Rating: | $20 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| LGD Rating: | $20 \%$ |
| Alpha Rating: | P |
| Numeric Rating: | 4.0 |


| Supervising Officer: | Bob Glickman |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lead Officer: | Keith R. Gibbons |

Supporting Loan Officer: George Guattare

## Exhibits:

A. Loan Comparison
B. Ownership Structure
C. Project Budget
D. CBRE Term Sheet
E. Sales Summary
F. Commitment Letter
G. Site Plan, Maps, Project Renderings, and Photos
H. Exposure Analysis - Borrower
I. Exposure Analysis - Appraiser
J. Exposure Analysis - Bank
K. Profit Projection
L. Stillman Personal Financial Statement
M. Stillman's 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 Federal Tax Returns
N. Loss Given Default
O. CRE Profitability Model

DONALD J. TRUMP<br>Chairman and President, The Trump Organization

A schoolboy's dream...a competitor's challenge. Donald J. Trump is the very definition of the American success story, continually setting the standards of excellence while expanding his interests in real estate, gaming, sports, and entertainment. He is the archetypal businessman - a deal maker without peer and an ardent philanthropist.

Mr. Trump started his business career in an office he shared with his father in Sheepshead Bay, Brooklyn, New York. He worked with his father for five years, where they were busy making deals together. Mr. Trump has been quoted as saying, "My father was my mentor, and I learned a tremendous amount about every aspect of the construction industry from him." Likewise, Fred C. Trump often stated that "some of my best deals were made by my son, Donald...everything he touches seems to turn to gold." Mr. Trump then entered the very different world of Manhattan real estate.

In New York City, the Trump signature is synonymous with the most prestigious of addresses. Among them are the world-renowned Fifth Avenue skyscraper, Trump Tower, and the luxury residential buildings, Trump Parc, Trump Palace, Trump Plaza, 610 Park Avenue, The Trump World Tower (the tallest building on the East Side of Manhattan), and Trump Park Avenue. Mr. Trump was also responsible for the designation and construction of the Jacob Javits Convention Center on land controlled by him, known as the West $34^{\text {th }}$ Street Railroad Yards, and the total exterior restoration of the Grand Central Terminal as part of his conversion of the neighboring Commodore Hotel into the Grand Hyatt Hotel. Over the years, Mr. Trump has owned and sold many great buildings in New York including the Plaza Hotel (which he renovated and brought back to its original grandeur), the St. Moritz Hotel (three times... and now called the Ritz Carlton on Central Park South) and until 2002, the land under the Empire State Building (which allowed the land and lease to be merged together for the first time in over 50 years). Additionally, the NikeTown store is owned by Mr. Trump, on East $57^{\text {th }}$ Street and adjacent to Tiffany's. In early 2008, Gucci opened their largest store in the world in Trump Tower.

In 1997, the Trump International Hotel \& Tower opened its doors to the world. This 52 story mixed-use super luxury hotel and residential building is located on the crossroads of Manhattan's West Side, on Central Park West at Columbus Circle. It was designed by the world-famous architect, Philip Johnson, and has
achieved some of the highest sales prices and rentals in the United States. As one of only three hotels in the nation to have received a double Mobil Five-Star rating for both the hotel and its restaurant, Jean-Georges, it has also received the Five Star Diamond Award from the American Academy of Hospitality Sciences, and was voted the number one business hotel in New York City by Travel and Leisure Magazine. Conde Nast Traveler Magazine has named it the number one hotel in the US, and its innovative concept has been copied worldwide. In 2009, it once again won the Mobil Five-Star Award.

Mr. Trump was also the developer of the largest parcel of land in New York City, the former West Side Rail Yards. On this 100 acre property, fronting along the Hudson River from 59 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street to $72^{\text {nd }}$ Street, the largest development ever approved by the New York City Planning Commission is being built and is near completion. There will be a total of 16 buildings on the site, and Mr. Trump has donated a 25 acre waterfront park and a 700 foot sculptured pier to the city of New York.

Other acquisitions in New York City include The Trump Building at 40 Wall Street, the landmark 1.3 million square foot, 72 -story building located in Manhattan's Financial District, directly across from the New York Stock Exchange and the tallest building in downtown Manhattan. This purchase, which took place at the depths of the New York City real estate market, is said to be one of the best real estate deals made in the last twenty-five years. In addition, Mr. Trump built $\mathbf{6 1 0}$ Park Avenue (at $64^{\text {th }}$ Street), formerly known as the Mayfair Regent Hotel, which was very successfully converted into super-luxury condominium apartments achieving, at that time, the highest prices on Park Avenue. Further east, adjacent to the United Nations, sits the spectacular Trump World Tower, a 90-story luxury residential building and one of the tallest residential towers in the world. The Trump World Tower has received rave reviews from the architectural critics, with Herbert Muschamp of the New York Times calling it "a handsome hunk of a glass tower." Likewise, Trump World Tower is considered one of the most successful condominium towers ever built in the United States.

In 2001, Mr. Trump announced plans for his first foray into Chicago, where he planned to build the Trump International Hotel \& Tower/Chicago. The 2.7 million square foot, 92 -story mixed-use tower is located on the banks of the Chicago River, directly west of Michigan Avenue (the most prominent site in Chicago), and is the sixth tallest building in the world. The architect is Skidmore, Owings \& Merrill, Chicago, and the tower also includes four levels of retail shops. The hotel opened in January of 2008 to great acclaim, and in 2010 received Travel \& Leisure Magazine's \#1 Hotel in the US and Canada award.

In 2002, Mr. Trump purchased the fabled Delmonico Hotel, located at 59 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Park Avenue. It has been developed into a state-of-the-art luxury 35 story condominium named Trump Park Avenue. It was Mr. Trump's desire to make this one of the most luxurious buildings ever built in New York City, which has been achieved. The square foot prices of these apartments set new records.

Mr. Trump's portfolio of holdings also includes Trump National Golf Club in Westchester, NY, a signature Fazio golf course and residential development, and a 250 acre estate known as the Mansion at Seven Springs, the former home of Katharine Graham (of The Washington Post and Rockefeller University), which will be developed into a world class luxury housing development with homes that will sell for upwards of $\$ 20$ million dollars each. Mr. Trump also purchased one of the largest parcels of land in California which fronts, for two and a half miles, along the Pacific Ocean. A Donald J. Trump championship golf course, called Trump National Golf Club/Los Angeles, has been built on this site, and it has been voted the number one golf course in California. Seventy-five luxury estates will follow. In addition, the Tom Fazio designed Trump National Golf Club has been built in Lamington Farms in Bedminster, New Jersey, on the 525 acre Cowperthwaite Estate, considered to be the best in the state. An additional 18 hole course was opened recently. In November of 2008, Mr. Trump received approval to develop Trump International Golf Links Scotland, a golf course in Aberdeen, Scotland, with over three miles of spectacular ocean waterfront, and Trump International Golf Club in Rio Grande, Puerto Rico has 1250 acres of ocean waterfront. In August of 2008, Mr. Trump purchased a golf course in Colts Neck, New Jersey, which is now Trump National Golf Club/Colts Neck, and in February of 2009 he bought an 800 acre parcel of land and club near Washington, D.C. that fronts the Potomac River for three miles, to become Trump National Golf Club, Washington D.C. Two more golf courses were added to his portfolio in December of 2009, Trump National Golf Club-Philadelphia, and Trump National Golf Club-HudsonValley. In April of 2010, a new celebrity reality series, "Donald J. Trump's Fabulous World of Golf" debuted on Golf Channel.

In Palm Beach, Florida, Mr. Trump has converted the famous and historic estate owned by Marjorie Merriweather Post and E.F. Hutton, Mar-a-Lago, into the private, ultra-luxury Mar-a-Lago Club. It has received the award from the American Academy of Hospitality Sciences as the "Best Club Anywhere in the World." Also in Palm Beach and located 7 minutes from Mar-a-Lago is the Trump International Golf Club. Designed by the famed golf course architect Jim Fazio, this $\$ 40$ million golf course has magnificent tropical landscaping, water features and streams and elevations of 100 feet (unprecedented in all of Florida). Opened in October 1999, this course has been acclaimed as one of the best in the United States. An additional nine hole course was opened in 2006 to equal acclaim.

The Trump Hotel Collection was created to designate a new level of internationally important hotels, defined by elegance and attention to detail. One of the newest additions to the Las Vegas skyline is a super-luxury 60 story hotel condominium tower, the 5-star Trump International Hotel Las Vegas. Current and future developments in the Trump Hotel Collection include towers in $\mathbf{S o H o} / \mathbf{N e w}$ York (which opened in spring of 2010), Chicago (opened 2008), Waikiki/Hawaii (opened November 2009), New Orleans, Toronto, Panama, and Cap Cana/Dominican Republic. Istanbul/Turkey, Trump International Golf and

Residences/Puerto Rico and Trump International Golf Links Scotland with a luxury 5-star hotel round out Mr. Trump's portfolio of international developments.

In a departure from his real estate acquisitions, Mr. Trump and the NBC Television Network are partners in the ownership and broadcast rights for the three largest beauty competitions in the world: the Miss Universe, Miss USA, and Miss Teen USA Pageants. A recent Miss Universe pageant won the night in ratings in the \#1 slot and it is currently broadcast in 180 countries. Trump Model Management, which was founded in 1999 , has become one of the leading modeling agencies in New York City.

Mr. Trump rebuilt the Wollman Skating Rink (currently managed by The Trump Organization) in Central Park. This project was particularly special to Mr. Trump. The city had been trying for seven years to rebuild and restore the Rink, whereupon Mr. Trump interceded and did so in four months and at only $10 \%$ of the City's $\$ 20,000,000$ cost. In addition, Mr. Trump is given credit, as stated by everyone in the know and as Mark J. Penn's book Microtrends reports, for having made a major and very favorable impact on the economy of the city by creating the condominium boom, versus the co-ops that were more prevalent in the past.

An accomplished author, Mr. Trump's first autobiography, The Art of the Deal, became one of the most successful business best-sellers of all time, having sold in excess of three million copies, and being a New York Times number one best-seller for many weeks. The sequel, Surviving at the Top, was on The New York Times bestseller list and was also a number one best-seller as was his third book, The Art of the Comeback. Mr. Trump's fourth book, The America We Deserve, is a departure from his past literary efforts. This book deals with issues most important to the American people today and focuses on the views regarding American political, economic and social problems. His fifth book, How To Get Rich: Big Deals from the Star of The Apprentice, became an immediate bestseller on all lists, as did Trump: The Way to the Top and Trump: Think Like a Billionaire which was released in October of 2004. Trump: The Best Golf Advice I Ever Received was published in April 2005, followed by Trump: The Best Real Estate Advice I Ever Received in 2006. He has also teamed up with Robert Kiyosaki to make publishing history with their book, Why We Want You To Be Rich: Two Men, One Message, which in October of 2006 made the \#1 spot on the New York Times, The Wall Street Journal, and Amazon bestseller lists. Trump 101: The Way To Success debuted in late 2006. In October of 2007 Mr. Trump's book with Bill Zanker, Think Big was launched. In early 2008, Mr. Trump's Never Give Up was released, followed by Think Like a Champion in April of 2009.

A native of New York City, Mr. Trump is a graduate of The Wharton School of Finance. Involved in numerous civic and charitable organizations, he is a member of the Board of Directors for the Police Athletic League. Mr. Trump also serves as a Chairman of the Donald J. Trump Foundation as well as Co-Chairman of the New York Vietnam Veteran's Memorial Fund. In 1995, he served as the Grand Marshal
of the largest parade ever held in New York, The Nation's Parade, which celebrated the $50^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of the end of World War II. He also hosts the annual Red Cross Ball at his Mar-a-Lago Club in Palm Beach.

Mr. Trump is a founding member of both the Committee to Complete Construction of the Cathedral of St. John the Divine and The Wharton School Real Estate Center. Mr. Trump was also a committee member of the Celebration of Nations commemorating the $50^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of the United Nations and UNICEF. He was also designated "The Developer of the Year" by the Construction Management Association of America and Master Builder by the New York State Office of Parks, Recreational \& Historic Preservation. In June 2000, he received his greatest honor of all, the Hotel and Real Estate Visionary of the Century, given by the UJA Federation, and in 2003 was named to the Benefactors Board of Directors by the Historical Society of Palm Beach County.

By January of 2004, Mr. Trump had joined forces with Mark Burnett Productions and NBC to produce and star in the television reality show, The Apprentice. This quickly became the number one show on television, making ratings history and receiving rave reviews. Few shows have garnered the worldwide attention that The Apprentice has achieved, and it received three Emmy nominations for excellence. The Celebrity Apprentice has met with great success as well, being one of the highest rated shows on television, and Mr. Trump signed to continue in this role for the 2011 television season. This marks the eleventh season of the show. Moreover, Mr. Trump is producing additional network and cable television programming via his Los Angeles based production company, Trump Productions $L L C$. His radio program with Clear Channel Radio, parent company of Premiere Radio Networks, beginning in the summer of 2004, broke all syndication records, followed by the launch and great success of the Donald J. Trump Signature Collection, which includes business suits, dress shirts, neckwear, cufflinks, eyewear, small leather goods, and belts. The neckwear collection became the number one selling brand at Macy's Herald Square, New York, highlighting the demand by consumers to emulate Mr. Trump's sense of fashion. In the fall of 2006, Trump Vodka was successfully launched and Trump Home was introduced in the spring of 2007, which includes a comprehensive collection of mattresses, furniture, lighting and room decor. In 2010, Rogaska Crystal and Trump Home announced a partnership to launch erystal home accessories and giftware.

In the August 21-28 2006 issue of BusinessWeek magazine, Mr. Trump was voted, by their readers, as "the world's most competitive businessperson" and voted by the staff and writers of BusinessWeek as one of the Top 10 most competitive businesspeople on the planet. The ongoing business success of the Trump Organization was recognized by the Crain's New York Business List 2007, with a ranking of Number 1 for the largest privately held company in the New York area. Also renowned for his celebrity status, Forbes ranked Mr. Trump number 12 in the world on their top 100 celebrity list in 2006.

Mr. Trump is the highest paid speaker in the world, and he draws the largest crowds in the Learning Annex' history, often in excess of 50,000 people.

In January of 2007, Mr. Trump received a star on the Hollywood Walk of Fame, and in 2008, "You're fired!" was listed as the \#3 greatest TV catchphrase of all time. His appearance as guest host on World Wrestling Entertainment resulted in \#1 ratings for Raw and it was the most-watched episode in more than seven years.

On the Larry King Show in June 2008, Barbara Corcoran, a well respected real estate expert, said "How can I possibly compete with Donald Trump? Thanks to him I sold more property in Manhattan. He single handedly turned the whole image of Manhattan around in the 1970's when nobody wanted to live in New York." Robert Kiyosaki, author of Rich Dad, Poor Dad, added, "Donald is the smartest man in real estate-no one else even comes close."

In July of 2008, Mr. Trump sold his magnificent estate at 515 South Ocean Boulevard in Palm Beach for a record $\$ 100$ million, and in March of 2010, the penthouse apartment at Trump International Hotel \& Tower in New York City sold for $\$ 33$ million.
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Mr. Trump is the highest paid speaker in the world, and he draws the largest crowds in the Learning Annex' history, often in excess of $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ people.

In January of 2007, Mr. Trump received a star on the Hollywood Walk of Fame, and in 2008, "You're fired!" was listed as the \#3 greatest TV catchphrase of all time. His appearance as guest host on World Wrestling Entertainment resulted in \#1 ratings for Raw and it was the most-watched episode in more than seven years.

On the Larry King Show in June 2008, Barbara Corcoran, a well respected real estate expert, said "How can I possibly compete with Donald Trump? Thanks to him I sold more property in Manhattan. He single handedly turned the whole image of Manhattan around in the 1970's when nobody wanted to live in New York." Robert Kiyosaki, author of Rich Dad, Poor Dad, added, "Donald is the smartest man in real estate-no one else even comes close."

In July of 2008, Mr. Trump sold his magnificent estate at 515 South Ocean Boulevard in Palm Beach for a record $\$ 100$ million, and in March of 2010, the penthouse apartment at Trump International Hotel \& Tower in New York City sold for $\$ 33$ million.


[^0]:    1
    Plaintiffs are filing Exhibits 324 and 327 from the deposition under seal.

